AGRICULTURAL OITLOOK

Economic Research Service
United States Department of Agriculture

March 1993



AGRICULTURAL OUTLOOK



Departments

- 2 Commodity Overview
- 17 Commodity Spotlight
 U.S. Marks 10th Year As Top Oats Importer
- 19 Form Finance Form Credit is Ample
- 21 Policy
 Tax Policy Options & the Form Sector
- 24 Food & Marketing Food Prices: 1992 Wrap-up. 1993 Outlook

Jim Cole & Linwood Hoffman

Jerome M. Stam, James T. Ryan. & George B. Wallace

Ron Durst & Michael Compson

Ralph Parlett



Special Articles

- 28 New Directions for Vietnam's Economy
- 33 South Korea: Prosperity at a Crossroads

Carol Levin & Mark Giordano

Ruth Elleson & John Dyck



Cover photo: Central Hanol, Vietnam

Statistical Indicators

- 38 Summary
- 39 U.S. & Foreign Economic Data
- 40 Form Prices
- 41 Producer & Consumer Prices
- 43 Farm-Retail Price Spreads
- 45 Livestock & Products
- 49 Crops & Products

- 53 World Agriculture
- 54 U.S. Agricultural Trade
- 57 Form Income
- 61 Food Expenditures
- 61 Transportation
- 62 Indicators of Farm Productivity
- 63 Food Supply & Use

Economics Editors—Robert Dismukes (202) 219-0313, Gregory Gajewski (202) 219-0888, Lewrene Glaser (202) 219-0888, Joy Harwood (202) 219-0840

Managing Editor-Mary Reardon (202) 219-0494

Overview Coordinators—Richard Stillman, Agnes Perez, Livestock; Joy Harwood, Carol Whitton, Field Crops, Boyd Buxton, Glenn Zepp, Specialty Crops

Statistical Coordinator—Ann Duncan (202) 219-0313

Design & Layout Coordinator-Victor Phillips, Jr.

Editorial Staff-Karen Sayre

Tabular Composition—Joyce Bailey, Clipla Peterson

The contents of this magazine have been approved by the World Agricultural Outlook Board and the summary released February 19, 1993. Price and quantity forecasts for crops are based on the February 10 World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estimates.

Materials may be reprinted without permission. Agricultural Outlook is printed monthly except for the January-February combined issue.

Annual subscription: \$35 (\$43.75 for foreign addresses, including Canada). Order from ERS-NASS, 341 Victory Drive, Herndon, VA 22070. Or call toll free. 1-800-999-6779 (U.S. and Canada only). All other areas, please call (703) 834-0125. Make check payable to ERS-NASS.

Time to renew? Your subscription to Agricultural Outlook expires in the month and year shown on the top line of your address label. Renew by calling 1-800-999-6779.

The next issue of Agricultural Outlook (AO-195) is scheduled for mailing on Arpril 2, 1993. If you do not receive AO-195 by April 22, call the managing editor of (202) 219-0494 (be sure to have your mailing label handy). The full text of AO-195 will also be distributed electronically; additional information on this is available at (202) 720-5505.

For info on OCR and PDF Compression go to our website

News of Farm Credit Availability, Oats Imports, Economic Reform in Vietnam, and Trade Prospects in South Korea

The outlook for the farm financial picture in 1993 projects income and asset values strong enough to support moderate increases in debt levels. Nonetheless, both borrowers and lenders will be slow to generate new debt. Producers will continue to be cautious in taking on new debt, and lenders will carefully scrutinize the creditworthiness of borrowers. Farm debt is expected to increase 1-2 percent in 1993, following increases of 1.4 percent and 0.7 percent in 1991 and 1992.

As the U.S. wrestles with the challenge of competing in an increasingly interdependent world economy, mechanisms for stimulating job growth and generating investment are of paramount importance. Among the options for using the tax code to address this challenge, Agricultural Outlook looks at two which could have considerable impact on the agricultural sector. One option aims to create more iobs through an investment tax credit for capital purchases. A second would reinstate some form of preferential tax treatment of capital gains. Either option could stimulate capital investment in agriculture, which could increase farm output.

Large orange crops in Florida and Brazil in 1992/93 are pushing down orange juice prices. Near-term futures prices for frozen concentrate fell as low as 67 cents per pound solids in February from \$1.42 a year earlier.

U.S. output and disappearance of corn, soybeans, and rice are expected to reach record or near-record levels in 1992/93. While U.S. wheat production was up 24 percent from the reduced level of 1991, in the global wheat market larger output in the former Soviet Union and China is expected to dampen wheat import demand in 1992/93.



U.S. oats imports are projected down in 1992/93—a result of decreased exportable supplies from Sweden and Finland, uncertain availability of high-quality oats from Canada, and a larger U.S. crop. Once a key producer and exporter of oats, the U.S. has been the world's largest importer since 1983/84. Low net returns for oats compared with other feed grains, the consequent decline in domestic oats supplies, and greater demand for high-quality oats for food and feed uses accounted for the shift to imports.

In the world rice market, a relatively new major player is Vietnam, which entered the top ranks of rice exporters in 1989. Vietnam was the third-largest rice exporter in calendar 1992, and prospects are good for continued strong exports in 1993. Since the early 1980's, a series of political, economic, and legal reforms has altered the domestic economy and, together with a new open-door policy, led to rapid increases in foreign trade and investment in Vietnam. The process of reform accelerated in 1989 with a package of monetary, price, and exchange rate policies. In 1988/89, the rice sector benefited from reforms that privatized the agricultural sector, decentralized input supplies, and gave individual farms more leverage in production decisions.


Vietnam's ability to sustain its success as a rice exporter depends on how far it will progress on the path of economic reform. The country has made remarkable progress in economic growth and stabilization despite the tack of foreign aid since 1990.

After 30 years of impressive economic development, South Korea faces a cross-roads. While labor-intensive manufacturing industries were the foundation of South Korea's "economic miracle," higher wages are beginning to erode the competitiveness of these enterprises. A series of economic reforms is aimed at preparing the country to succeed in more technically advanced sectors.


South Korea's agricultural sector is in transition as well. Compared with the industrial sector, agriculture's productivity is low, and the country is under strong international pressure to open its markets to agricultural imports. The Korean government recently announced a 10-year Structural Reform Plan to modernize the sector and prepare for trade liberalization. U.S. exporters can expect strong demand for high-value and processed farm products and weaker demand for some bulk products and raw materials.

Prime Indicators


Index of prices paid by farmers




Index of prices received by farmers1




Ratio of prices received/prices paid




Total red meat & poultry production²




Red meat & poultry consumption, per Capita 2,3




Cash receipts from livestock & products⁴




Corn beginning stocks⁵




Corn disappearance⁶




Cash receipts from crops4




Real cash income (1987\$)8



Average real value of farm real estate



Farm value/retail food costs



³Retail weight. ⁴Seasonally adjusted annual rate.

For Info on OCR and PDF Compression go to our website forecast with year indicated.



- Because of the extremely large crop, ending stocks for corn are projected to double the carryin of 1.1 billion.
- The season-average price is projected in the range of \$1.90-\$2.20 per bushel, down from last year's \$2.37.

Soybean Crop Largest Since 1979

Soybean production in 1992 fell slightly short of the record crop of 1979, even though yields were a record.

 Output was 2.197 billion bushels up nearly 11 percent from the pre-

- vious season, and just below the record 2.26 billion set in 1979, when nearly 12 million acres were harvested.
- The average yield, 37.6 bushels per acre, topped last year's record by 3.4 bushels per acre. Fifteen of 29 reporting states set yield records.

Soybean disappearance in 1992/93 is expected to exceed the record set in 1982. Soybean exports are buoyed by continued strong demand, especially in Asia.

 Disappearance is expected to be up 4 percent. Crush is expected up slightly. Soybean exports are projected to be 745 million bushels—up 9 percent above 1991/92.

Field Crops Overview

Domestic Outlook— February Projections For 1992/93

Record-High Corn Output

Com output in 1992 surpassed the record set in 1985, by over 600 million bushels. Com disappearance is expected to set a record in 1992/93. Feed and residual use is projected record-high due to strong livestock output, large corn supplies, and lower com prices.

- U.S. average yield of 131.4 bushels per acre topped the record 119.8 bushels set in 1987. Twenty-four of 41 reporting states broke their yield records.
- Com disappearance is expected up more than 5 percent in 1992/93, exceeding the record 8.1 billion bushels set in 1989.
- Food, seed, and industrial use is a record; exports are up from last year, but far less than the 1979 peak.

U.S. Field Crops—Market Outlook at a Glance

	Area								
	Planted	Harvesled	Yield	Output	Total supply	Domestic use	Exports	Ending stocks	Farm pnce
	— мн.	acres —	Bu/acre	<u></u>		— Mil, bu -		–	\$/bu
Wheat									
1991/92	69.9	57. 7	34.3	1.981	2.888	1,135	1,281	472	3.00
1992/93	72.3	62.4	39.4	2,459	2,996	1,140	1,350	506	3 25-3.35
Com									
1991/92	76.0	68.8	108.6	7,475	9,016	6.332	1,584	1,100	2.37
1992/93	79 3	72.1	131.4	9,479	10,582	6,695	1,650	2,237	1.90-2.20
Sorghum									
1991/92	11.1	9.9	59 3	585	727	383	292	53	2.25
1992/93	13.3	12.2	72.8	884	937	510	300	127	1.75-2.05
Barley									
1991/92	8.9	8.4	55.2	464	624	401	94	129	210
1992/93	7.8	7.3	52.4	456	600	360	90	150	2.00-2.05
Oats									
1991/92	8.7	4.8	50.7	243	489	360	2	128	1.20
1992/93	8.0	4.5	65.6	295	472	355	5	112	1.30-1.35
Soybeans									
1991/92	59.2	58.0	34.2	1,987	2,319	1,356	685	278	5.58
1992/93	59.3	58.4	37.6	2,197	2.477	1,377	745	355	5,40-5,55
			Lb /acre	_	MI.	cwt (rough e	equivi.) —		Sicwe
Rice									
1991/92	2.88	2.78	5,674	157.5	187.3	93.7	66.4	27.3	7.58
1992/93	3.17	7 3.13	5,722	179.1	212.1	97.8	76.0	38.3	5.95 -6 45
			Lb /acre			- MM. bales		_	c.tb
Cotton									
1991/92	14,1	13.0	652	17.6	20.0	9.6	6.7	3.7	56.80
1992/93	13.3	11,2	700	16.3	20.0	9.7	6.2	4.2	153,60

Based on February 10, 1993 World Agricultural Supply and Demand Estmates, U.S. marketing years for exports. "Weighted average price for August-November, not a season average. See table 17 for complitie definition of terms.

to our wahalia

- Ending soybean stocks are projected up 28 percent above carryin.
- The season-average soybean price is expected in the \$5.40-\$5.55 range, down from last season's \$5.58.

Winter Wheat Plantings Up Slightly

Winter wheat seedings for the 1993 crop, which was planted last fall, are up 1 percent from 1992. Wet weather and late fall harvests likely limited planted acreage in certain areas, particularly for soft red winter. White wheat plantings are likely up due to strong prices in the Pacific Northwest.

- Seeded acreage for all winter wheat is indicated up from 51.1 million to 51.5 million.
- Plantings for the hard red winter class are up very slightly. Soft red winter plantings are 2 percent over last year. White wheat plantings are up 5 percent.

Wheat production in 1992 was 24 percent above 1991's reduced level, at nearly 2.5 billion bushels. Yields averaged 5.1 bushels per acre above 1991's crop, and were only one-tenth of a bushel short of the 1990 record.

Wheat use for all classes is forecast up in 1992/93.

- Total wheat use is expected to be up 3 percent to nearly 2.5 billion bushels. Food use is expected to set a new record. Exports are expected up about 5 percent, still far short of the record 1.77 billion bushels exported in 1981/82.
- Ending stocks are forecast 7 percent above carryin, at 506 million bushels, the second-lowest carryout since 1974/75.
- Season-average prices are expected in the \$3.25-\$3.35 range, up from \$3 estimated for 1991/92.

Rice Output Revised Upward

Both rice acreage and the U.S. average yield in 1992 were higher than forecast on November 1. Largely because of the upward revision in production, USDA revised the 1993 rice ARP to 5 percent in late January, up from the initial December announcement of zero percent.

- Rice production, at 179.1 million cwt, is up 14 percent above 1991, and up 6 percent from the November forecast. This is the largest rice crop since 1981 and the second highest on record.
- Total rice use is expected to set a new record in 1992/93. Due to the larger crop and lower prices, domestic use and exports are expected

higher than in 1991/92, up 4 and 14 percent.

- Prices are expected in the range of \$5.95-\$6.45 per cwt for 1992/93, below the previous year's \$7.58.
- Ending stocks are forecast at 38.3 million cwt, up slightly from last month and up 40 percent above carryin.

Cotton Production Down

Although yield records were set in Arkansas, California, and Florida, lower acreage pulled down cotton production in 1992. Strong foreign competition is limiting cotton exports.

World Wheat Exports Are Down, Corn Trade Steady

	Year 1	Production	Exports 2	Consumption 3	Carryove
			Mil Ions		
Wheat	1991/92	5429	108.2	5 57.3	129.5
	1992/93	558.3	101 4	550.1	137.8
Coarse grains	1991/92	798.4	93 4	803.0	131.9
	1992/93	84 7.9	91.3	822.7	157.2
Corn	1991/92	484.3	61.5	484.5	78.7
	1992/93	526. 0	61.0	502.1	1026
Rice	1991/92	348.3	15.1	353.2	55.3
	1992/93	351,9	14.8	355.8	51.5
Oilseeds	1991/92	223.8	36.8	185.9	21.2
	1992/93	224.7	37.8	185.0	22.4
Soybeans	1991/92	106.6	28.1	92.7	18.0
•	1992/93	114.4	30.6	95.1	20,0
Soybean meal	1991/92	73.5	28.9	73.3	29
	1992/93	75.2	27.3	74.7	3.0
Soybean oil	1991/92	16.8	4.2	16.0	2.2
	1992/93	17.0	4.3	17,1	1.8
			Mil. bales		
Cotton	1991/92	96 0	22.4	85.0	40.6
	1992/93	84.1	22 A	85,1	39.4

¹ Marketing years are; wheat, July-June; coarse grains and com, October/September; oliseeds, soybeans, medi, and olf, local marketing years except Brazil and Argentina adjusted to October-September; cotton, August-July, ² Rice trade is for the second calendar year. ³ Crush only for soybeans and diffeeds.

Source; Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.

- Production was down about 8 percent from the previous season.
 Yields were up about 7 percent.
- Total use in 1992/93 is expected to fall 2 percent from 1991/92. Domestic use is expected up 1 percent from 1991/92 as mill use remains strong. Exports are forecast down 7 percent from 1991/92.
- Ending stocks are forecast at 4.2 million bales, up more than 13 percent from the carryin level.
- Upland prices received by farmers averaged 53.4 cents per pound during the final three months of calendar 1992, more than 6 cents below the 1991 average for that period.

[Joy Harwood (202) 219-0840]

Global Market— Outlook for 1992/93

World Wheat Trade Down

Larger wheat output in the former Soviet Union (FSU) and China is expected to dampen global import demand in 1992/93, despite gains in other foreign countries' imports.

- EC and Australian exports are projected up 2 million tons, while Canada's exports decline.
- U.S. exports rise, with market share expected to climb to 36 from 32 percent last year.

Winter Wheat Crops Faring Well

Generally good weather is expected to aid world winter wheat crops in 1993/94. Policy changes also influenced last fall's planting.

FSU Credit Purchases Come to a Standstill

In September 1992, the U.S. authorized \$900 million in GSM-102 export credit guarantees to Russia, of which \$100 million was used in September for fiscal year 1992. For fiscal year 1993, \$525 million was released in October and another \$275 million was to be released in January. In October, the U.S. authorized \$200 million in export credit guarantees for Ukraine, of which \$70 million was released for immediate use and another \$130 million was scheduled for later release.

But subsequent events have halted the use of the remaining October credits and prevented the release of Russia's \$275 million and Ukraine's \$130 million.

By February 23, creditors had notified the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) that the former Soviet Union is late in paying about \$360 million in credit guaranteed under the GSM-102 program. Notifying the CCC of late payments by importers is required of creditors before submitting claims to CCC for payment. When a bank recently filed for payment from the CCC, it was the first time a claim was filed since the FSU was suspended under the program for nonpayment in November 1992.

As of February 23, Russia still had \$30 million in credit guarantees for pork and about \$80 million for wheat remaining of the sum released in October 1992. Further, the country has not been eligible to receive the remaining \$275 million scheduled to be announced in January. Ukraine had used almost all \$70 million released in October, but its remaining \$130 million also has not been released. [Mark Smith (202) 219-0820]

- Government pressure may have convinced China's farmers not to switch winter area out of wheat, despite last season's marketing difficulties.
- EC planted area is declining only slightly under CAP reforms, and mild temperatures and plentiful precipitation favor yields in the north.
- Delayed planting in the FSU reduced winter grain area.
- Drought continues in North Africa, but in Turkey favorable weather is likely to lead to another large crop.

No Growth in Corn Trade

Sharply declining FSU imports in the face of economic problems and a shrinking livestock sector are expected to flatten 1992/93 world corn trade.

 FSU imports are projected down 3 million tons, but other foreign countries' imports are expected to rise 2.5 million.


- Rising exports are expected from Argentina, but China's exports weaken.
- U.S. exports and market share begin to recover from 1991/92's low.

Rice Imports Drop

Smaller calendar 1993 rice exports reflect Indonesia's sharply reduced import demand. And major competitors' abundant exportable supplies suggest heightened price competitiveness. Nevertheless, lower U.S. export prices are projected to improve U.S. competitiveness.

- Indonesia switches from importing 650,000 tons in 1992 to exporting 250-500,000 tons in 1993.
- U.S. exports are forecast up 14 percent, and market share at 16 percent is up from last year's 14 percent.

Commodity Market Prices



¹No. 2 yellow, ²600-700 lbs, medium no. 2. ³Grade A large, ⁴No. 1 yellow, ⁶U.S. No. 2, long-grain, ⁶No. 1 HRW,

For info on OCR and PDF Compression go to our website

Soybean, Meal Output Up, Bean Imports Strong

Global soybean and meal production is expected to increase in 1992/93, with large increases in both the U.S. and Brazil and smaller gains in Argentina and Paraguay. World demand for soybeans has strengthened as production of other oilseeds declines, supporting prices despite the high outturn.

- Strong export competition is expected in the second half of the year as Brazil and Argentina are projected to increase soybean exports 13 and 3 percent.
- Demand strength maintains the U.S. share of the soybean market at 66 percent, but market share for U.S. soybean meal exports is forecast to fall to 20 percent as global meal imports decline.

Cotton Import Demand Shrinks

Import demand for cotton is expected to decrease in 1992/93 because of China's reduced imports and continued sluggish world economic growth. U.S. export opportunities improve, but remain below last year.

- Enhanced export opportunities reflect a projected 600,000-bale drop in Pakistan's exports because of its flood-damaged crop.
- Expected attractively priced export of an additional 700,000 bales of FSU stocks still dampens U.S. market share.

 At 6.2 million bales and 28 percent, expected U.S. exports and market share, although revised upward from last month, remain below last year.

[Carol Whitton (202) 219-0824]

For further information, contact:
Sara Schwartz, world wheat; Randy
Schnepf, world rice; Edward Allen, domestic wheat; Janet Livezey, domestic
rice; Pete Riley, world feed grains; Tom
Tice and Jim Cole, domestic feed grains;
Nancy Morgan and Jaime Castaneda,
world oilseeds; Scott Sanford, domestic
oilseeds; Ken Bowman, world cotton;
Bob Skinner and Les Meyer, domestic
cotton. World information, (202) 2190824; domestic (202) 219-0840.

Livestock, Dairy & Poultry Overview

U.S. Cattle Herd Expanding Slowly

Modest expansion of U.S. cattle inventory is continuing.

- Cattle inventory totaled 100.9 million head on January 1, 1993, up 1 percent from a year earlier.
- Beef cow inventory, at 34 million head, increased 1 percent in 1992 the fourth year of a very modest expansion that has increased the beef cow herd by less than 1 million.
- The number of heifers being held for possible replacement in beef herds also increased, by 7 percent. Heifers entering beef cow herds during the second half of 1992 increased sharply from the previous year after declining slightly in the first half.
- The number of feeder cattle outside feedlots on January 1 was about the

same as a year ago at 31.7 million head.

 Inventories of lighter weight calves outside feedlots declined about 1 percent, while the supply of yearlings rose 1 percent.

More and heavier cattle on feed could put pressure on winter prices. Many were placed on feed during September and likely will be marketed by the end of the winter quarter. Winter storms forced large numbers on feed in December.

- The number of cattle on feed on January 1 increased nearly 7 percent from a year earlier.
- Fed cattle prices traded near \$80 per cwt during January and moved higher in February as poor feeding conditions slowed weight gains and delayed marketings.

Less expensive feed grains and generally stable prices for slaughter cattle are expected to support stocker cattle going on feed and lighter cattle going back to grass.

- Fed cattle marketings could increase over half a million head this year to 22.6 million, the largest since 1989.
- Heavyweight feeder cattle prices are expected to range in the mid-\$80's this year, with lighter weight cattle above \$90 and slaughter cattle again trading in the \$72-\$78 range for most of the year.

Increased beef exports in 1993 are expected to support the higher cattle prices. Beef imports will be limited, as Voluntary Restraint Agreements already have been negotiated with Australia and New Zealand.

- Annual exports could reach 1.4 billion pounds compared with 1.33 billion in 1992.
- Total beef imports are forecast to reach 2.33 billion pounds, down from 2.42 billion pounds last year.

Adjusting Beef Import Levels

The U.S. produces and consumes more beef than any other country in the world. It is also the world's largest beef importer. Imports supplement domestic production to provide beef for processed meat products, largely hamburger.

U.S. imports of beef are controlled by the Meat Import Act of 1979, amended in 1988. The act provides for a basic import quota of 1,147.6 million pounds (product weight) of beef, veal, mutton, and goat. The quota is the average import level of quota meats for 1968-77, adjusted annually by production and countercyclical factors calculated by USDA.

Calculating Import Adjustment Factors

The production adjustment factor changes the allowable beef import level each year to reflect trends in U.S. domestic meat production. The adjustment factor is calculated as a ratio: the moving average of the last 3 years' domestic production of all meats covered by the law, divided by average production of these meats in the base period (1968-77).

The U.S. production figures used to calculate the averages are actually net amounts—the result of deducting carcass-weight equivalents of all live imported cattle. This has the effect of tightening allowable imports of processed beef as imports of live cattle increase.

Beef production in the U.S. is expanding. The cattle inventory is in the early stages of a modest expansion that began in 1990. For 1993 the production adjustment factor is increasing as lower 1990 production levels are replaced by the larger 1993 estimates.

The production adjustment factor is designed to allow the beef import level to expand over time with the

longrun expansion in U.S. beef production. In the shorter term, however, the production adjustment factor would increase beef imports during a liquidation in the cattle cycle. Increased imports when beef supplies are already plentiful place additional downward pressure on prices. The countercyclical factor is designed to offset the short-term effects of the production adjustment factor.

The countercyclical factor measures the speed and duration of change in the beef-cow herd through slaughter levels. It is the ratio of the 5-year moving average of annual per capita U.S. supply of cow beef to the 2-year moving average of per capita supply.

The countercyclical factor tends to tighten imports during years when domestic cattle herds are being temporarily downsized by increased cow slaughter. For example, if U.S. producers were to reduce herd size during a drought, the 2-year average supply of beef would increase dramatically. While the production factor would increase, the countercyclical factor would decrease, holding down the level of allowable imports.

The countercyclical factor has been declining. Cow slaughter reached its low level in 1991 as the cattle inventory began to expand. Slaughter has been increasing slowly as the cow herd expands. The 5-year average remains larger than the 2-year average, but the difference is declining.

Impo<mark>rt Quota</mark> T**i**ghtening

U.S. beef imports subject to the law are forecast to drop to 1,259.1 million pounds, product weight, in 1993, 100,000 pounds below the level that would trigger a quota. The total beef, veal, mutton, and goat meat import trigger level for 1993 (quota x 1.1) was calculated to be 1,259.2 million pounds, about 52 million pounds be-

low 1992. The decline from the 1992 trigger, despite a production increase of 241 million pounds over the previous 3 years, is due to increased imports of live cattle, up 156 million pounds on a carcass-weight basis. In addition, the per capita cow beef supply factor (countercyclical) used to dampen price fluctuations continues to decline from 1.051 for 1992 to 1.014 for 1993.

In response to the smaller quota calculated for 1993, the U.S. has negotiated Voluntary Restraint Agreements (VRA's) with Australia and New Zealand. This is the third straight year that VRA's have been in effect. Under the VRA's the amount of beef entering the U.S. from Australia and New Zealand will be limited.

Australia and New Zealand are major sources of imported processed beef, as well as the major sources regulated by the Meat Import Act. Beef imports from Canada, the third-largest supplier, have been excluded from the Meat Import Act by the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement. Imports from Canada have been increasing, rising about 60 percent in 1992, and are up to about 17 percent of the size of quota meat imports.

Prices have responded recently to adjustment in the processed beef supply. Prices of fresh 90-percent boneless beef rose sharply in late 1992 as imports from Australia and New Zealand approached levels agreed to under the VRA's. The import slowdown, combined with declining cow slaughter and fewer fed cattle reaching desired marketing weight, tightened the supply of processed beef. Cold storage stocks of beef at the end of 1992 were 13 percent below a year earlier.

In late 1992, beef from Australia and New Zealand continued to be delivered to the U.S. but was placed in bonded warehouses to be released in 1993. Release of the supplies during January helped to pull processed beef

prices down and created a 10-cent-perpound spread between domestic and imported lean beef prices. The normal spread is 4-5 cents, primarily because some firms use only domestic beef in their products.

While fresh boncless beef prices rose to \$135 per cwt in late December, they declined to near \$125 in early

February as imported beef supplies entered the market and as cattle slaughter increased. In late winter, fed cattle slaughter continued to be held down. Storm-related weight losses beginning in late November led to upward price movements to \$135-\$140 in mid-February.

[Ron Gustafson (202) 219-1285]

	0.471 16	
	Mil Ibs.	
Base Import quantity:		
innual average imports of quota meats, 1968-77	= 1,147.6	
Production adjustment factor:		
	23,422.2	
verage U.S. commercial production of quota meats, 1991-93	- 822.8	
ninus annual carcass weight of live carde imports, 1991-93	22,599.4	
verage U.S. production of quota meats, 1968-77	23,184	0.9841
ninus annual carcass weight of live cattle, 1968-77	· 220	
	22,964	
	Lbs.	
Countercyclical factor:		
verage U.S. per capita cow beet supply, 1989-93	1 2 .59	
verage U.S. per capita cow beet supply, 1992-93	12.42	1.01356
	Mil. Ibs	
Duota:	mii. ios	
lase Import quantity x production adjustment factor		
countercyclical factor	= 1,145	
rigger level:		
tuota x 1.1	= 1,259	

Milk Output Stable in 1993

Milk production is expected to be stable in 1993.

- Milk cow numbers will be down slightly because of lower milk prices.
- Growth in annual milk per cow is likely to be relatively slow because summer levels are unlikely to match 1992's weather-boosted levels.

Milkfat use is likely to continue growing because of economic expansion and favorable prices.

- Commercial use of milkfat is projected to post another 2-percent rise.
- Commercial stocks of milkfat at yearend were similar to the moderate level of a year ago.
- Skim solids holdings were large, due mostly to stocks of nonfat dry milk and other-than-American cheese.
- Demand for skim solids is projected to be weaker, as delayed reaction to high prices of recent years is expected to trim some uses.

Farm milk prices are projected to fall because of weaker domestic demand for skim milk solids.

- Milk prices are expected to slip 3-5 percent in 1993.
- A projected decrease in surplus milkfat will have little price impact because the surplus will remain large.

Exports under the Dairy Export Incentive Program (DEIP) will likely be sizable.

- Contracts accepted at the end of 1992 likely will remove about 80 million pounds of nonfat dry milk during January-May.
- Additional contracts under the 1993 DEIP are likely.

DEIP Helps U.S. Exporters Compete

The goal of the Dairy Export Incentive Program (DEIP) is to enable exporters of U.S. products to meet prevailing world prices for targeted dairy products and destinations. The program, announced by USDA in February 1987, offers exporters a cash bonus paid by the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) to help them meet competition from other subsidizing nations, particularly the European Community.

Milk powder, butterfat, and cheddar, mozzarella, gouda, feta, cream, and processed American cheeses are currently eligible for inclusion in the DEIP. Purchasing countries may be recommended for inclusion in the program by USDA program experts, members of the U.S. agricultural community, and foreign government officials. Selected countries are markets where U.S. dairy exporters face subsidized competition from other suppliers.

The Food Security Act of 1985, which made the DEIP mandatory through fiscal 1988, required that exporters receive their subsidies, or bonuses, in the form of dairy products in the CCC stockpile. The program was amended by the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 to allow subsidies to be paid by issuing generic certificates. USDA revised the program in January 1990. The Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990 extended the DEIP through December 31, 1995.

Since November 6, 1991 the DEIP bonuses have been paid in cash rather than commodity certificates because CCC stocks available for redemption have dwindled. USDA reserves the right to restrict the quantity of dairy products available under the DEIP in order to meet other mandates for CCC programs.

USDA follows four guidelines in selecting countries and commodities under the DEIP. The guidelines take into account effects on trade policy negotiations, U.S. dairy product exports, other exporting nations that do not subsidize dairy products and exports, and overall benefits of subsidies under the program.

All sales under DEIP are made by private exporters, not the government. Once an initiative is announced, it is up to dairy exporters to contact prospective buyers in eligible countries. After an exporter and a buyer come to a tentative agreement on prices, quantitles, and other terms of a sale, the prospective exporter submits a bid to CCC requesting a subsidy—or bonus—to enable the sale to take place at the agreed-upon price. CCC reviews all bids, and has the right to reject any or all. The bonus is pald to the exporter, not the target country.

In 1992, 42 countries purchased dairy commodities under the DEIP. In 1993, 97 countries are eligible to purchase DEIP commodities, with Algeria and Mexico the leading markets.

- Live hog futures for 1993 are trading in the low \$40's to low \$50's per cwt.
- December Hogs and Pigs report indicated that inventories increased a modest 4 percent.
- Farrowings were up 3 percent in September-November. In December, producers indicated plans to have 3 percent more sows farrow in December-February and 1 percent more in March-May.

Commercial pork production is projected to reach a record high.

- Based on the December market hog inventory and farrowing intentions, pork production is projected to reach 17.85 billion pounds in 1993 nearly 4 percent above the 1992 record.
- Forecast is slightly higher than earlier projections, with producers planning to have a larger-than-expected number of sows farrowing in March-May.

Abundant supplies of pork and competing meats are expected to exert downward pressure on producers' prices throughout the year. An improved economy and continuing growth in exports should help support prices. Retail pork prices are expected to be generally stable with seasonal variations. The abundant pork supply should provide retailers with many featuring opportunities throughout the year.

- Barrow and gilt prices in 1993 are expected to average about \$1 lower than 1992's \$43 per cwt.
- First- and fourth-quarter prices are projected to average in the low \$40's per cwt, while second- and thirdquarter prices could average \$3-\$4 higher.
- Retail prices in 1993 will average near 1992's \$1.98 per pound.


Pork Supplies Abundant

Hog inventories continue to expand as returns cover cash costs. Since the beginning of 1991, inventories and sows

farrowing have posted year-over-year increases. Producers' returns, which fell below total costs in the fall, remain above cash costs. Feed costs are expected to be slightly lower in 1993.

Livestock & Product Output

Commodity Overview



Strong Demand Supports Broiler Prices

Positive net returns are encouraging broiler growth, continuing consecutive year-over-year production increases since 1974.

- Production will likely increase to nearly 22 billion pounds, a 4-percent rise in 1993 following a 6.6-percent increase in 1992.
- First-quarter 1993 production is expected to be 3-4 percent above firstquarter 1992.

- Weekly chick placements were up an average of 3 percent from November through January.
- Average live weights rose to 4.5 pounds in 1992.

While production is increasing, strong demand in both domestic and international markets is expected to keep broiler prices steady to slightly above last year.

 Wholesale prices for whole birds are expected to average 49-55 cents a pound in 1993, compared with 52.6 cents in 1992.

- First-quarter wholesale prices are expected to average 51-55 cents per pound compared with 50.2 cents in 1992.
- January's wholesale price was about 52 cents, compared with 50 cents a year earlier, driven mainly by stronger breast meat prices.
- Retail prices will likely rise slightly above a year earlier, to around 87 cents in the first quarter, reflecting slightly higher wholesale prices.

Attractive U.S. prices, especially for leg quarters, propel exports to record 1992 levels. Most major markets gained,

except for Japan, where U.S. market share declined; the former Soviet Union (FSU) declined due to lack of credit.

- Broiler exports were up about 18 percent in 1992 to a record 1.5 billion pounds. About 7 percent of broiler production was exported.
- Exports to Hong Kong increased 40 percent to 325 million pounds, as Hong Kong replaced Japan as the top export market. Exports to Mexico, Canada, and Jamaica also increased sharply.
- Sales of whole broilers under the Export Enhancement Program (EEP), mainly to the Middle East, totaled 38.3 million pounds in 1992 compared with 42.1 million in 1991.

Another U.S. broiler export record is expected in 1993. The level of sales to the FSU will continue to be influenced by availability of financing for purchases and by terms for repayment.

- Exports are forecast to rise to around 1.6 billion pounds.
- Exports to the FSU in 1993 are expected to recover, aided by USDA export credit guarantees or other assistance to Russia.
- The Pacific area, Mexico, and Canada will continue as major markets.
- EEP is continuing to support broiler exports in early 1993, mainly to the Middle East.

Low Turkey Prices To Continue

Turkey production increased moderately in 1992.

- Annual production rose 3.8 percent.
- Although only about 1.6 percent more turkeys were slaughtered, average weights were about 2 percent above year a earlier.

Increased product movement and improved returns in fourth-quarter 1992 make increases in turkey production likely in 1993. Lower feed costs are expected to continue. Expectations of continued growth in exports and an improved economy also provide support. Strong competition from pork is likely again in 1993.

- Output is expected to grow about 2s percent.
- Growers intend to raise about 2 percent more turkeys in 1993, according to a USDA survey of growers in major states.
- Based on last fall's poult placements, first-quarter production is expected to be about the same or slightly higher than a year earlier.

Competition for market share, particularly with large supplies of pork at relatively low prices, resulted in low turkey prices in 1992.

- Eastern region wholesale hen prices averaged 59.9 cents per pound, the lowest since the 1987 level of 57.8 cents.
- Turkey consumption per capita held steady at 18 pounds in 1992, contrasting with usual growth.

Continued sharp competition with pork as well as other meats, is expected to keep turkey prices low in 1993.

- Wholesale prices for Eastern region hens are likely to average around 57 cents in the first quarter.
- Prices for the year will average 58-64 cents, about the same as in 1992.
- With lower feed prices expected in 1993, net returns could improve slightly and average near breakeven for the year.

Stocks of turkey, at record levels during most of 1992, declined sharply in the fourth quarter.

- Stocks dropped to 277 million pounds on January 1, 1993, but were still about 5 percent above a year earlier.
- Heavier birds in the Thanksgiving whole-bird market probably facilitated the consumption increase that reduced stocks.

Turkey exports reached another record in 1992, aided by relatively low turkey meat prices.

- Exports totaled about 165 million pounds, 60 percent above 1991, and nearly 3.5 percent of production.
- Exports to Mexico, at about 60 percent of the total, were up sharply.
 South Korea accounted for about 10 percent, followed by the United Kingdom.
- Exports are expected to reach another record in 1993 as U.S. producers capitalize on the expanding world market for turkey.

Egg Prices Up in 1993

Total egg production is expected to match 1992 levels. Table-egg output is expected to be fractionally below 1992 levels.

 Total production in 1993 is expected at about 5.9 billion dozen, unchanged from 1992, which was the highest since 1988.



- The table-egg flock continues relatively high, at 236 million layers on January 1, only fractionally below a year earlier.
- Hatching-egg production will likely increase around 3 percent.

Reflecting expected lower per capita supplies, egg prices are likely to rise in 1993.

- Wholesale New York large egg prices will likely average 71-77 cents per dozen, 8-9 cents above 1992.
- Prices in the first quarter will average around 72 cents per dozen. The January price was 71.7 cents, compared with 66.6 cents a year earlier.
- Net returns improved in the second half of last year, and are expected to move higher in 1993, given expected stronger egg prices and lower feed costs.

Egg product use continues to grow, reflecting increases in food-service establishments.

- Product use will be 25-26 percent of the per capita egg consumption of 232 in 1993.
- Total use of shell eggs in the production of liquid, frozen, and dried egg products increased about 8 percent in 1992, to around 1.234 billion dozen.

Lower egg prices encouraged exports in 1992. Increased competition in the large Japanese market came from Japan's expanded domestic egg production, from subsidized EC egg products, and from Canada, Brazil, Thailand, and Israel. The Mexican government granted licenses for substantial imports when domestic egg prices increased in mid-1992.

 U.S. egg exports were strong, totaling about 157 million dozen equivalent, valued at \$150 million.

- Exports of egg products were little changed, at about 72 million dozen equivalent in 1992, accounting for about 37 percent of the total value of egg exports.
- Japan continued to be the largest market, taking about 25 percent of total value, mostly as egg products.
- Hatching-egg exports, while declining, still made up about 33 percent of U.S. egg export value. About onethird went to Canada.
- Table eggs, representing about 30 percent of egg export value, were estimated as slightly higher than in 1991. Sales to Canada, where production is restrained by quotas, were about steady, but exports increased to the Middle East and to Mexico.
- Exports were aided by increased sales of table eggs to Hong Kong and the Middle East through the EEP. Table-egg sales under EEP increased to 38.3 million dozen, compared with 18.3 million dozen in 1991.

Competitive U.S. prices and EEP sales are expected to contribute to steady egg exports in 1993.

- Total exports are forecast at around 157 million dozen equivalent.
- Exports of egg products to Japan are expected to increase, as the U.S. maintains its lead in supplying egg products to Japan. Exports to Canada should hold steady.
- Hong Kong is expected to continue to be a big market for U.S. table eggs, but China remains its largest supplier.

For further information, contact: Richard Stillman and Agnes Perez, coordinators; Steve Reed, cattle; Leland Southard, hogs; Lee Christensen and Larry Witucki, poultry; Jim Miller and Sara Short, dairy. All are at (202) 219-1285. AO


Specialty Crops Overview


Orange Juice Prices Head Down

Large orange crops in Florida and Brazil in 1992/93 are pushing down orange juice prices. The Florida crop is expected to escape damage by cold temperatures. Some 90 percent of the Florida crop is usually processed into juice. Brazil supplies 80 percent of world orange juice exports. Sao Paulo accounts for 84 percent of Brazilian orange production and processes about 86 percent into juice. The U.S. is a major importer, but imports are expected to decline this season.

- Near-term futures prices for frozen concentrate fell as low as 67 cents per pound of solids in February from \$1.42 a year earlier. Near-term futures prices fell 32 cents between October and February.
- USDA forecasts the Florida crop at 188 million boxes—1 percent above the estimate made in October.
- In January, USDA raised its forecast for the Sao Paulo, Brazil commercial orange crop from 275 to 290 million boxes for the 1991/92 USDA crop year (Brazil's 1992 harvest).
- Brazil's 1992 orange juice production is estimated at 1.04 million metric tons (65 degrees Brix)—up 10 percent from 1991.
- U.S. orange juice consumption is expected to rise in 1993 because of lower prices.

Larger Orange Crops in Florida and Brazil . . .





Fresh Citrus Supplies Higher

A large California crop means larger supplies of fresh oranges in 1992/93. California provides nearly 80 percent of U.S. fresh-market oranges. California navels are grown for the fresh market, with only about 25 percent being processed.

 California's all-orange crop is up 8 percent from 1991/92.

- Navel orange crop in California is forecast 34 percent higher than last year, while Valencia production is expected to drop 19 percent.
- Marketing order restrictions on weekly shipments of navel oranges for fresh domestic use were discontinued on January 1, 1993.

 California's export volume as of early February was running almost even with a year earlier.

Fresh-market orange prices are lower than a year ago.

- Shipping-point prices for fresh oranges in California through January 1993 were 25 percent below a year earlier.
- Navel orange retail prices in December 1992 averaged 51.6 cents per pound—down 21 percent from December 1991.

Near-record grapefruit production in Florida will boost U.S. output in 1992/93. Texas expects its first substantial crop since the December 1989 freeze wiped out production. In recent non-freeze years, Florida has a counted for 80-85 percent of U.S. production, California 10-15 percent, with Arizona and Texas supplying the balance. Grapefruit prices are under pressure.

- U.S. grapefruit production is forecast up 25 percent in 1992/93.
- Florida's production is forecast 27 percent higher than last year.
- Forecast production in Texas is 1.3 million boxes—about 25 percent of the pre-freeze level.
- January shipping point prices for fresh Florida grapefruit were 10-25 percent below a year earlier.
- December retail prices averaged 52.4 cents per pound—1 percent below a year ago.

Lower domestic prices and bountiful Florida supplies should expand grape-fruit exports. A late crop has held early-season exports below a year ago, but exports should pick up as the season progresses.

 Exports are expected to increase in 1992/93 despite the slow start this season.

Navel Orange Marketing Order Restrictions Lifted

On December 29, 1992, a Federal District Court upheld a USDA decision not to approve regulation of the weekly sales volume of fresh navel oranges from California and Arizona for the remainder of the 1992/93 season. The Secretary of Agriculture had announced on December 14 an intention to discontinue Federal marketing order volume restrictions, or "prorates," for the remainder of the 1992/93 marketing season (November-April). But a temporary restraining order delayed implementation of the Secretary's decision until the District Court ruling. Regulation was suspended beginning **Јалиагу 1, 1993.**

Federal marketing orders are authorized under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 and subsequent amendments to the act. The prorate under the California-Arizona navel orange marketing order regulates the amount of oranges that shippers (also called handlers) may sell each week in the domestic fresh market, which is defined to include Canada. Each handler's share of the industry district prorate is proportional to the share of industry production under the handler's control in his or her production district. Export sales and sales to processors are not regulated.

The December suspension drew particular attention largely because of its timing, coming relatively early in the marketing season. Suspension of the prorate usually occurs near the end of the season. In all but two marketing seasons in the past, at least 75 percent of the California and Arizona navel orange crop had been harvested before volume regulations were discontinued for the season.

Restrictions were suspended in 1984/85 after 52 percent of the orange crop had been harvested, and in 1991/92 when 37 percent had been harvested. By contrast, only 26 percent of the crop was harvested at the time of the January 1, 1993 suspension—the earliest point in the season since the marketing order was established in 1953.

Secretary of Agriculture Reviews Regulation

Marketing orders are initiated by the industry and approved by growers through a voting process. The provisions of each marketing order are conceived by industry representatives, and upon being approved by the Secretary of Agriculture are administered by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee (NOAC), made up of a group of growers and handlers.

Prior to the beginning of each marketing season, the NOAC prepares a marketing policy statement that includes a proposed marketing plan based on estimated crop size and conditions and prospective consumer demand.

NOAC can recommend to the Secretary of Agriculture that volume restrictions be implemented. Based on supply and demand estimates, a tentative shipping schedule is developed for the season and published in the Federal Register for public comment.

During the season, NOAC meets each Tuesday to decide on the quantity of oranges to recommend for shipment to the domestic fresh market during the week beginning on the following Friday. The Secretary evaluates the Committee's recommended volume of shipments and based on the review.

can approve the Committee's recommendation, change volumes recommended, or not approve volume restrictions. Once the Secretary approves a quantity, each handler is legally bound, subject to adjustments, to ship no more than its share of the prorated amount.

Proponents argue that prorates prevent market gluts that unduly lower grower prices. They also claim that consumers benefit from greater within-season stability in volume and prices than in an unregulated market. Opponents argue that volume restrictions are an interference with freedom in individual decision making. They argue that the restrictions do not take quality into consideration since growers and handlers with low-quality produce are given the same access to the regulated market as those with high-quality produce. Opponents also argue that volume restrictions artificially inflate orange prices.

A federal marketing order for California and Arizona Valencia oranges also provides for weekly volume restrictions, but these have not been used in the past six seasons. There are no prorate regulations on fresh oranges from Florida and Texas.

In 1991/92, there were over 116,000 bearing acres of navel oranges in California and Arizona, and about 3,900 growers and 150 handlers. The farm value of the crop delivered to the packing houses was almost \$350 million. California and Arizona navel oranges accounted for nearly 75 percent of the total U.S. fresh utilization including exports of early, midseason and navel oranges in 1991/92.

[Boyd Buxton and Glenn Zepp (202) 219-0883]

 The U.S. is the major grapefruit exporting country, selling nearly 30 percent of its fresh use to foreign buyers. Prices of lemons are expected to be lower in 1992/93. Price gains are not likely to be as strong as usual this spring. The lime crop was damaged by Hurri-

cane Andrew, but imports of Mexican limes are expected to dampen price hikes.

 The lemon crop is 15 percent larger than last year.

Winter Fresh Market Vegetable Acreage is Up 2 Percent Harvested area Percent change 1992 1993 1.000 acres Snap beans 8.2 109 33 Broccoli* 27.0 26.0 4 Cabbage 9.4 9.0 4 Carrots* 26.2 27.7 6 Cauliflower* 10.0 10.5 5 7.9 7.9 Celery* 0 Sweet com 4.0 5.3 33 Eggplant OA 0.5 -37 1.5 1.3 -13 Escarole/endive Head lettuce 67.6 66.3 -2 Bell peppers* 4.4 4.5 2 Tomatoes. 17.1 18.0 5 184.1 187.9 2 Total Includes tresh market and processing.

- F.o.b prices for California-Arizona lemons averaged \$11.40 per 38pound carton through January down from \$14.66 a year earlier.
- Imports of limes are expected to account for nearly 80 percent of total
 U.S. fresh market supply in 1992/93.

Burley Tobacco Prices Higher

Burley tobacco beginning stocks and estimated marketings for 1992/93 are higher than a year earlier.

- U.S.-grown burley tobacco supply is estimated at 1.5 billion pounds—up 4 percent.
- Marketings included 7-8 million pounds of 1991-crop tobacco that was unsold because of insufficient quota.
- Auction prices for burley average \$1.82 a pound, 3 cents above last year.
- The basic burley quota was reduced 10 percent to 603 million pounds for 1993. Burley supports have not been set.

Flue-cured tobacco prices were stable in 1992/93 despite higher supports, because of larger marketings and beginning stocks, and weaker demand.

- U.S.-grown flue-cured supplies total about 2.1 billion pounds—about 1 percent higher than a year before.
- Growers' prices for flue-cured tobacco averaged \$1.728 per pound—up only 0.3 cents.
- The 1993 flue-cured basic quota at 892 million pounds is unchanged from 1992.

U.S. cigarette production was likely down in 1992 as export growth probably failed to offset the drop in domestic consumption. Growing foreign demand, larger supplies, and a weak U.S. dollar are boosting exports of unmanufactured U.S. tobacco. American tobacco is blended into foreign-made cigarettes.

- Exports likely set a record 200 billion cigarettes in 1992.
- Domestic cigarette consumption declined about 2 percent.
- Tobacco leaf exports rose about 15 percent, and are expected to increase slightly in 1993.


Fresh Vegetable Winter Acreage Up

Supplies of major vegetables are expected to be ample in 1993 despite heavy rains in Florida and California. U.S. prices soared last winter as volume from Mexico was disrupted by torrential rains. Mexican growers reportedly are expecting to ship near-normal volume this season.

- U.S. winter fresh vegetable acreage is up 2 percent.
- First-quarter prices at all levels of the marketing chain are expected to average at or below those of a year earlier.

[Glenn Zepp and Boyd Buxton (202) 219-0883]

For further information, contact:
Dennis Shields and Diane Bertelsen, fruit and tree nuts; Gary Lucier, vegetables;
Peter Buzzanell, sweeteners; Doyle Johnson, greenhouse/nursery; Verner Grise, tobacco; David Harvey, aquaculture; Lewrene Glaser, industrial crops.
All are at (202) 219-0883.



Commodity Spotlight



While oats planted acres in the U.S. continued to decline in 1992, the decline in harvested acres may be leveling off. Nongrain uses for oats include forage, pasture, conservation, and as a companion crop. In recent years, oats have been planted on ARP acres as a cover crop but not permitted to be harvested for grain. As the number of ARP acres has fallen, the number of oats acres planted has fallen as well. Oats were harvested for grain from 4.5 million acres in 1992, down only modestly from 1991.

The foremost reason for recent declines in harvested acreage is low net returns for oats compared with other grains. Program participants expected oats returns to be around \$35 per acre over variable

costs. If they planted wheat or barley on oats land, expected returns would have been about \$20 per acre higher. This difference has caused farmers to switch oats acres to other crops.

Participation in government price and income support programs for oats has been low. In 1990/91, only 9 percent of the eligible oats base was enrolled. In crop years 1991 and 1992, the oats participation rate rose to 38 and 40 percent, the highest since the 45-percent rate reached in 1987. Factors contributing to the rise include expected deficiency payments, a 0-percent acreage reduction program (ARP) requirement, and the opportunity to shift acreage out of oats under the flex acreage provisions.


U.S. Marks 10th Year As Top Oats Importer


nce a major producer and exporter of oats, the U.S. has become the world's largest importer. A decline in domestic oats supplies, combined with greater demand for high-quality oats for food and feed uses, accounted for the shift. A net exporter until 1982, the U.S. in 1991/92 imported the equivalent of nearly 10 percent of total domestic supplies, amounting to about 12 percent of total use. Sweden, Finland, and Canada have together supplied the U.S. with about 70 million bushels annually since 1989/90.

U.S. Oats Acreage Trends Downward

Since 1950, both planted and harvested acres of U.S. oats have declined steadily. While yields have increased, oats production has fallen from a high of 1.5 billion bushels in 1955 to an estimated 295 million bushels in 1992. Oats were planted on more than 47 million acres during 1955, compared with only 8 million in 1992.

U.S. Oats Imports Increase As Domestic Output Falls





Commodity Spotlight

	1970-74	1975-79	1980-84	1985-89	1990-92
		1	Percent of total	at	
Major exporters					
U.S.	21.9	8.7	3.0	0.7	1.3
Canada	5.7	13,4	5.1	23.6	21.2
Australia	18.3	25.6	16.7	13.7	11,3
Sweden	15.9	10.6	24.7	19.1	19.7
Finland	4.9	2.0	10.7	10.5	20,8
Argentina	8.5	11.7	7.0	7.8	5.8
Others	24.7	28.0	32.8	24.6	20.1
		1,	,000 metric to	ns	
otal exports	1,659	1,451	1,336	\$,706	1,733
			Percent of tot	a <i>l</i>	
Aajor Importers					
FSU	10.0	8.9	5.3	10.5	2.3
Japan	10.0	12.4	10,1	5.4	5.9
Italy	10.6	8.8	7.8	4.7	3.4
Belgium/Luxembourg	39	5 4	5.0	3.4	3.6
Netherlands	4.3	3.1	3.4	3.7	3.0
Switzerland	10.2	10.9	11.6	6.0	4.5
U.S.	1,2	1.1	18.0	44,7	60,6
Others	49.6	49,5	38.8	21.5	16.7
		1,	,000 metric to	ns	
	1,651	1,352	1,122	1.522	1.422

Oata Include intra-EC trade. World exports and imports do not balance due to differences in marketing years, grain in transit, and reporting discrepancies in some countries.

Nonetheless, oats participation in 1992/93 is just over half the rate for all other feed grains.

U.S. livestock feeders now rely less on oats than on other feed grains. Feed and residual use of oats throughout the 1950's averaged over 1 billion bushels annually. During the 1980's, the figure fell to around 400 million, and in 1992/93, only 230 million bushels are projected for feed and residual use.

Feed Use Declines As Food Use Grows

Oats use has declined steadily for many years, and throughout the 1970's, domestic supplies were more than enough to meet demand. Further, oats have typically had difficulty competing with other feed grains.

Heightened feeding efficiencies in livestock production have resulted in a switch away from oats. With a lower energy content, oats are not as efficient as corn for finishing or fattening animals. Oilseed meals and grain-byproduct feeds are more economical sources of protein. A combination of corn for energy and soybean meal for protein has replaced most other livestock feeds, including oats, in the typical animal ration. With abundant supplies of corn, oilseed meals, and byproduct feeds, U.S. demand for oats as a livestock feed has fallen dramatically. Use of high-quality oats by the U.S. racehorse industry has offset this decline somewhat.

Food uses of oats began to soar in 1987 following news reports that oat bran reduced serum cholesterol. In that year, oats consumed as food amounted to almost 50 million bushels. By the beginning of the 1990's, food use in the U.S. exceeded 100 million bushels annually for the first time. While food use has continued to climb, it is showing signs of leveling off.

U.S. Metamorphosis: Exporter to #1 Importer

For many years, the U.S. ranked among the world's largest oats exporters. U.S. sales abroad peaked in 1973 at 57 million bushels, over 40 percent of the world total. However, in 1982, the U.S. switched from net exporter to net importer, and since 1983/84 has been the world's largest importer.

The long decline in domestic production, the low test weights for some domestically grown oats, the nonwhite color of U.S. oats, as well as subsidized exports from other countries, helped keep U.S. oats off world markets. The first year for large imports occurred in the 1983/84 drought year, when about 30 million bushels entered the country. By 1991/92, imports reached an estimated 75 million bushels. These imports were the largest on record and accounted for about two-thirds of world trade.

Most of the oats imported into the U.S. in recent years have come from Sweden, Finland, and Canada. Small shipments have been imported from Norway and Poland. Although the proximity of Canada generally constitutes an economic advantage over trade with Sweden and Finland, Scandinavian oats offer desired characteristics in color, test weight, and milling capabilities.

In 1991/92, Sweden and Finland together exported about 60 million bushels to the U.S. But the costs of maintaining exportable supplies, and continued use of export subsidies, have taken a toll in Sweden and Finland. Both have tried to scale back production of many agricultural commodities, including oats.

World Supplies Tightening

Exportable supplies of Scandinavian oats have fallen dramatically in 1992/93, because of drought. During the summer of 1992, Scandinavia experienced higher-than-normal temperatures and lower rainfall. As a result, Finland's oats yields for 1992 are forecast at 3.02 tons per hectare, down from the 1991 record of 3.37

Commodity Spotlight

tons, and in Sweden, estimated yields fell to only 2.41 tons per hectare (down from 1991's near-record 4.13 tons).

Also in 1992, harvested oats acreage in Finland was below 1990's level by 120,000 hectares (27 percent). The harvested area in Sweden has held steady for about 3 years at about 350,000 hectares, but is about 70,000 hectares (17 percent) below 1989.

Canadian yields in 1992 are forecast to improve somewhat to reach 2.28 tons per hectare, and production is expected to rebound by more than 50 percent from the reduced level of a year earlier. Canadian exports in 1992/93 are projected at 650,000 tons, almost three times the level of 1991/92. Nonetheless, the 1992/93 level is likely to fall well short of 1988/89 and 1989/90 shipments of 700,000 and 728,000 tons.

U.S. oats imports are projected down in 1992/93, as a result of decreased exportable supplies from Sweden and Finland, uncertain availability of quality Canadian oats, and a larger U.S. crop. Imports are projected at 50 million bushels, compared with 75 million the previous year. The U.S., taking around three-fifths of all global oats imports forecast for 1992/93, will continue to account for the major world market share, although its import level will likely drop significantly.

The U.S. has been the major oats importing country for 10 years largely because of competitively priced foreign exportable supplies, foreign subsidies and, at times, relatively high domestic prices. If the Scandinavian countries are successful in curtailing oats production and in removing export subsidies, Canada will probably fill some of the gap. But it is unlikely that the U.S. will reach the 1991/92 level of imports in the near future. More important, if the level of foreign exportable supplies declines significantly, oats supplies and use in the U.S. are likely to fall out of balance. In the absence of a new and much higher equilibrium price in U.S. markets, adjustments that lead either to increase domestic production or decreased domestic use will become necessary.

[Jim Cole and Linwood Hoffman (202) 219-0840] AO

Farm Finance



Farm Credit Is Ample

arm income and asset values are expected to be strong enough to support moderate increases in debt levels in 1993. Funds for agricultural lending will be more than adequate, and farmers with sufficient collateral and income should have little trouble getting loans.

Nonetheless, both borrowers and lenders will be slow to generate new debt. Producers will continue to be cautious in taking on new debt, and lenders will carefully scrutinize the creditworthiness of borrowers. In a more vigilant regulatory environment, commercial banks are watching collateral requirements and placing greater emphasis on borrowers' ability to repay loans from current income.

Commercial banks, the source of about 40 percent of farm loans, will continue to be the largest supplier of agricultural credit. Those that lend to agriculture have loan-to-deposit ratios well below historic highs, which puts them in a good position to meet increased credit demand. The loan-to-deposit ratio inched up to 58.1 percent in the year ending September 30, 1992, but is far below the high of 68.2 percent recorded in 1968. Bankers

report that actual loan-to-deposit ratios are below desired levels.

The Farm Credit System (FCS), which makes about 25 percent of farm loans, is offering competitive interest rates and credit arrangements in an effort to enhance loan quality and expand market share. Competition to attract quality farm loans continues to be especially keen in many regions between FCS and commercial banks.

The availability of direct Farmers' Home Administration (FmHA) operating loans, which are made to family-sized farms unable to obtain credit elsewhere, is expected to be sufficient in fiscal 1993. Although FmHA's direct lending authority will decline, it is expected to remain well above actual lending. FmHA's operating loan authority, which is used for inputs such as seed, fertilizer, and chemicals, will be \$825 million, down 2.9 percent from fiscal 1992. FmHA's farm ownership authority, which covers farm mortgage borrowing, will be \$66.75 million, unchanged from 1992.

The extent of FmHA's authority to guarantee loans by commercial and cooperative lenders is expected to be sufficient in 1993, despite a decline of 9.8 percent. Only 92.5 percent and 55.9 percent of the ownership and operating 1992 credit lines were used. In 1992 a total of about \$1.57 billion of the overall \$2.48 billion of FmHA guaranteed loan authority was used. In 1993, \$2.24 billion will be available, and demand for loan guarantees is not expected to differ greatly from 1992.

Keen competition will likely continue among lenders for high-quality farm loans, and interest rates are expected to remain low. Farmers who are good credit risks and who can demonstrate adequate cash flow will have no difficulty in acquiring credit in 1993.

Farm Debt To Grow Slightly

Interest rates on farm loans declined in 1992 among the major agricultural lenders. Rates on new farm real estate loans declined about 90 basis points while those on new nonreal estate farm loans

Farm Finance

How Was Debt Capacity Estimated?

Debt capacity, the amount of money a farm business could borrow, depends on interest rates, repayment schedules, and the amount of income available to repay debt interest and principal. For the farm sector as a whole, income available to repay debt was approximated by net cash income from farm businesses. Aggregate off-farm income, living expenses, and income taxes were assumed to offset one another, and were excluded in the analysis presented here.

Debt capacity was assessed by applying a debt coverage ratio often used by agricultural lenders that debt repaynents should not exceed 80 percent of available income. A ratio of maximum supportable debt-assets is derived by dividing estimated debt capacity by actual asset values.

declined about 190 basis points from 1991. The average interest rate on all outstanding farm debt declined from 11.01 percent in 1982, its highest level since 1960, to an estimated 9.26 percent in 1992. Interest rates on new farm loans are expected to increase modestly throughout 1993, particularly for nonreal estate loans.

Farm debt is expected to increase 1-2 percent in 1993, following increases of 1.4 and 0.7 percent in 1991 and 1992. The volume of outstanding loans from commercial banks gained \$2 billion, or 3.9 percent, in 1992. The FCS reported \$52.4 billion in total program loans outstanding on September 30, 1992, 1.8 percent above a year earlier.

FmHA direct lending rose 12.4 percent in 1992, but with weak demand for its loan guarantees, total FmHA lending decreased 10.6 percent. FmHA's total farm loans outstanding at the end of the year amounted to 44.6 percent (\$10.9 billion) below the volume reported during the financial crisis of the mid-1980's. Lending by life insurance companies, which

in 1992 was 22.1 percent below its 1981 peak, is expected to be unchanged in 1993.

Farm real estate lending by commercial banks rose 6.3 percent in 1992, its 10th consecutive annual increase. The increase is due partly to continued stringent loan collateral requirements implemented during the farm financial crisis of the mid-1980's. More loans are now required to be secured by real estate, and the use of revolving lines of credit backed by real estate has increased.

FCS long-term real estate loans outstanding decreased 1.5 percent during the year ending September 30, 1992, reflecting a roughly stable demand for mortgage credit. FmHA made direct operating loans during fiscal 1992 of \$570.7 million, up 16.5 percent from fiscal 1991. Total FmHA direct obligations (operating, ownership, and emergency) increased 12.4 percent in 1992 from 1991 to \$712.2 million.

Essentially stable nominal farmland values will support growth in farm real estate debt. U.S. farmland values increased 1 percent in 1991, rose an estimated 1-2 percent in 1992, and are expected to increase about 2 percent in 1993. Al-

though inflation has exceeded gains in farmland values, the overall stability of land values and healthy cash income have lessened lenders' concerns about the erosion of collateral values.


Demand for nonreal estate loans should remain moderate in 1993. Farmers are expected to spend between \$144 and \$148 billion in 1993 for agricultural inputs, an increase of 1-2 percent from the estimated 1992 level. While planted acreage of major crops may decline slightly in 1993 and reduce the use of most crop inputs, total input expenses will likely remain stable or increase slightly, due to higher prices for manufactured inputs.

Farmers continue to be cautious in nonreal estate expenditures. Sales of farm machinery and equipment are sluggish despite lower interest rates and generally good harvests. Farmers appear to be purchasing new machinery less frequently.

Credit Tight For Some Borrowers

Credit is available on competitive terms for qualified borrowers. However, according to a study by the U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO), loan stan-





Farm Finance

dards remain stringent because of ongoing problems in the banking and savings and loan industries, and rural banks' losses during the mid-1980's farm financial crisis. Beginning and marginal farmers, those growing alternative crops, and others considered to be high credit risks may face special difficulties in obtaining credit. The availability of credit for minority farmers is a concern expressed by state officials and in several studies involving farm credit.

To obtain a commercial loan, a new farmer must have a substantial amount of equity because of high startup costs and risk associated with farming. Beginning farmers often lack the required equity to obtain credit.

The GAO looked at agricultural credit in Kansas, Mississippi, Montana, and Virginia. Lenders told GAO that financing 100 percent of a beginning farming operation would be extremely risky. To address the problem, some states have established programs to aid beginning farmers. In October 1992, Congress passed legislation requiring FmHA to provide credit assistance to beginning farmers and ranchers. FmHA is expected to put out proposed regulations this spring for implementing the program.

Farmers Can Support More Debt

Farm operators have the ability to carry additional debt. Despite the gradual erosion of real farm equity, farmers are expected to have adequate net cash income to meet their credit obligations and to support additional farm debt.

Historical evidence suggests that the actual level of debt in the farm sector is far below what could be supported. Since 1970, the maximum level of debt that could have been supported by farm businesses, relative to asset values, exceeded the actual debt-to-asset ratio in the farm sector in every year except 1980-82. Farm operators rapidly exhausted their debt repayment capacity during the late 1970's. By 1980-82, when interest rates continued to be high and asset values dropped, farm operators found themselves saddled with excessive debt.

During the remainder of the 1980's, farm debt was restructured, and as incomes recovered, debt was retired. Incomes in the mid- to late 1980's could have supported higher levels of debt, but as land values declined and heavily indebted farmers experienced loan repayment problems, lenders were reluctant to extend farm credit.

Total farm sector debt is about half of what could be repaid from current income. Debt could likely rise by about 20 percent without producing an uncomfortably high debt-to-asset ratio for the sector. This is not to suggest that farmers should again dramatically expand their borrowing: prudent borrowing depends on accurate expectations of the future profitability of farming activities. The gap between maximum supportable debt and actual debt does, however, indicate that the farm sector has the capability to tap its growing credit reserves. [Jerome M. Stam. James T. Ryan, and George B. Wallace (202) 219-0892]. AO

March Releases from USDA's Agricultural Statistics Board

The following reports are issued at 3 p.m. Eastern time on the dates shown.

March.

- 3 Broiler Hatchery Egg Products Pouttry Slaughter
- 4 Dairy Products
- 10 Broiler Hatchery Crop Production
- 11 Potato Stocks
- 12 Livestock Slaughter, Annual Turkey Hachery
- 15 Milk Production
- 17 Broiler Hatchery
- 18 Agricultural Chemical Usage-Field Crops
- 19 Cattle on Feed Livestock Slaughterr-
- 22 Catfish Processing
- Cold Storage
 Cotton Ginnings
 23 Face Chickens & Tu
- 23 Eggs. Chickens. & Turkeys Sheep & Lambs on Feed
- 24 Broiler Hatchery
- 25 Hop Stocks
- 26 Hogs & Pigs
- 29 Peanut Stocks & Processing
- 30 Agricultural Prices
 Wool & Mohair
- 31 Broiler Hatchery
 Grain Stocks
 Prospective Plantings
 Rice Stocks

Policy



Tax Policy Options & the Farm Sector

Stimulating job growth and generating more investment to compete in an increasingly interdependent world economy are major challenges facing the U.S. economy. Two options for using the tax code to address these challenges could have considerable impact on the agricultural sector.

One option aims to create more jobs through an investment tax credit for capital purchases such as machinery and equipment. A second option is to reinstate some form of preferential tax treatment of capital gains to encourage the formation and expansion of businesses.

Both measures have been part of the tax code at various times, and both were among the many tax preferences eliminated in the Tax Reform Act of 1986, which also lowered overall rates.

Tax Policies Past

Investments in depreciable capital have at times been eligible for an investment tax credit of 7-10 percent. For farmers, eligible assets have included machinery

Policy

and equipment as well as certain livestock and farm structures. The Federal income tax code historically has also contained some form of preferential treatment for gains generated from the sale of capital assets. Between 1922 and 1986, as much as 60 percent of the gains from the sale of long-term capital assets could be excluded from taxable income. Preferential treatment for capital gains has covered income from the sale of farmland and of breeding and dairy livestock.

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 repealed the 60-percent income exclusion for long-term gains while capping the maximum tax rate on realized capital gains at 28 percent, providing a 3-percentage-point tax rate benefit to individuals subject to the maximum Federal marginal income tax rate (31 percent). The repeal of the 60-percent exclusion for long-term capital gains resulted in a substantial increase in Federal income tax liabilities for farmers, especially livestock farmers.

Both an investment tax credit and a lower tax rate on capital gains, if applicable to assets used in farming, could increase agricultural output. The increased rate of return on farmland would be capitalized into land values, increasing farmland prices.

Investment Tax Credit: Effective but Costly

An investment tax credit has the effect of substantially reducing the cost of eligible capital assets. Research examining the impact of tax policies on investment in agricultural equipment over the 1956-78 period concluded that over 20 percent of net investment was attributable to tax policies. A USDA study of the repeal of the investment tax credit and other policies affecting the cost of capital contained in the Tax Reform Act of 1986 estimated that the stock of farm machinery and equipment would be 25 percent (\$4 million) less than under pre-1986 law. The repeal of the investment tax credit accounted for approximately 89 percent of the projected decline.

Tax Options in Brief

An investment tax credit allows a taxpayer to subtract a specific amount spent on capital purchases from his or her tax liability. For example, if a farmer purchases a \$30,000 tractor and it is covered by a 10-percent investment tax credit, he or she could subtract \$3,000 from the year's tax liability. In effect, the government would pay 10 percent of the purchase price of the machinery or equipment, providing a powerful purchase incentive.

Capital gains are increases in the values of capital assets, such as stocks in a corporation or farmland, Capital gains are "realized" and taxed when the assets are sold. Preferential treatment of capital gains means the income from asset sales is taxed at a rate lower than other income, or that part of the gain is excluded from income. Under the current Federal tax code, the full amount of the gain on the sale of a capital asset is taxed at ordinary income tax rates but is subject to a maximum rate of 28 percent. With a capital gains exclusion, the tax code would encourage more investment in capital assets.

For many farmers, the investment tax credit substantially reduced Federal income tax liability. For example, in 1982, about half of all farmers were eligible for an average tax credit of approximately \$1,400 per year. The benefit to large farms was even greater, with nearly 85 percent of farms with gross receipts over \$250,000 eligible for an investment tax credit on average of over \$10,000.

While an investment tax credit is an effective policy tool for stimulating investment, it tends to favor certain forms of economic activity over others and to discriminate among firms within an industry. Investment decisions may be distorted by encouraging investments in assets or activities eligible for the credit rather than in those that would produce a greater economic return in the absence of such a credit.

The tax credit, by lowering the cost of capital purchases, benefits farm machinery dealers and other input suppliers. It expands the production capacity of the farm sector and induces productivity growth. This can result in lower commodity prices and increased costs to government for some farm programs, particularly deficiency payments. And for some farmers, the reduced income associated with lower prices could more than offset the benefit from the investment tax credit.

Tax Credits In Many Shades

The array of policy alternatives regarding the investment tax credit includes the following:

- continue the current policy of no investment tax credit;
- restore a broad-based 10-percent investment tax credit similar to what existed before the Tax Reform Act of 1986;
- provide an incremental tax credit applied only to investment above a specified base or threshold amount;
- enact a targeted investment tax credit for investment only in specified classes of property determined to be the most productive or socially desirable.

Among the alternatives, restoration of an across-the-board investment tax credit of 10 percent would result in the greatest revenue loss to the government. In farming alone, the revenue loss could approach \$2 billion. A broad-based investment tax credit could be enacted at a lower rate, but the credit would be less effective.

Enactment of an incremental tax credit for investment above a certain base would substantially limit tax revenue loss. While this approach would favor some new businesses, it would provide little or no benefit to those firms that had invested heavily during the base period.

Under the final alternative, a targeted tax credit, a much narrower class of property would be eligible for a tax credit. The tax credit could be withheld for certain types of farm property, such as single-purpose agricultural structures, or for farms likely to expand production in response to a tax credit and increase the cost of government farm commodity programs. While a targeted tax credit may be the most cost-effective alternative, it may be the most difficult to enact due to equity concerns that would arise in favoring certain industries or classes of assets over others.

Impacts of Capital Gains

Preferential tax treatment of capital assets reduces potential income tax liability, increasing the relative rate of return on assets and providing incentives to invest. By increasing the amount of capital available for investment, the capital gains preference reduces the cost of capital to businesses.

Policies aimed at restoring some form of preferential treatment for capital assets have important implications for farmers. From 1987 to 1989, a minimum of 35 percent of the tax returns of farm sole proprietors reported capital gains. This compares with 11-13 percent of nonfarm returns over the same period. The average capital gain reported by farm sole proprietors ranged from slightly over \$13,000 to nearly \$16,000.

A lower capital gains tax would reduce Federal income tax liability for many farmers. But for both nonfarm and farm sole proprietors, a large portion of the resulting tax reductions would accrue to relatively high-income individuals. In 1989, approximately 48 percent of the capital gains to farm sole proprietors was reported by those with adjusted gross incomes above \$200,000.

A lower tax rate on capital gains could spur investment in farmland. It could also encourage farm proprietors to adopt management practices designed to maximize income eligible for capital gains treatment.

Since 1986, the tax liability associated with the sale of farmland that has been held for many years has been a significant concern for farmers planning to retire. On the other hand, the higher capital gains taxes have reduced the incentive to convert fragile rangeland and wetlands to cropland.

Capital Gains Options

Since the Tax Reform Act of 1986 repealed the 60-percent exclusion of capital gains from taxable income, various proposals have been made regarding policy on preferential treatment:

- maintain the current 28-percent maximum tax rate on realized capital gains;
- index capital assets for inflation;
- provide a graduated exclusion depending upon the length of time an asset is held; one recent proposal would exclude gains from assets held between 1 and 2 years, 2 and 3 years, and 3 or more years at 10, 20, and 30 percent;
- target preferential treatment to certain types of capital investments.

Under current law, only high-income individuals subject to the maximum 31-percent income tax rate benefit from the 28-percent tax rate cap for capital gains. These benefits would increase substantially if the top marginal income tax rate is increased—an option that has been considered. The differential between the rate on capital gains and the rate on other income could encourage individuals to invest more in agricultural assets such as farmland, and breeding and dairy livestock, in order to generate capital income.

Indexation of capital gains would ensure that only real gains, and not inflationary gains, would be subject to taxation. Under current law, taxes are imposed on nominal changes in asset values. The primary benefits of indexing in agriculture would accrue to owners of farmland held for a long period, since a large part of the increase in value is often attributed to inflation. Other eligible farm assets, such as livestock, are generally held for shorter periods and would not likely benefit from indexation.

A graduated exclusion would encourage long-term investment by increasing the tax benefits the longer the asset is held. If the exclusion of capital gains from taxable income applied to all capital assets, all farmers reporting capital gains would benefit, with the benefits depending on the individual's marginal tax rate and on how long the assets were held.

An example of targeted preferential treatment is a proposal to exclude 50 percent of the capital gains from newly issued stock of small companies held for 5 or more years. This policy approach would target specific types of investment deemed to be the most effective in stimulating the economy and creating jobs. The foregone tax revenue would also be lower than under a general capital gains exclusion. The implications for agriculture would depend upon the types of investments targeted for capital gains treatment.

[Ron Durst and Michael Compson (202) 219-0897[AO

Upcoming Reports from USDA's Economic Research Service

The following are March release dates for summaries of the ERS reports listed. Summaries are issued at 3 p.m. Eastern time.

March

- 12 Sugar & Sweeteners
- 17 Fruit & Tree Nuts
- 18 Agricultural Outlook
- 24 Aquoculture

Food & Marketing



Food Prices: 1992 Wrap-up, 1993 Outlook

inal data for 1992 confirm ERS expectations for the smallest food price rise in 25 years, with the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for food up 1.2 percent from 1991. The price of food in grocery stores rose 0.7 percent, while food sold in restaurants and fast-food outlets averaged 2 percent above 1991. By comparison, the CPI for all goods and services rose 3 percent.

Large supplies of foodstuffs, as well as weak consumer demand resulting from sluggish economic conditions, account for the small rise in food prices in 1992.

Weakness in consumer demand for food can affect the mix of food purchased. As incomes were squeezed, consumers were "buying down"—the term used by the grocery trade to mean that consumers are steering away from highly processed, premium-priced foods. Sales of prepared foods, such as entrees for microwaving and other "heat and serve" foods, were down as much as 40 to 50 percent for

some items in 1992. These indications of weak consumer demand were evident over most of the last 2 years.

Restaurant and other away-from-home food prices also felt the impact of weaker consumer demand. Nonfood costs such as labor, rent, and fixtures—85-90 percent of the away-from-home food dollar—usually rise at about the same rate as inflation. In 1992, weak consumer demand dampened menu price increases, and the CPI for food away from home was 1 percent lower than the 3-percent general inflation rate.

Price Increases Moderate in 1993

Food prices in 1993 are expected to rise 2-4 percent, still a relatively moderate pace. This year, supplies of foodstuffs will be more than ample, while consumer demand will be stronger as economic

conditions improve. These offsetting effects on food prices will work to hold increases in the low-to-moderate range.

Meat supplies will surpass 1992 record levels as pork and poultry production continues to expand. Larger supplies of meat and the resulting lower prices were major factors dampening food CPI growth last year. Meat and poultry account for about 25 percent of consumer food expenditures in grocery stores. Stronger consumer demand in 1993 should offset the price-depressing effect of larger supplies and keep meat prices very near last year's levels. Currently, beef, pork, and poultry prices are expected to remain within 2 percent above or below 1992 prices.

Supplies of oranges are also ample this season, both for processing and fresh markets. The Florida crop is 34 percent larger than last year. Since most of the Florida crop is used for processing,


Prices for Meat	Fish, and	Fresh Fruits	To B	Lower	In 1993
------------------------	-----------	--------------	------	-------	---------

	1990	1991	1992	Forecast 1993
Consumer Price Index		Percer	t change	
All items	5,4	4.2	3.0	2 to 4
Food	5.8	2.9	1.2	2 to 4
Food away from home	4.7	3.4	2.0	2 to 4
Food at home	6.5	2.6	0.7	1 to 3
Meat, poultry, and fish	7.3	2.3	-0.8	-2 to 2
Meats	10.1	3.1	-1.4	-2 to 2
Beef and yeal	8.0	2.8	-0.1	-2 to 2
Pork	14.7	3.3	-4.7	-2 to 2
Other meats	9.3	3.7	0.2	-2 to 2
Poultry	-0.2	-0.8	-0.1	-2 to 2
Fish and seafood	2.2	1,1	2.3	-2 to 2
Eggs	4.7	-2.3	-10.6	4 to 6
Dairy products	9,4	-1.1	2.7	2 to 4
Fats and oils	4.2	4.3	-1.4	1 to 3
Fresh fruits and vegetables	8.0	4.6	-0.3	1 to 3
Fresh fruits	12.1	13.5	-5.0	-3 to-1
Fresh vegetables	5.6	2.2	2.3	2 to 4
Processed fruits and vegetables	6.2	-1.9	2,7	1 to 3
Processed fruits	8.7	-3.7	4.5	1 to 3
Processed vegetables	2.7	0.8	0.2	1 to 3
Sugar and sweets	4.4	3.7	29	2 to 4
Cereals and bakery products	5.7	4.1	39	3 to 5
Nonalcoholic beverages	2.0	0.5	0.2	1 to 3
Other prepared foods	4.5	4.5	2.2	3 to 5

Source. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Food & Marketing Indicators

Food & Marketing






CPI: Food at home



CPI: Food away from home?



Retall cost of food1




Farm value of food1



Farm-retall spread1




Farm share of retail coat1



Food marketing cost index²




Index of hourly earnings 3,4




index of packaging prices*



index of rall freight rates



Index of energy rates



[°]CPI unadjusted. Index based on market basket of farm foods. Index of changes in labor, packaging transportation, energy, and other marketing costs. In food refailing, wholesaling, and processing. Component of food marketing cost index.

All series expressed as percentage change from preceding quarter, except for 'Farm share of retail cost' chart For info on OCR and PDF Compression go to our website

Food & Marketing

How Do Food Prices Follow Grain Prices?

Common sense dictates that falling grain prices exert downward pressure on food prices, yet one may want to know by how much, how soon, and for how long. Historical movements of monthly producer price indexes since 1975 indicate that when grain prices fall, wholesale price indexes of foodstuffs/feedstuffs (for example, fruits and vegetables, grains ready to be processed, eggs, fish, and live poultry), of processed foods, and of food generally began falling within a month.

Historically, each percentage point decline in grain prices has led to an average decline of about a quarter (.23) of a percent in wholesale foodstuff/feedstuff prices and declines of about a tenth of a percent in processed and wholesale prices. On average, these decreases have endured from 3-6 months after the fall in grain prices.

A statistical model was used to describe the relationships among grain prices, prices of foodstuffs/feedstuffs, meat prices, processed food prices, and wholesale food prices. A grain price decrease rather than an increase was chosen as the shock for the model simulation because grain prices are falling this year. The model simulated the effects of a decline of nearly 5 percent (4.6 percent) in grain prices because this has been the average random change in the grain price index over the sample period.

In the food marketing chain, the pricing of foodstuffs and feedstuffs more closely follows grain price movements than does the pricing of processed and wholesale food products. Foodstuff/feedstuff products involve less preparation and packaging, compared with processed and wholesale food products. So grain prices are a larger component of foodstuff/feedstuff product prices. Hence, a grain price shock results in a more severe change in the prices of foodstuffs and feedstuffs than in the prices of processed and wholesale foods, both in magnitude and duration.

Put another way, history shows that a one-time 10-percent drop in grain prices is associated with declines of 2.3 percent in the prices of food-stuffs/feedstuffs and declines of only about 1 percent in the prices of processed and wholesale foods. Effects on foodstuff/feedstuff prices would last 6 months, on average, compared with 3 months for the prices of processed and wholesale foods.

The effect of a drop in grain prices on the meat price index is negligible. This may be because the aggregate meat price index is a weighted average of individual meat prices—47 percent beef, 1.2 percent lamb, 26.1 percent pork, and 26 percent other meats—that can move in offsetting directions when grain prices change. [Ronald A. Babula (202) 219-0785]

orange juice prices will be lower in 1993. The California navel orange crop is record high this season, exerting downward pressure on fresh orange prices.

Large supplies and lower prices for oranges, along with record-large supplies of apples and ample supplies of other fresh fruits, will likely keep fruit prices moderate this year. The CPI for fresh fruit is forecast to fall 1-3 percent in 1993.

No foods are anticipated to be in short supply in 1993, indicating that farm prices will likely be near last year's levels for most commodities. Some commodities are in exceptionally large supply, so farm prices will be lower than last year. As a result, the farm value of food is not likely to boost the retail cost.

Costs of processing and distributing food generally change at a rate close to the inflation rate for all goods and services. This year, even with a stronger economy, inflation is not likely to be much different from last year's rate of 3 percent. But the costs of processing and distributing account for about 70 percent of the retail food dollar, so even a small change in those costs would be a significant factor in food price increases in 1993.

The very small rise in food processing and distribution costs, somewhat stronger consumer demand, and probable lower farm values for food will hold retail food price increases in the range of 2-4 percent.

[Ralph Parlett (202) 219-0870] AO

Grain Price Change Has Longest, Strongest Effect on Feedstuffs/Foodstuffs

Months following grain price decline of 4.6%	Feedstuffs/ foodstuffs	Processed to ods	Wholesale foods
		Percent change	
1	-1.17	-0.30	-0.28
2	-1.22	-0.35	-0.36
3	-1.10	-0 29	-0.31
4	-0.98	_	-
5	-0.88	· ·	_
6	-0.79		_

Price changes not shown were not statistically different from zero at the 2 percent level



FOCUS ON FOOD: COSTS AND CONSUMPTION

How much are food costs changing? Why? How much of the consumer food dollar goes to farmers and how much goes to food processors and marketers? How has American per capita food consumption changed in the past 20 years? Find the answers in these NEW products from the Economic Research Service.

Food Cost Review, 1991. August 1992. Order # AER 662. 60 pages. \$8.00.

This annual publication reports recent developments in food prices, farm-to-retail price spreads, food spending, profits, and marketing costs in the food industry. This report also discusses price-spread changes for leading food items, such as Choice beef, milk, and bread. Topics include why consumers had to pay moderately higher prices for most foods at the supermarket in 1991 and why some food were better buys. Why the the 1991 farm value (what farmers receive) of USDA's market basket of foods was lower than in 1990. And why marketing charges (labor, packaging, transportation, and energy) made up more than three-fourths of last year's retail expenditures for food that originated on U.S. farms.

Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-90. August 1992. Order # SB 840. 160 pages. \$14.00

This report is a comprehensive and convenient source for historical data on per capita consumption of major food commodities in the United States, including the basic data on supplies and disposition from which the consumption estimates are derived. It also includes information about population, income, prices, and expenditures related to food consumption. This statistical bulletin makes good use of fact-filled tables and illustrative charts.

Food Consumption Electronic Database. July 1992. Order # 89015B (one 3.5" disk) [Lotus 1-2-3 (.WK1)]. \$25.00.

These disks provide per capita food consumption by commodity and commodity group, 1966-90; supply and use by commodity and commodity group, 1966-90, and food expenditures, 1869-1990.

SPECIAL PACKAGE! Food Consumption, Prices, and Expenditures, 1970-90 Report AND Electronic Database. August 1992. Order # 92PK01 (one copy of SB 840 and one 3.5" disk). \$30.00.



To order these products, call the ERS-NASS order desk, toll free, 1-800-999-6779 (in the United States and Canada, other areas call 703-834-0125). Please have your credit card ready.

Or write to: ERS-NASS

341 Victory Drive Herndon, VA 22070



New Directions for Vietnam's Economy

ietnam entered the top ranks of rice exporters in 1989, as government reforms moved the economy in a more market-oriented direction. The economic and institutional changes have altered the domestic economy, and together with a new open-door policy, have led to rapid increases in foreign trade and investment.

A number of factors point to continued prosperity for Vietnam's economy: its strong natural resource base, well-educated and low-cost labor force, and relatively small state sector by Communist-country standards. The country has made impressive progress in economic stabilization and growth despite the lack of foreign aid since 1990. Moreover, southern Vietnam's capitalist legacy has kept alive its entrepreneurial spirit.

Still, continued economic growth will depend on keeping the reform process moving in the face of a high budget deficit, a heavy debt burden, and growing unemployment. Low levels of education and health spending are threatening the development of the nation's human capital, and increased foreign aid will likely be necessary in order for economic progress to continue. And the recent growth in rice exports raises questions about the future direction of the nation's food policy.

While much has been accomplished, further institutional reforms are needed to address problems in the banking system, land tenure, and the legal environment if domestic and foreign investment are to continue rising.

The Rocky Path Toward a Market Economy

Vietnam's transition toward a market-based economy from a centrally planned system began in the early 1980's. Before then, the country's development strategy was designed to extract agricultural surplus from rural areas and channel state investment to support developing a heavy industrial base.


In 1981, the government introduced several market-oriented economic reforms to boost the stagnant economy. But these had relatively little effect because of the continued heavy emphasis on industry.

That emphasis shifted in 1986, when the "Doi Moi," or renovation policy, was implemented with the objective of developing a multisectoral market economy. Policy makers, realizing they had favored industry to the detriment of agriculture, placed greater emphasis on food production and the farm sector.

Nonetheless, economic problems persisted. Growth and investment were low. The government relied heavily on Soviet concessional loans and aid. The government also accelerated money creation in order to finance its expenditures, resulting in near-hyperinflation. Agricultural production stagnated, and northern Vietnam suffered food shortages in 1987.

By the second half of 1988, an economic crisis had developed. Rapid inflation of 30 percent per month and a sharp reduction in Soviet assistance prompted another round of reforms in late

Vietnam Has Become a Major Player In the World Rice Export Business



Percent of world rice exports, 1993 forecast. Source: USDA, Foreign Agricultural Service.

1988. But it was not until 1989 that a set of well-coordinated institutional and price reforms was put in place and began to take effect.

1989: A Turning Point

In early 1989, a more integrated package of monetary, price, and exchange-rate policies was implemented to stabilize the economy. Prices were decontrolled. Other critical changes included a restructuring of financial arrangements so that interest rates became positive after adjusting for inflation, a massive exchange-rate devaluation, and the elimination of consumer subsidies.

Price and institutional reforms decentralized and liberalized many markets. Price controls on most goods and services were abolished, a new land law was put into effect, and trade liberalization was advanced by stripping state trading companies of their monopoly control over imports and exports.

The immediate effects were profound. Inflation subsided, and as a result households shifted part of their assets from rice, gold, and dollars and back into the domestic currency, the dong. Goods shortages disappeared, and Vietnam's real growth rose to 8 percent. Rice production increased, and Vietnam shifted from being a net importer of rice to the world's third-largest exporter.


Growth slowed in 1991, however, as the country encountered a new set of constraints. Poor weather reduced rice output by 1.3 million tons, and exports declined 600,000 tons. Unemployment, officially estimated at 4.7 percent, was thought to be as high as 20 percent by some analysts. An inadequate banking system, credit constraints, a growing budget deficit, and a large debt burden also dampened the positive effects of reforms.


Nevertheless, industrial production increased by 5.3 percent, inflation remained under control, and real interest rates were positive. Despite the collapse and loss of its major trading partner, the Soviet Union, Vietnam ended 1991 with an overall increase in total trade value to \$4 billion, up 10 percent from 1990.

Although exports to areas without freely convertible currencies (Soviet Union and Eastern Europe) fell by over 90 percent, from 1990 to 1991 Vietnam was able to increase trade with countries such as Singapore, Japan, Hong Kong, and Taiwan. Exports to Asian countries increased by 73 percent.

Vietnam intends to continue trade with the former Soviet republics, and is developing trade relations with China, through both formal and informal channels. Smuggling across the border of Vietnam and southern China is estimated to account for almost \$300 million each year, 5-10 percent of the total trade between the two countries.

After 1988, Vietnam's Rice Exports Grew As Production Rose





1993 forecast. Source: USDA, Foreign Agricultural Service.

Unless Vietnam obtains additional foreign aid and loans, it may be difficult to consolidate its reforms further without harming short-term socioeconomic development. In April 1992, the U.S. lifted an embargo on humanitarian and food aid, farm inputs, and farm machinery. The embargo had been in place since 1975. In November, Japan announced its intention to provide development assistance to Vietnam. More recently, France announced it would nearly double its aid this year. South Korea, Australia, and Finland are also planning to give aid in 1993.

A key question in Vietnam's future economic growth and development is the timing of a resumption of assistance from the U.S. and from multilateral agencies such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

Vietnam: Not By Rice **Al**one...

Vietnam, with a population of 68 million, is the largest country in Indochina—a region associated with decades of war and destruction. Vietnam's economy is based on agriculture. Nearly 80 percent of its population lives in rural areas, and 72 percent of the labor force works in the agricultural sector. Agriculture accounts for 51 percent of the nation's gross domestic product (GDP) and 35-40 percent of total export value.

The main agricultural activities are centered in the Red River Delta in the north and the Mekong Delta in the south. Rice is the single most important crop, contributing over 60 percent of average daily caloric intake and providing a large share of farmers' household income. Approximately 50 percent of the rice is produced along the Mekong Delta, 20 percent along the Red River Delta, and the remainder elsewhere in hilly and mountainous regions.

Sweet potatoes, corn, cassava, and wheat are other important staples, especially among low-income households.

Raw materials, minerals, food, and other agricultural commodities make up the majority of Vietnam's exports. Crop and livestock products accounted for 42 percent of total trade value in 1990 and 35 percent in 1991. In addition to rice, agricultural exports include peanuts, coffee, tea, and rubber. Vietnam experienced a trade surplus in the first nine months of 1992, due mostly to an increase in crude oil exports.

Rice & Reform

The collectivization of Vietnamese agriculture, modeled on the Soviet and Chinese systems, began in 1959 in North Vietnam, following land reform and redistribution programs. Attempts to collectivize the south followed the collapse of the South Vietnamese government in 1975. But success in the south was limited. By 1986, only 42 percent of southern farmers were collectivized, compared with 96.7 percent in the north. In the Mekong Delta province, the south's major farming area, as few as 6 percent of the farmers belonged to cooperatives.

Rice production increased immediately following the war and unification of North and South, but soon stagnated, and actually declined in 1977 and 1978. The poor performance led to agricultural reforms in the early 1980's.

Farmers switched from a collectivized system of crop production controlled by the state to a contract system patterned on the Chinese model. Under the new system, farmers entered into contracts with cooperatives to produce a specified amount on their land. Farmers could use their excess crops for home consumption or sale to private traders.

Among Vietnam's crops, rice has responded most dramatically to the agricultural reforms. The switch to a contract system lifted rice productivity, mostly through growth in yields and increases in double cropping. From 1980 to 1984, yields in the north increased by 32 percent, and in the south by 24 percent. The country's total rice production increased 2.8 percent a year from 1982 to 1987, while area planted grew by less than 1 percent.

From Rice Importer To Exporter

The contract system was successful in boosting production. But because of remaining institutional barriers, it was less effective in sustaining productivity growth. In 1988, most of these barriers were removed in a series of reforms that liberalized the rice sector. The reforms privatized the rice markets, decentralized input supplies, and granted households long-term leases on their land with intergenerational transfer rights. Individuals were given greater freedom to make their own decisions about how much to work and which crops to grow.

By 1989, Vietnamese rice production had begun the transition to a market-oriented system, and the country became a major exporter of rice. Other economic reform measures contributed to the rise in Vietnamese exports:

- elimination of subsidized rice sales to government employees;
- control of inflation so that rice was no longer used as a store of wealth;
- devaluation of the currency, increasing Vietnam's competitiveness in international markets; and
- release of farm-level stocks acquired under the old system.

In 1990/91, drought, floods, and insect infestations reduced rice output. During the next year, the agricultural situation improved and food grain production grew by about 17 percent. Favorable weather, adequate fertilizer supplies, and a record planted area contributed to the large 1991/92 crop, estimated at 14.5 million tons. Exports for calendar 1992 likely reached 2 million tons, double the 1991 level. Planted area is expected to increase and exports are expected to be strong in 1993.

Will Rice Exports Continue To Grow?

Many of Vietnam's economic reforms were initially expected to give a one-time boost to the economy, including the agriculture sector. But the effects of the most recent round of reforms have surpassed past reforms both in duration and magnitude. The

question remains whether increased growth in rice production and exports will continue.

Policy reforms have led to improved production technology through increased fertilizer use, greater use of modern high-yielding seed varieties, and more investment in irrigation. As a result, yield growth has outpaced area expansion in the last decade, a trend likely to continue as land availability and multiple-cropping potential decline. But without significant investment in water management, soil conservation, and basic research, additional use of high-yielding varieties can be expected to produce only marginal gains in output.

New rice varieties able to overcome conditions faced by farmers in the Red River and Mekong Deltas could substantially increase output in those areas. Rice production in the Red River Delta is susceptible to weather-related problems, such as cold spells and drought in the dry season and uncontrolled flooding in the rainy season. In the south, pest infestation is a problem, and acidic and saline soils hinder the use of imported cultivars.

Aside from biophysical constraints are questions about access to agricultural resources and the future direction of the reform process. For instance, in northern and central Vietnam, access to land in villages still depends on collective decisions, while in the south, access is "less" collectivized and more "private." In both areas, land-use conflicts have increased as some families try to regain land appropriated during reform and collectivization drives.

A lack of well-developed markets for inputs, output, and labor continue to inhibit agricultural production. While domestic markets operate freely for both inputs and output at the retail level, state companies still control some of the wholesale trade in agricultural inputs. The state still provides subsidized inputs, subsidized credit, and tax breaks to the state's agriculture sector at the expense of individual farmers. And farmers face credit constraints, a lack of agricultural extension services, and high transport costs for moving grains from surplus to deficit regions and to ports for export. Traders and food processing companies face shortages of hard currency and a lack of capital, which continue to hinder their ability to move the rice.

Sustained growth of agriculture in general will depend on several steps:

- further decentralization of decisions on land use and crop planting;
- reform of the price and marketing structure for imported inputs and exported outputs;
- · increased availability of longer term agricultural credit; and
- privatization of land holdings to encourage more efficient production.

Investments in irrigation, water control, soil conservation, and new technologies for secondary crops are also critical to sustaining growth in agricultural output.

A critical issue is the relationship between rice exports and domestic consumption. To what degree will rice exports limit domestic food consumption? Although the country is self-sufficient in rice, its regional imbalances in production and availability may lead to food deficiencies in some areas. Poor marketing infrastructure and transportation inhibit the movement of grains from surplus to deficit regions.

Policy Reforms Have Reshoped Vietnam's Economy Since 1980



Monetary reform	1989	Interest rate restructured Exchange devaluation; exchange rate to be determined by the market and adjusted for initiation.
Fiscal reform	1989	Elimination of consumer subsidies to state employees and military Introduction of revenue-elastic taxes (i.e., personal income tax)
Financial reform	1988	Liberalization and decentralization of banking system Removal of banks from state control; commercial banks to serve agriculture, industry, commerce, and foreign trade
Price reform	1989	- Removal of price controls on most goods and services
Agrarian reform	1981-87	Oecollectivization of agriculture to a cooperatively managed contract system Restoration of family farm as basic economic unit
	1988	 New land law, granting households user rights to farmland for up to 15 years, and intergenerational transfer rights Privatization of output markets, decentralization of input supplies, and greater decision-making power for individual farm households
Trade reform	1987 1989	Foreign investment law ushering in new open-door policy New tariff schedule; reduction of quotas on imports and experts Reduction of monopoly control by centralized state trading companies
	1991	Granting of direct access to foreign markets for all enterprises, private or state owned Establishment of preferential tax and financial measures to encourage export production

Vietnam's Rice Exports Reshuffle World Market

Rice exports from Vietnam in calendar 1992 likely reached 2 million metric tons, nearly displacing the U.S. as the second-largest exporter. Vietnam's share of world exports is estimated at 13.4 percent, just behind the U.S. market share of 14.4 percent. This share represents the highest ever attained by Vietnam, while the U.S. share is its lowest since 1961.

In calendar 1989, Vietnam burst onto the international market with rice exports totaling 1.4 million metric tons (milled basis). That year Vietnam became the world's third-largest exporter, behind only Thailand and the U.S. Thailand also made dramatic gains in market share in 1989, capturing 40 percent of world trade, while the U.S. market share held steady at about 20 percent.

Prior to 1989, Vietnam had been a net importer. The country averaged only 79,000 metric tons of exports from 1980 to 1988, while its imports averaged 230,000 metric tons per year. In the mid-1980's the government began to implement a series of market-oriented agricultural policy changes that unleashed the productivity of the Vietnamese farmer.

Vietnam's jump in the share of world rice exports came mostly at the expense of the low-quality, long grain markets previously dominated by Pakistan, China, and Burma (now Myanmar). Since 1989, Vietnam's market share has continued to grow, almost entirely at the expense of Thailand's low-quality markets in Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and Latin America. Vietnam has been able to sell its rice at a 10- to 15-percent discount to rice of comparable grade and quality from Thailand,

As Victnam's dominance in low-quality markets has risen. Thailand has focused more intensely on its higher quality markets, where it competes directly with the U.S. With this shifting trade pattern. Thailand has made inroads into U.S. market share, particularly in Middle Eastern and Latin American markets. Recently Pakistan, Italy, India, China, and Uruguay have regained their pre-1989 market shares.

Recent policy reforms suggest that Vietnam will remain a major player in the international rice market. To date, Vietnam's export focus has been in the low-quality market. That may be changing. Increased investment in domestic infrastructure, as well as storage, milling, and handling capabilities could lift exports of higher quality rice in the future and offer stiffer competition to Thai and U.S. growers,

[Randy Schnepf (202) 219-0826]


In order to ensure national food security, the government could intervene in the market by restricting rice exports and redistributing rice from surplus to deficit areas. A broader national food policy would likely confront issues of equitable food distribution across regions, attempting to balance the interests of rural areas, producers and consumers, and socioeconomic groups with different resource endowments.

Whether or not Vietnam can sustain or increase rice exports in the coming decade depends upon answers to several questions:

 Will Vietnam continue on its path of economic growth, stability, and increased agricultural productivity?

- What will be the longer term effects of the reforms on income growth and distribution across regions and socioeconomic groups?
- How will these changes affect households' abilities to diversify diets away from rice and toward wheat-based foods and livestock products?
- What shape would a national food policy take?
- What lies ahead in world export markets?

[Carol Levin (202) 219-0610 and Mark Giordano (202) 219-0705] AO



South Korea: Prosperity at a Crossroads

South Korea's steady march to prosperity has reached a crossroads. After years of self-denial and sacrifice to boost economic growth, Korea's 43 million people have begun earning higher wages and enjoying richer lifestyles. This trend, in turn, has begun to crode the competitiveness of laborintensive manufacturing industries that were the foundation of South Korea's "economic miracle."

Still, the country posted a 5.5-percent growth rate in its real Gross National Product (GNP) in 1992, and that rate is forecast to reach 6 percent this year. While Korea's growth is the envy of many countries, it is down sharply from the impressive gains of the last decade.

Agriculture is in transition as well. The government recently announced a 10-year restructuring plan that is expected to help modernize the sector. This and other changes suggest Korea's agriculture is becoming more market-oriented, although the pace is decidedly gradual.

South Korea's imports of agricultural products will continue increasing, regardless of the pace of reform. The imports will include a broad spectrum of commodities, although the composition is shifting. U.S. exporters can expect a stronger demand for high-value and further processed products, and weaker demand for some bulk and nonfood raw commodities.

Policy To Determine Growth

In December, South Korea elected a new president, Kim Young Sam, in what observers say was the most open election in the country's history. Greater democracy involves some critical choices. Will Korea, for example, become a "major-party democracy," like Japan and Taiwan, or a multiparty democracy as is common in the West?

Defenders of the one-party system consider that a healthy dose of Confucian conformity, administered by a benevolent regime, is essential to the longevity of the country's "economic miracle." Others, however, favor more political debate on policies. A major issue is the degree of government intervention in the economy.

The policy debate is sharp also because many in South Korea assert that the strategies of the past several decades no longer work. Wages in Korea are now at a level that requires the country to compete in technically advanced sectors, but the country's capacity for investment in research and development may be inadequate. Lacking the sophisticated technology of Japan and the low-wage labor of Asian rivals, Koreans suddenly find themselves guarding an unstable middle ground in an evolving global marketplace.

In order to enhance competitiveness, South Korean firms are investing directly in neighboring Asian countries. Recently, South Korean manufacturing operations have relocated to China, Indonesia, and Vietnam, where labor is cheaper. Some 200 Korean companies are already doing business in China—a key reason Seoul recently abandoned its support for Taiwan and established diplomatic relations with the People's Republic of China. Korean investment in Vietnam is especially aggressive, and Korean companies will soon assemble cars there.

Neighboring Asian countries also provide new and expanding markets for Korea's exports. Korea's exports in 1992 are estimated to show improvement, largely as a result of shifting from U.S., Japanese, and European markets to the faster growing outlets in Southeast Asia and China.

To develop domestic production, the Korean government plans to raise spending on research and development from 1.7 percent to 5 percent of GNP within the next decade. Tax incentives will encourage research on technologies such as memory chips and high-definition television systems.

The government has also drawn up a plan for deregulating the country's capital markets, although implementation of the most significant reforms is delayed until 1997. Government control of the banking system has resulted in high interest rates and a tight supply of capital, although rates have recently dropped somewhat. Interest rates are still 3-5 percentage points higher than international borrowing rates, putting Korea's business

South Korea To Restructure Farm Sector

The Korean government has for many years supported farm income through restrictive trade measures, government purchases, and high food prices. But these measures have hindered agricultural development, and so has the legal limit on farm size. Farmers' incomes are substantially below what can be earned in other sectors. By the end of this decade, the number of Korean farmers is expected to drop to 2 million, down from 3.28 million in 1990.

in order to ease this adjustment, the government announced a 10-year, \$55-billion agricultural restructuring plan in late 1991. Of these funds, 85 percent would be spent on restructuring the agricultural and fisheries sectors, and the remainder on projects and programs to increase rural income and improve the quality of life in rural communities.

One of the principal sections of the plan concerns land reform. Agricultural Promotion Zones will be designated, where investment will be encouraged by certain tax exemptions, and where self-employed farmers may be allowed to own up to 20 hectares of land rather than the current legal limit of 3 hectares. Another major initiative gives a legal foundation and financial assistance to large-scale contract farming operations.

The plan concentrates on 13 agricultural products regarded as potentially competitive after market liberalization. These include apples, pears, tangerines, persimmons, kiwifruit, mushrooms, a type of domestic prune, fresh vegetables, medicinal herbs, flowers, poultry, swine, and silkworms. Assistance in mechanization and modernization, and the establishment of commodity-specific laboratories and research centers, are also part of the plan.

rivals in the region, with their far lower borrowing costs, at a competitive advantage.

Change Has Eluded Korea's Farm Structure

South Korea's export-driven economy has been enormously successful at providing jobs and income growth in urban areas for the past 30 years. But farm income remains relatively low, despite high agricultural price supports, government purchases, and import restrictions. Compared with the industrial sector, agriculture is at an early stage of development, with small farms, an aging farm population, a labor-intensive structure, low productivity, and a relatively underdeveloped agricultural marketing system.

Rice remains the dominant crop, accounting for 39 percent of agricultural output value in 1990. About 60 percent of arable land is devoted to rice, most of which is cultivated on small family farms averaging little more than I hectare.

Until the mid-1980's, South Korea had great difficulty in maintaining self-sufficiency in rice. Late in the decade, as consumption stabilized and yields continued to grow, the government, through market interventions, began to accumulate surpluses. Rice imports for commercial sale are banned to protect domestic growers, even though minor amounts have been allowed entry for processing and re-export.

Wheat area in Korea continues to fall. Production in 1991 was well under 1,000 metric tons. So imports meet virtually all the country's requirements. The import market is divided between a relatively stable, quality-oriented milling wheat segment, supplied primarily by the U.S., and a volatile and price-sensitive feed wheat segment. Soybean demand is increasing both in the food and feed sectors, with imports accounting for about 96 percent of consumption.

Korean barley, soybean, and corn production is sustained almost solely by government subsidies and trade policies. The government continues to ban barley imports for feed use and to restrict imports to malting barley and barley malt.

Com production in Korea supplies only 1-2 percent of the country's requirements. In recent years, much of the com imported from the U.S. has gone to the processing sector, while China has become the major supplier of com for feed use. In 1991 and 1992, Korean imports of low-priced feed com from China almost totally displaced imports from the U.S., traditionally the largest supplier.


Rapid Growth in Livestock Sector

While South Korea must import about three-quarters of all livestock feed ingredients—coarse grains, feed-quality wheat, and soybeans—the country is self-sufficient in pork, poultry, eggs, and dairy products. A highly protective import regime is responsible. The exceptions are beef, hides, processed pork, frozen turkey meat, and animal fats: domestic supplies of these commodities must be supplemented by imports. Output of pork, poultry, eggs, and milk more than doubled during the last decade.

Korean beef production is far from adequate to keep up with growth in domestic demand, which has risen sharply with the rapid rise in per capita income. Per capita consumption of beef rose 21 percent in 1992, and almost 25 percent in 1991. But output, though volatile, has not increased over the last 10 years, and prospects for a strong expansion in the near future are poor.

Special Articles

South Korea Is Importing More High-Value Farm Products



For much of the 1980's, Korea banned all imports of beef. However, in response to high domestic prices, and under pressure from major beef exporting countries, the government of Korea signed agreements with the U.S., Australia, and New Zealand obligating it to gradually open the beef market. In order to stabilize beef prices, the government has been allowing imports to exceed initial quotas. By 1991, the country imported 53 percent of its beef supply.

Pork and poultry imports are tightly controlled. Both are relatively recent additions to the Korean diet. Unlike the Chinese diet, Korea's did not include much pork. However, with beef in short supply and relatively expensive, pork has become the leading meat in the diet.

Broiler consumption is an even newer item in the national diet. The introduction of fast-food chicken franchises has generated a rapid expansion in poultry production and consumption. Korean poultry firms have grown steadily, lowering average production costs. Eggs have long been used in Korean cooking, and consumption is still growing.

Demand Shifts to High-Value Products

Korean demand for fruits and vegetables increased steadily in recent years as incomes grew. Korean vegetable and fruit production has risen rapidly, reaching about 25 percent of total agricultural value in 1990. Much of the increased production is on land formerly used for grains. Historically, trade and phytosanitary barriers have afforded the sector almost complete protection from foreign competition.

Besides high-value fresh products, a variety of processed foods has become popular and offers the greatest potential for growth in consumption and trade. Imports of these products grew dramatically in 1991, reaching about 17 percent of total agricultural imports.

The outlook for imports of farm-related industrial raw materials is not bright. Domestic production of hides and skins and of cotton is extremely limited, so imports are relatively unrestricted since they serve as raw materials for export industries. But these shoe and clothing industries face labor shortages,

How Soon Will the Beef Market Open?

Major beef producing countries, including the U.S., have been calling on the South Korean government to open its beef import market completely.

In 1990, South Korea signed formal agreements with the U.S., Australia, and New Zealand to eliminate its remaining import restrictions on beef or to otherwise bring them into conformity with the GATT by July 1, 1997. Korea signed the accord under pressure of a U.S. Section 301 investigation, an unfavorable GATT Beef Panel decision, and revocation of GATT balance-of-payments protection.

Although initial import quotas for the first three years of the agreement were extremely low, the government of Korea has imported more than double the base quotas in an effort to hold down beef prices. The 1992 base quota, initially set at 94,000 metric tons (carcass basis), was raised to 185,000 metric tons.

Korea currently imports 60 percent of the beef it consumes. The import level of approximately 185,000 tons in 1992 was up from 176,000 a year earlier. Imports in 1993 are forecast to reach 200,000 tons. Live cattle imports, except for dairy bulls, are still banned.

Beef exporting nations, led by the U.S., Australia, and New Zealand, continue to press for a completely open beef market by 1997.

Special Articles

U.S. Exports to South Korea Show Strong Growth In Beef, Turkey, and Vegetables

	1989	1990	1991	1992
		\$ m	illion	
All agricultural exports	2.593.4	2,643.8	2,103.9	2,222.4
Animals and animal products	843,3	961 9	866.6	877.
Beef and yeal	79.0	115.8	177.1	212
Pork	3.6	1.4	4.2	3.3
Turkey	0.1	3.9	5.6	15.0
Other poultry	0,3	3,2	2.7	51
Tallow—inedible	17.5	13.5	10,2	14.3
Cattle hides	612.9	699.6	551.2	535.
Grains and feeds	958.7	840.2	4125	461.
Wheat	297 9	216.2	209.5	235.
Rice	0.2	2.4	0.1	0.3
Com	639 6	602.5	177.6	203,
Fruits and products 1	36.6	28.0	33.0	32.
Nuts and products	10.9	13.8	19.0	23.
Vegetables and products	18.4	29.8	47.4	67.
Odseeds and products	247 9	230 9	274.0	312
Soybeans	220.0	193.B	240.0	245.
Vegetable oils	17.9	26,3	20.0	16.
Tobacco, unmanufactured	10.9	13.7	28.7	33.
Cotton ²	429.1	480.7	356.3	347.

relatively high wages, and increasing competition from less developed countries in Asia. As a result, Korea's cotton imports peaked in 1988, and imports of hides and skins are expected to peak in the near future. However, the country remains one of the world's largest importers of these raw materials.

Trade Barriers Slow to Fall

South Korea has come under strong pressure to open its markets to agricultural imports. The proposed agricultural reforms of the Uruguay Round pose a serious challenge to Korea's agricultural sector, and are at odds with the country's domestic agricultural policy. Moreover, the need for significant policy reform is complicated by a large rural-urban income disparity.

Except for a few key commodities, notably rice, Korea maintains that it has accepted the principle of tariffication. Tariffication means converting nontariff trade barriers to tariff equivalents. Gradual declines are then negotiated in the tariffs. The government is not yet ready to liberalize rice. Many small farmers depend on rice, and concerns about food security have dictated a policy of self-sufficiency in rice. Nevertheless, partly in response to international pressures, the Korean government in late 1991 initiated the 10-year Structural Reform Plan to prepare the agricultural sector for broad trade liberalization.

The first phase of the plan—1992-94—includes liberalizing trade in 131 agricultural, forestry, and fishery products. By 1994, the government will announce a second liberalization plan for 1995-97. However, during the past several years Korea has reduced certain tariffs, import bans, or licensing restrictions on many agricultural and fisheries products only to replace them with other nontariff barriers.

Korean opposition to the Uruguay Round has been particularly strong—25 percent of the population signed petitions opposing the opening of the rice market to imports. So far, Korea has made no concessions on its ban of rice imports. Rice accounts for more than half of farmers' crop income.

Liberalization is proceeding even though many Koreans believe that the proposed agricultural reforms of the Uruguay Round are too radical. Regardless of the outcome of the Uruguay Round, Korean agriculture is gradually moving toward an open market economy.

The U.S. could gain from the growing import needs of Korea in the 1990's, even if the pace of trade reform is slow. Korea's agricultural imports will increase over a broad spectrum of commodities. But the opportunities for U.S. exporters have shifted. Korea's market for high-value products is gradually opening, while the U.S. share of Korea's bulk imports, such as feed grains, wheat, and cotton, has fallen over the last decade and a

[Ruth Elleson and John Dyck (202) 219-0610] AO

ERS-NASS Video Tapes

ERS: Economic Research for American Agriculture

An historical account of the role of economic research in the success of American agriculture. 7/85.

16 1/2 minutes

Order No. VT001

\$15.00

Today and Tomorrow

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's Outlook program analyzes the current situation for U.S. and world crops, and provides a forecast of future supplies and prices. "Today and Tomorrow" is an overview of the USDA Outlook program from its beginning in the 1920's, to the current comprehensive program of research and analysis. 3/86.

23 minutes

Order No. VT002

\$15.00

The Need To Know

Begins with a futuristic "what if?" opening, and then proceeds to outline the history, significance, and contributions of agricultural statistics and USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service. 6/88.

23 minutes

Order No. VT003

\$15.00

Your Hometown

"Your Hometown" is an informative and entertaining look at small town rural America. Originally seen on public television stations nationwide, and narrated by James Whitmore, the program focuses on three rural communities

where citizens use innovative thinking and teamwork to revitalize their own towns. 2/88.

1 hour

Order No. VT004

\$15.00

Alternative Agriculture: Growing Concerns

Can U.S. farmers produce at a profit while practicing low-input, sustainable agriculture (LISA)? "Growing Concerns" investigates the benefits and drawbacks of LISA. An excellent overview, this documentary was originally seen as a five-part series on national television. 1/89.

19 minutes

Order No. VT005

\$15.00

Ethanol: Economic and Policy Tradeoffs

Ethanol can contribute to the national goals of energy security, a clean environment, and a healthy economy, but there are tradeoffs. 11/89.

25 minutes

Order No. VT006

\$15.00

American Harvest

Farming in America is not what you think it is. That's the theme of this program which investigayes farms, farming and rural America, and farm families. Visit a "lifestyle" farm in Virginia, a soybean/hog operation in Illinois, and a large California farm that grows just about everything. 13/91.

30 minutes

Order No. VT007

\$15.00

To order, call our order desk toll free, 1-800-999-6779 (8:30-5:00 ET in the U.S. and Canada). Prices good through 12/92.

Statistical Indicators

Summary Data

Table 1.—Key Statistical Indicators of the Food & Fiber Sector.

			1992					993	
	al	IÌ	111	1V	Annual	訮	ΗF	IRE	Annual F
Prices received by farmers (1977=100)* Livestock & products	141 154	141 156	138 159	137 157	139	138	direc	_	444
Crops	127	124	117	118	157 121	158 117			_
Prices paid by farmers, (1977=100) Production items Commodities & services, interest, taxes, & wages	172 190	174 191	1 75 192	175 192	174 191	1 76 193		_	
Cash receipts (\$ bil.) t/ Livestock (\$ bil.) Crops (\$ bil.)	166 84 82	171 86 85	175 85 90					_	
Market basket (1982-84=100) Retail cost Farm value Spread Farm value/retail cost (%)	138 102 157 26	138 103 157 28	138 104 157 26	139 104 158 26	138 103 157 26				=
Retail prices (1982–84±100) Food At home Away from home	138 137 140	138 137 140	1 38 137 141	139 137 142	138 137 141	140 138 143		=	derests derests
Agricultural exports (\$ bil.) 2/ Agricultural Imports (\$ bil.) 2/	11,3 6 1	10.1 8.2	9.7 6.2	11.3 5.8	42.4 24.3	11.3 .8.1	10.1 6.2	8.8 5.9	41.5 24 0
Commercial production Red meat (mil. lb.) Poultry (mil. lb.) Eggs (mil. doz.) Milk (bil. lb.)	10.086 6.309 1,458 38.0	9,915 8,624 1,454 39.1	10,405 6,816 1,484 37.7	10,374 6,633 1,500 37,3	40,780 26,383 5,882 152	10,295 6,525 1,465 38.2	10,282 6,880 1,455 39.4	10.650 7.000 1,460 37.2	41,887 27,210 5,880 152.0
Consumption, per capita Red meat and poultry (lb.)	50.7	51.4	52.8	53 7	208.6	51.8	52.2	53.5	212.1
Corn beginning stocks (mil. bu.) 3/ Corn use (mil. bu.) 3/	1.521.2 2 .462 .1	8,541.1 1,984.5	4.561.0 1,827.8	2.738.6 1.641.6	7.916.1	1,100.3 2,678.8	7,901.7	_	8,345.0
Prices 4/ Choice steers—Neb. Direct (\$/cwt) Barrows & gilts—IA. So. MN (\$/cwt) Brollers—12-city (cts./lb.) Eggs—NY gr. A large (cts./doz.) Milk—all at plant (\$/cwt)	75 77 39.56 50.2 63.8 12.97	75.94 45.79 52 3 62.0 12.87	73.88 44.39 54.5 64.5 13.47	75.86 42.48 53.3 71.4 13.1 13.35	75.36 43.05 52.6 65.4 13.1 13.20	75-79 40-44 51-55 70-74 11.80- 12.60	72-78 40-46 50-56 67-73 11.45- 12.45	70-76 40-46 51-57 73-79 11.90- 12.90	72-78 39-45 50-56 71-77 12.05- 13.05
Wheat—KC HRW ordinary (\$/bu.) Corn—Chicago (\$/bu.) Soybeana—Chicago (\$/bu.) Cotton—Avg. spot 41-34 (cts./lb.)	4.50 2.66 5.75 51,4	3.94 2.59 5.93 56.4	3 45 2.26 5.51 57.3	3.73 2.12 5.52 50.4	3.21 2.41 5.68 53.9	-			-
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993 F
Gross cash income (\$ bit.) Gross cash expenses (\$ bit.)	157.9 110.7	152.8 105.0	165 2 109.4	172.7 114.6	180.2 121.2	186.4 125.2	183.2 125.2	185 124	183-191 123-129
Net cash income (\$ bil.) Net farm income (\$ bil.)	47.1 28 8	47.8 31.0	55.8 39.7	58 1 41.1	58.9 49.9	61.3 51.0	58.0 44.6	60 51	58-64 42-48
Farm real estate values 5/ Nominal (\$ per acre) Real (1982 \$)	713 657	640 568	599 618	632 530	661 5 33	668 517	68 1 506	685 491	_

1/ Quarterly data seasonally adjusted at annual rates. 2/ Annual data based on Oct -Sept. fiscal years ending with year Indicated. 3/ Sept.-Nov. first quarter; Dec.-Feb. second quarter; Mar.-May third quarter; Jun.-Aug. fourth quarter, Sept.-Aug. annual. Use includes exports & domestic disappearance. 4/ Simple averages, Jan -Dec. 5/ 1990-92 values as of January 1. 1986-89 values as of February 1. 1964-85 values as of April 1. F = forecast, --- = not available.

U.S. & Foreign Economic Data

Table 2.—U.S. Gross Domestic Product & Related Data

		Annual		1991		11	992	
	1990	1991	1992	IV	I	!!	III B	ÎV P
			\$ billion (qua	rterly data sea	sonally adjust	ed at annual ra	ates)	
Gross domestic Product Gross national product	5,522 2 5,542.9	5.677.5 5.694.9	5.945.7	5,753.3 5,764.1	5,840, 2 5,859 8	5, 902 .2 5, 9 09.3	5.978.5 5,992.0	6.061.9
Personal consumption expenditures Durable goods Nondurable goods	3.748.4 464.3 1.224.5	3.887.7 446.1 1,251.5	4,093 9 479.9 1,289.5	3,942.9 450.4 1,251.4	4,022.8 469.4 1,274.1	4,057.1 470.6 1,277.5	4.108.7 482.5 1,292.8 224.3	4,187.1 497.3 1,313.4 227.8
Clothing & shoes Food & baverages Services Gross private domestic	206.9 601.4 2.059.7	209.0 817.7 2.190 1	221.5 630.1 2.324.5	206.8 620.0 2,241.1	218.5 627.9 2. 279.3	217.4 623.2 2,309.0	627 3 2,333.3	641.9 2,376.4
investment Fixed investment Change in business inventories	799.5 793.2 6.3	721.1 731.3 -10.2	769 7 766.3 3.4	736.1 726.9 9.2	722.4 738.2 -15.8	773.2 765 1 8.1	781.6 766.6 15.0	801.5 795 1 6.4 -49.7
Net exports of goods & services Government purchases of	-68.9 1,043.2	-21.8 1.090.5	-32.7 1,114.8	-16.0 1,090.3	-8.1 1,103.1	-37.1 1,109.1	-36.0 1.124.2	1.123.0
goods & services	1,043.2	0.080,1			ta seasonally a			111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Carra da sa dia manda da	4.077.6	4 004 0			_	4,892.4		4,979.8
Gross domestic product Gross national product Personal consumption	4,877 6 4.895.9	4,821.0 4,836.4	4.919 9	4,838.5 4,648.2	4,873.7 4,890.7	4,899.1	4,933.7 4,945.6	4,015.0
expenditures Durable goods Nondurable goods	3.260.4 439.3 1,056.5	3,240.8 414.7 1,042.4	3,312.4 438.9 1,053.1	3,249.0 416.1 1,035.6	3.289.3 432.3 1.049.6	3.288.5 430.0 1.045.6	3,318.4 439.8 1,052.0	3,353.6 453.3 1,065.3
Clothing & shoes Food & beverages Services	185.9 520.8 1.764.6	181.3 515.8 1,783.7	188.1 517.8 1.820.5	177.5 515.3 1,797.4	184.1 518.9 1.807.3	184.4 513.5 1.812.9	190 8 514.3 1,826.6	193.0 524.3 1,835.1
Gross private domestic investment Fixed investment Change in business inventories	739.1 732.9 _6.2	661.1 670.4 -9.3	712.3 708.0 4.4	676.9 669.3 7.5	668.9 681.4 -12.6	713.6 705.9 7.8	724.9 710.0 15.0	741.9 734.7 7.2
Net exports of goods & services Government purchases of goods & services	-51.8 929.9	-21.8 941.0	-43,2 938,3	-20.5 933.1	-21.5 937.0	-43.9 934.2	-52.7 943.0	-54.6 938.9
GDP implicit price deflator (% change)	4.3	4.1	2.6	2.4	3.1	2.7	2.0	1,7
Disposable personal income (\$ bil.) Disposable per. income (1987 \$ bil.) Per capita disposable per. income (\$) Per capita dis. per. income (1987 \$)	4,042,9 3,516,5 16,174 14,068	4,209.6 3,509 0 16,658 13,886	4,429.6 3,584.1 17,341 14,032	4.284.9 3,530.8 16,885 13,913	4,360.9 3,565.7 17,143 14,017	4.411.8 3,576.0 17,297 14,021	4,433.2 3,580.5 17,332 13,998	4,512.5 3,614.3 17,592 14,090
U.S. population, total, incl. military abroad (mil.) * Civilian population (mil.) *	249.9 247.8	252.7 250.6	255.4 253.5	253.7 251.6	254.3 252.3	254 9 253.0	255.7 253.7	258.4 254.5
		Annua!		1991		*	992	
	1990	1991	1992	Dec	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
			ħ.	Jonthly data s	asonally adju	sted		
Industrial production (1987=100) Leading economic indicators (1982=100)	109.2 143.8	107.1 143.4	108.7	107.4 144.7	108.9 148.4	109.7 149 1	110.1 150.1	110.5 153.0
Civilian employment (mil. persons) Civilian unemployment rate (%) Personal income (\$ bil. annual rate)	117.9 5.5 4,664.2	116.9 6 7 4,828.3	117.6 7.4 5.056.8	116.8 7.1 4.944.9	117.7 7.5 5,080 9	117.7 7.4 5.141.8	118.1 7.3 5,137.5	118.3 7.3 5.187.7
Money stock-M2 (daily avg.) (\$ bil.) 1/ Three-month Treasury bili rate (%) AAA corporate bond yield (Moody's) (%) Housing starts (1.000) 2/	3,339.0 7.51 9.32 1,193	3,439.8 5.42 8.77 1,014	3.503.4 3.45 8.14 1,202	3,439.6 4.12 8.31 1,118	3.481.9 2.97 7.92 1,222	3,497.1 2.84 7,99 1,223	3.507.3 3.14 8.10 1,234	3,503.4 3.25 7,98 1.302
Auto sales at retail, total (mil.) Business inventory/sales ratio Seles of at retail stores (\$ bil.) Nondurable goods stores (\$ bil.) Food stores (\$ bil.) Eating & drinking places (\$ bil.) Apparel & accessory stores (\$ bil.)	9.5 1.53 150.6 97.1 30.2 15.2 7.9	8.4 1.55 151.8 99.1 30.9 15.8 8.0	8.4	7.9 1.58 154.4 99.1 32.0 16.7 7.8	8 3 1.50 162.2 102.9 32.2 16.6 8.7	8 3 1.49 165.6 104.4 32.5 17.2 8.8	8.2 1.49 164.8 104.3 32.7 17.1 8.9	8.7 166.8 104.6 32.9 17.1 8.9

^{1/} Annual data as of December of the year listed. 2/ Private, including farm. R = revised. P = preliminary. — = not available. Note: * Population estimates based on 1990 census.

Information contact: Ann Duncan (202) 219-0313.

Table 3.—Foreign Economic Growth, Inflation, & Exports

	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989'	1990	1991	1992 E	1993 F	Average 1981-90
	-				Алли	al percent	change					
World, less U.S.							_					
Rest GDP	2.4	3.8	3.4	3.0	3.5	4.4	3.5	3.0	1.4	1,3	1.8	3.0
GDP deflator	8.3	7.8	B.O	7.5	9,0	10.8	10.8	24.5	16.4	43.2	35.0	10.5
Real exports	2.2	9.5	3.9	2,1	5.9	7.8	8.7	6.4	3.8	3.7	4.2	5.3
Developed less U.S.											77.00	4.0
Real GDP	2.1	3.2	3.4	2.7	3.2	4.5	3.6	3.5	1.9	1.2	1.2	2.9
GDP deflator	6.2	4.8	3.8	3.9	2.8	3.6	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	3.7	5.0
Real exports	2.7	10.0	5.4	-0.1	4.1	7.3	9.7	7.8	4.8	4.0	3.7	5.7
Eastern Europe & C.I.S.									*1.0	7.0	417	9.7
Real GDP	3.8	4.0	2.2	3.6	2.6	3.8	1.5	-3.2	-13.3	-12.2	-6.₽	2.2
GDP deflator 1/	4.2	5.0	6.4	B 1	12,8	35 3	41.3	192.6	68.9	176.0	84.1	32.2
Real exports	4.6	6.2	-4.0	9.1	7.6	8.5	-5.3	-6.9	-22.1	-9,1	0.6	2.6
Developing		- 1.00			* 1 4		0.0	0.0	6.6.1	-5,1	0.0	2.0
Real GUP	3.1	4.7	4.0	3.9	4.5	4.4	3.6	3.2	3.7	4.4	5.1	3.6
GDP deflator	38.7	37.3	36.4	25 5	33.1	26.4	19.2	18.9	14.4	15.3	14.9	28.9
Real exporte	0.4	7.2	1.7	7.5	11.1	9.4	9.0	5.5	6.1	5.3	6.0	4.9
Asia	*. *		.,,		1111	D1.4	4.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	4.0
Real GDP	8.2	7.9	5.9	7.2	8.6	9.1	5.5	5.7	5.0	6 3	6.3	7.0
GDP deflator	6.3	7.5	5.9	4.4	7.8	8.2	6.1	8.4	7.5	9.2		7.0 6.7
Real exports	6.4	11.3	2.9	19.0	15.8	14.9	8.2	7.3	9.2	8.9	8.3	
Latin America				10.0	10.0	141.0	0.2	1.3	9.4	0.8	10.7	9.2
Real GDP	-2.7	3.7	3.6	4.4	30	0.0	1.3	-1.3	2.8	1.7		4.4
GDP deflator 1/	30.3	40.8	69.0	62 8	125.5	66.5	35.9	29.6	22.7	23.8	2.9	1.1
Real exports	2.0	12.0	2.0	0.0	8.0	6.8	10.4	3.9	3.1	2.6	20.5	49.6 5.2
Africa			46.146	-	4.0	0.0	10.7	3.0	3,1	2.0	2.2	5.2
Real GDP	1.1	2.2	2.3	1.4	0.6	2.9	28	0.9	2.2	1.8		4 -
GDP deflator	16.7	12.2	12.2	8.4	25.3	17.4	19.6	15.0	18.0		2.9	1.7
Real exports	-5.3	-1.5	3.5	-1.0	0.0	2.9	5.0	7.5	6.1	13.7	18.9	14.5
Middle East	V. W	-1.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	7.5	0.1	1.7	1.5	-2.0
Real GDP	4.5	1.2	1.7	-3.6	÷0.1	-0.2	2.5	5.8	0.0	r -		
GDP deflator	-4.5	1.2	3.1	5.7	14.6	9.5			2.9	5.7	6.8	1.9
Real exports	-19 6	-6.7	-7.3	-3.8			13.5	20.4	2.7	8.9	12.6	7.9
LIGHT ON POLICE	-190	-0.7	-7.1	-3.8	24.6	4.8	21.0	5.0	17.2	9.8	4.9	0.1

^{1/} Excludes Yugoslavia, Argentina, Brazil, & Peru starting in 1989. E = estimate. F = torecast

Information contact: Alberto Jerardo, (202) 219-0717.

Farm Prices

Table 4.—Indexes of Prices Received & Paid by Farmers, U.S. Average

		Annual					1992			1993
	1990	1991	1992 P	Jan	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec R	Jan F
Prices received					1977 - 10	0				
All farm products	149	145		400	3					
All crops	127	129	139	138	139	138	139	138	137	138
Food grains	127	129	121	123	117	117	116	116	118	117
Feed grains & hay	123	118	121	146	123	130	130	133	134	139
Feed grains	118		115	119	110	109	104	104	104	106
Cotton		115	114	119	108	107	101	100	98	101
Tobacco	107	108	87	85	89	87	87	84	90	87
Orl-bearing crops	152	161	≥165	157	148	163	163	164	163	161
Fruit, all	94	91	85	84	62	85	83	8.5	88	80
Fresh market 1/	186	262	183	207	162	159	187	170	162	140
	196	285	186	217	160	156	154	168	161	1136
Commercial vegetables	142	135	151	137	155	156	166	141	168	168
Fresh market	144	140	157	139	163	164	179	144	178	174
Potatoes & dry beans	169	141	126	101	163	130	120	127	129	132
Livestock & producte	170	161	157	152	180	158	180	156	156	158
Meat animals	193	186	176	167	178	176	180	172	174	179
Dairy products	141	126	135	139	139	139	138	135	132	130
Poultry & eggs	131	124	117	115	110	120	120	127	124	122
Prices paid										
Commodities & services,										
interest, taxes, & wags rates	184	189	191	190	192	192	192	192	195	193
Production items	171	174	174	172	175	175	175	175	192 175	176
Feed	128	123	123	124		_	119			121
Feeder livestock	213	214	202	199	_	*****	208	_		216
Seed	165	163	162	163	- man	_	162		_	182
Fertilizer	131	134	131	132	_		128	_	death.	128
Agricultural chemicals	139	151	159	154		27.00	181	_	-	161
Fuels & energy	204	203	199	192		_	205		-	198
Farm & motor supplies	154	154	160	180	_	-	161			161
Autos & trucks	231	244	258	248	-	_	282			265
Tractors & self-propelled machinery	202	211	219	216	_	almost .	224			224
Other machinery	218	226	233	230	_	-	235		-	235
Building & fencing	143	148	150	147	100.00		152		_	152
Farm services & cash rent	166	170	172	172		0.00	172			172
int, payable par acre on farm real estate debt	177	172	167	167	-		167		_	164
Taxes payable per acre on farm real estate	158	180	171	171			171		_	
Wage rates (seasonally adjusted)	193	201	210	216	_		201			178
Production items. Interest, taxes, & wage rates	172	175	176	174	_	-	176			201 177
Ratio, Prices received to Prices paid (%) 2/	81	77	73	73	72	72	72	71	71	
Prices received (1910-14=100)	681	665	636	630	633					.72
Prices Paid, etc. (parity Index) (1910-14=100)	1.207	1.298	1.317			631	633	623	628	631
Parity ratio (1910-14±100) (%)2/	54	51	48	1.305	48	48	1,323	47	47	1,330

^{1/} Fresh market for noncitrus, fresh market & processing for citrus. 2/ Ratio of index of prices received for all farm products to index of prices paid for commodities & services, interest, taxes, & wage rates. Ratio uses the most recent prices paid index. Prices paid data are quarterly & will be published in January, April, July, & October. R = revised. P = prefiminary. — = not available.

Information contact: Ann Duncari (202) 219-0313.

Table 5.—Prices Received by Farmers, U.S. Average

		Annual 1	1/				1992			1993
	1990	1991	1992 P	Jan	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec R	Jan P
CROPS All wheat (\$/bu) Rice, rough (\$/cwt) Corn (\$/bu.) Sorghum (\$/cwt)	2, 6 1 6,70 2,28 3,79	3.00 7 58 2.37 4.02	3 30 6.10 2.05 3.39	3.54 7.84 2.40 4.07	3.01 6.61 2.15 3.77	3.21 6.40 2.15 3.68	3.21 6.37 2.04 3.23	3.29 6.38 1.98 3.22	3.31 6.39 1.98 3.27	3.44 8.31 2.02 3.30
All hay, baled (\$/ton) Soybeans (\$/bu.) Cotton, upland (cts /ib.)	80.60 5.74 68.2	71.00 5. 60 58.3	74.00 5.40	68 70 5.54 51.7	69.60 5.40 53.8	68.50 5.35 52 6	70.50 5.2 6 52.7	74.10 5.36 51.0	73.80 5.46 54 2	75.10 5.59 52.5
Potatoes (\$/cwt) Lettuce (\$/cwt) 2/ Tomatoes fresh (\$/cwt) 2/ Onions (\$/cwt) Dry edible beans (\$/cwt)	6.08 11.50 27.40 10.50 18.50	4.96 11.40 31.80 12.50 15.60	5.28 12.40 38.20 12.80 21.00	4.07 7.23 40.50 10.70 14.70	6.60 19.90 24.50 15.90 18.90	4.99 20.80 30.10 12.40 20.20	4.88 13.40 59.60 12.20 20 30	4.88 9.50 39.70 12.60 21.30	5.01 16.90 39.50 15.20 21.50	5.15 12.70 34.20 17.60 21.30
Apples for fresh use (cts./lb) Pears for fresh use (\$/ton) Oranges, all uses (\$/box) 3/ Grapefruit, all uses (\$/box) 3/	20.9 360.00 6.18 5.88	25.0 385.00 6.78 5 48	399.00 5.83 6.16	24.5 377.00 6.19 6.02	30.4 276.00 1.65 3.32	29.3 426.00 1.37 3.73	22.4 398.00 1.79 7.09	19.9 449.00 3.80 4.11	20.0 380.00 2.90 4.68	19.2 362.00 2.66 3.00
LIVESTOCK Beef cattle (\$/cwt) Calves (\$/cwt) Hoge (\$/cwt) Lambs (\$/cwt)	74.80 96. 50 54.00 56.00	72.90 99.90 48.80 52.50	71.50 89.60 41.80 60.70	68. 90 88.30 36.40 53.50	71.80 90.60 43.90 56.00	71.70 87.40 41.90 58.70	73.90 86.40 41.90 55.40	70.20 86.50 40.90 58.20	70.80 87.00 41.80 65.30	73.20 91.40 41.50 67.60
All milk, sold to plants (\$/cwt) Milk, manuf. grade (\$/cwt) Broilers (cts./lb.) Eggs (cts./doz.) 4/ Turkeys (cts./lb.) Wool (cts./lb.) 5/	13.70 12.34 32.4 70.4 38.4 80.0	12.20 11.05 31.0 66.2 37.7 55.0	13.10 31.7 56.4 37.4 55.0	13.50 11.80 30.0 58.2 37.4 30.6	13.50 12.40 34.6 53.4 37.9 65.0	13 50 12.30 31.8 59.5 37.1 52.2	13.40 12.20 32.9 56.9 38.6 69.5	13.10 12.00 33.2 64.9 39.0 61.7	12.80 11.50 31.3 64.4 39.2 48.8	12.60 11.20 31.5 63.7 35.9 43.3

^{1/} Season average price by crop year for crops. Calendar year average of monthly prices for livestock. 2/ Excludes Hawali. 3/ Equivalent on-tree returns. 4/ Average of all eggs sold by producers including hatching eggs & eggs sold at retail. 5/ Average local market price, excluding incentive payments. P = preliminary. R = revised. --= not available.

Information contact: Ann Duncan (202) 219-0313.

Producer & Consumer Prices

Table 6.—Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, U.S. Average (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

	Annual				1	992				1993
	1992	Jan	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
				1	982-84=10	0				
Consumer Price Index, all Items	140. 3	138.1	140.2	140.5	140.B	141.3	141.8	142.0	141.9	142.6
Consumer Price Index, less food	140.8	138.3	140.7	141.1	141.4	141.8	142 4	142.7	142.5	143.1
All food	137.9	137.2	137.4	137 2	138.0	138.5	138.3	138.3	138.7	139.8
Food away from home:	140.7	139.7	140.7	140 8	141.0	141.2	141.3	141.5	141.6	142.0
Food at home	136.8	136 4	136.1	135.7	136.9	137.4	137.2	137.0	137.5	139.1
Meats 1/	130.7	130.0	131.0	130.0	130 6	130.9	131.1	131.2	131 1	132.3
Beef & veal	132.3	131.2	132.7	130.7	131.4	131.8	132.6	132.9	132.8	135.1
Pork	127.8	127.8	127.9	129.1	129.5	129.4	128.7	127.9	127.4	127.9
Poultry	131.4	131.2	130.7	132.1	133.7	134.0	133.3	133.6	133.7	134.6
Fish	151.7	154.6	149.1	150.4	151.6	151.2	151.4	151.2	152.0	157.2
Eggs	108.3	113.9	100.7	104.7	102.2	111.8	109.3	113.4	117.7	116.2
Dairy products 2/	128.5	128.2	127.8	128.3	129.2	129.7	130.1	129.4	129.1	129.5
Fats & oils 3/	129.8	130.7	130.2	129.9	129.5	129.9	129.9	128.5	128.4	130.2
Fresh fruit	184.2	188.6	162.9	173.3	181.4	189.2	182.1	181.4	181.8	191.0
Processed fruit	137.7	136.0	138.3	138.4	138.2	138.0	136.4	135.5	134.8	133.3
Fresh vegetables	157.9	152.7	146.9	148.1	153.8	152.8	155.2	158.4	169.1	,172.4
Potatoes	141.5	130.9	141.0	155.9	164.7	153.1	143.0	136.0	137.2	139.7
Processed vegetables	128.8	129.2	129.0	129.2	130.2	129.1	129.1	127.7	127.3	129.8
Cereals & bakery products	151.5	14 6.9	151.6	152.4	153.1	152.6	152.8	152.7	153.3	153.4
Sugar & sweets	133.1	132.0	133.3	133.8	133.8	133.7	133.7	133.0	1 32 .1	133.1
Beverages, nonalcoholic	114.3	114.9	115.0	113.9	114.1	114.2	114.1	112.4	112.3	113.5
Apparel, commodities less footwear	130.2	126.0	129.0	126.8	128.1	131.7	133.7	133.1	129.4	127 3
Footwear	125.0	121.3	125.4	124.4	124.9	126.3	127.1	126.0	125.1	124.4
Tobacco & smoking products	219.8	212.6	219.2	220.5	221.5	224.0	225.6	225.0	228.9	234.6
Beverages, sicoholic	147.3	144.8	147.5	147.7	147.6	148.0	148.2	148.2	148.1	148.7

^{1/} Beef, yeal, lamb, pork, & processed meat. 2/ Includes butter. 3/ Excludes butter.

Information contact: Ann Duncan (202) 219-0313.

Table 7.—Producer Price Indexes, U.S. Average (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

		Annual		1991	1992						
	1989	1990	1991	Dec	July R	Aug R	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
					1982 =	100					
kil commodities	112.2	116.3	116.5	115.9	117.9	117.7	117.8	118.1	117.8	117.6	
inished goods 1/	113.6	119.2	121.7	122.1	123.7	123.6	123.3	124.3	123.9	123.8	
di toods 2/	117.8	123 2	122.2	120 2	120.4	120.6	120.6	121.0	120.9	121.7	
Consumer foods	118.7	124.4	124.1	123.0	122.8	123.4	123.2	123.6	123.3	124.1	
Fresh fruit & melons Fresh & dried vegetables Dried fruit Canned fruit & juice Frozen fruit & juice	113.2 116.7 103.0 122.7 123.9	118.1 118.1 106.7 127.0 139.0	129.9 103.8 111.8 128.6 116.3	100.8 80.1 114.9 133.5 131.6	70.8 99.9 114.5 135.7 123.7	78.6 118.8 114.2 135.5 123.1	72.8 107.6 113.8 133.5 121.7	78.5 141.4 113.6 132.3 117.5	91.1 114.3 113.7 130.8 116.3	84.1 134.1 114.9 129.9 113.8	
Fresh veg. excl. potatoes Canned veg. & juices Frozen vegetables Potatoes Egge for fresh use Bakery products	103.9 118.6 115.5 153.6 3/ 135.4	107.8 116.7 116.4 157.3 3/ 141.0	100.2 112.9 117.6 125.7 3/ 146.6	76.1 110.4 116.8 96.4 —	85.5 109.5 115.4 195.0 71.5 153.0	114.8 109.6 115.4 171.8 73.7 153.2	115.1 108.8 116.6 115.8 85.6 153.4	149.4 109.1 116,5 107.0 78.1 153.9	108.2 110.0 117.6 112.9 91.9 153.6	133 4 110.5 118.2 108.4 89.9 154.7	
Meats Beef & veal Pork Processed Poultry Fish Dairy products Processed fruits & vegetables Shortening & cooking oil Soft drinks	104.8 108.9 97.7 120.4 142.9 110.6 119.9 116.6 177.7	117.0 118.0 119.8 113.6 147.2 117.2 124.7 123.2 122.3	113.5 112.2 113.4 109.9 149.5 114.6 119.6 116.5	105 5 106.9 98.2 105.3 149.9 120.0 121.6 114.3 124.4	107.1 107.5 103.4 110.0 155.8 119.4 120.6 115.3 124.9	106.7 107.8 101.7 111.8 147.8 120.0 120.5 112.4 125.0	106.0 107.4 100.0 111.8 149.8 120.2 119.8 112.8 125.0	106.6 109.5 98.8 111.8 140.4 119.5 119.0 112.6 125.4	105.3 108.7 95.8 111.3 139.6 118.8 119.0 115.6 125.9	108.4 114.8 97.0 109.2 147.5 117.3 116.8 118.5	
Consumer finished goods less foods	108.9	115.3	118.7	121.7	122.0	121.5	121 4	122.2	121.7	121.1	
Beverages, alcoholic Apparel Footwear Tobacco products	115 2 114.5 120.8 194.8	117.2 117.5 125.6 221.4	123.7 119.6 128.6 249.7	123.3 120.6 129.6 267 2	126.7 122.0 132.1 283.4	126.6 122.0 132.5 265.9	125.7 122.3 132.6 273.9	125.4 122.8 131.5 274.0	125.6 122.9 132.2 276.6	125.4 123.0 133.2 285.1	
ntermediate materials 4/	112.0	114.5	114.4	113 9	115.5	115.5	115.6	115.4	115.1	114.9	
Materials for food manufacturing Flour Refined sugar 5/ Crude vegetable oils	112.7 114 6 118.2 103.7	†17.9 103.6 122.7 115.8	115.3 96.8 121.6 103.0	114.6 105.0 120.4 95.9	114.8 107.0 120.0 97.3	114.0 101.6 120.4 89.7	114.3 102.9 119.8 92.6	112.8 106.8 119.9 91 .5	112.8 107.5 119.8 96.1	113.3 105.4 119.8 101.9	
rude materials 6/	103.1	108.9	101.2	98.3	101.7	100.6	102.0	101.8	101 5	100.6	
Foodstuffs & feedstuffs Fruits & vegetables & nuts 7/ Grains Livestock Poul try , live	111.2 114.6 106.4 106.1 128.8	113.1 117.5 97.4 115.6 118.8	105.5 114.7 92.0 107.9 111.2	102.9 88.7 97.7 97.7 105.1	105.0 65.2 95.0 103.7 124.1	103.7 95.9 84.2 104.2 120.5	103.0 89.1 90.6 103.4 111.8	103.5 104.9 87.6 104.2 119.3	102.6 101.9 95.8 101.6 121.7	104.4 106.0 97.1 106.3 108.9	
Fibers, plant & animal Fluid milk Oilseeds Tobacco, leaf Sugar, raw cane	107.8 98.8 123.8 93.8 115.5	117.8 100.8 112.1 95.8 119.2	115.1 89.5 106.4 101.1 113.7	89.7 99.6 103.0 104.8 113.5	102.0 99.6 109.2 90.5 111.0	96.6 100.1 104.9 96.3 111.7	93.8 99.5 105.1 106.1 112.8	82.8 97.9 101.2 105.5 113.6	63.2 96.9 104.0 106.1 112.7	87.3 93.9 107.1 106.1 111.0	

^{1/} Commodities ready for sale to ultimate consumer. 2/ Includes all raw, intermediate, & processed foods (excludes soft drinks, alcoholic beverages, & manufactured animal feeds). 3/ New index beginning Dec. 1991. 4/ Commodities requiring further processing to become finished goods. 5/ All types & sizes of refined sugar. 6/ Products entering market for the first time that have not been manufactured at that point. 7/ Fresh & dried. R = revised.

Information contact: Ann Duncan (202) 219-0313.

Farm-Retail Price Spreads

Table 8.—Farm-Retail Price Spreads

		Annual		1991			1	992		
	1990	1991	1992	Dec	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Market basket 1/ Retail cost (1982–84=100) Farm value (1982–84=100)	133.6 113.1 144.5	137.4 108.1 154.2	138.4 103.4 157.3	137.2 101.5 156.5	137.2 103.7 155.3	138.4 104.5 158.6	139.1 104.1 157.9	138.9 104.5 157.5	138.9 103.5 158.0	139.6 103.6 158.9
Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%) Meat products Retail cost (1982-84=100)	29.7 128.5	27.0 132.5	26.2 130.7	25.9 130.8	26.5 130.0	26.4 130.6	2 6 2	26.3 131.1	26.1 131.2	26.0 131.1
Farm value (1982-84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	116.8 140.4 46.0	110.0 155.6 42.0	104.5 157.5 40.5	97.8 164.7 37.9	107.2 153.4 41.8	104.7 157.1 40.6	104.8 157.7 40.6	104.2 158.7 40.3	103.5 159.8 40.0	105.6 157.4 40.8
Dairy products Retail cost (1982-84=100) Farm value (1982-84=100)	126.5 101.7	125.1 90.0	128.5 95 9	127.4 101.9	128.3 97.8 156.4	129.2 99.1 157.0	129.7 99.3 157.7	130.1 97.4 160.2	129.4 95.0 161.1	129 1 94.5 161.0
Farm-retail spread (1982–84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%) Poultry Retail cost (1982–84=100)	149.5 38.5 132.5	157.5 34.5 131.5	158.6 35.8 131.4	150.9 38.4 130.2	36.6 132.1	36.8 133.7	36.7 134.0	35.9 133.3	35.2 133.6	35.1 133.7
Farm value (1982–84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982–84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	107.8 161.1 43.5	102.5 164.9 41.7	104.0 163.0 42.4	98.4 155.8 40.4	110 1 157.4 44.8	112.1 158.5 44.9	104.1 168.4 41.6	107.9 162.6 43.3	108.8 162.1 43.6	103.8 168.1 41.6
Eggs Aetail cost (1982-84=100) Farm value (1982-84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	124.1 108.0 153.2 55.9	121.2 100.9 157.6 53.6	108.3 77.8 163.2 46.1	123.5 109.8 148.1 57.1	104.7 68.6 169.6 42.1	102 2 70.7 158.9 44.4	111.6 84.1 161.1 48.4	109.3 78.2 165.2 46.0	113.4 94. 7 147.0 53.7	117.7 95.4 157.8 52.1
Gereal & bakery products Retail cost (1982-84=100) Farm value (1982-84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	140.0 90.5 146.9 7.9	145.8 85.3 154.3 7.2	151.5 94.7 159.4 7.7	147.4 95.8 154.6 8.0	152 4 90.9 161.0 7.3	153.1 87.7 162.2 7.0	152.6 89.9 161.3 7.2	152.8 89.7 161.6 7.2	152.7 90.8 161.3 7.3	153.3 91.2 162 7.3
Fresh fruits Retall cost (1982–84=100) Farm value (1982–84=100) Farm-retall spread (1982–84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	174.6 128.3 195.9 23.2	200.1 174.4 211.9 27.5	189.6 122.5 220.6 20.4	196.9 138.4 223.9 22.2	178.3 117.2 206.5 20.8	183.7 119.7 213.2 20.6	195.3 127.8 226.6 20.6	188.0 114.7 221.8 19.3	188.3 122.1 218.9 20.5	189.6 127.1 218.4 21.2
Fresh vegetables Retail costs (1982-84=100) Farm value (1982-84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	151.1 124.4 164.9 28.0	154.4 110.8 176.8 24.4	157.9 121.6 176.6 26.1	150.7 82 .5 185.7 18 .6	148.1 110.3 167.5 25.3	153 8 128.5 166.8 28 4	152.8 117.5 170.9 26.1	155.2 141.0 162.5 30.8	158.4 115.0 180.7 24.7	166.1 124 187.7 25.4
Processed fruits & vegetables Retail cost (1982-84=100) Farm value (1982-84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail costs (%)	132.7 144.0 129.1 25.8	130.2 121.6 132.9 22.2	133.7 129.0 135.2 22.9	129.7 131.9 129.0 24.2	134.2 129.9 135.6 23.0	134.6 129.9 136.1 22.9	134.0 128.9 135.6 22.9	133.1 128.3 134.6 22.9	132.0 125.9 133.9 22.7	131.4 111.2 137.7 20.1
Fats & dils Retail cost (1982-84=100) Farm value (1982-84=100) Farm-retail spread (1982-84=100) Farm value-retail cost (%)	126 3 107.1 133.4 22.8	131.7 98.0 144.2 20.0	129.8 93.2 143.3 19.3	129.3 91.0 143.4 18.9	129.9 89.2 144.9 18.5	129.5 88.7 144.5 18.4	129.9 89.1 144.9 18.4	129.9 90.0 144.6 18.6	\$28.5 98.4 139.6 20.6	128.4 98.2 139.5 20.6
		Annual				1	992			1993
	1990	1991	1992	Jan	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
Beef, Choice Retail price 2/ (cts./lb.) Wholesale value 3/ (cts.) Not farm value 4/ (cts.) Farm-retail spread (cts.) Wholesale-retail 5/ (cts.) Farm-wholesale 6/ (cts.) Farm value-retail price (%)	281.0 189 6 168.4 112 6 91.4 21.2 60	288.3 182.5 160.2 128.1 105.8 22.3 56	284.6 179.6 161.8 122.8 105.0 17.8 57	278.7 176.6 155.2 123.5 102.1 21.4 68	280.1 175.8 159.0 121.1 104.3 16.8 57	284.1 175.9 159.6 124.5 108.2 16.3 56	285.8 177.5 180.1 125.5 108.1 17.4 58	287.1 177.1 159.5 127.6 110.0 17.5 56	287.3 184.2 185.1 122.2 103.1 19.1 57	288.4 188.5 170.2 118.2 99.9 18.3
Pork Retail price 2/ (cts./lb.) Wholesale value 3/ (cts.) Net farm value 4/ (cts.) Farm-retail spread (cts.) Wholesale-retail 5/ (cts.)	212.6 118.3 87 2 125.4 94.3	211.9 108.9 78.4 133.5 103.0	198.0 98.9 67.8 130.2 99.1	198.7 93.6 59.2 139.5 105.1	200.4 101.7 71.6 128.8 98.7	199.6 99.6 67.4 132.2 100.0	198.4 98.8 67.1 131.3 99.6	196.4 96.9 68.0 130.4 99.5	196.3 98.8 66.6 129.7 97.5	196.0 95.0 66.0 130.0 101.0
Farm-wholesale 6/ (cts.) Farm value-retail price (%)	31.1 41	30.5 37	31 1 34	34 4	30.1 36	32.2 34	31.7 34	30 9 34	32.2 34	29.0 34

^{1/} Retail costs are based on CPI-U of retail prices for domestically produced farm foods, published monthly by BLS. The farm value is the payment for the quantity of farm equivalent to the retail unit, less allowance for byproduct. Farm values are based on prices at first point of sale & may include marketing charges such as grading & packing for some commodities. The farm-retail spread, the difference between the retail price & the farm value, represents charges for assembling, processing, transporting, distributing. 2/ Weighted average price of retail cuts from pork & choice yield grade 3 beef. Prices from BLS. 3/ Value of wholesale (boxed beef) & wholesale cuts (pork) equivalent to 1 lb. of retail cuts adjusted for transportation costs & byproduct values. 4/ Market value to producer for live animal equivalent to 1 lb. of retail cuts, minus value of byproducts. 5/ Charges for retailing & other marketing services such as wholesaling, and in-city transportation. 6/ Charges for livestock marketing, processing, & transportation.

Information contacts: Denis Dunham (202) 219-0870, Larry Quewer (202) 219-0712.

Table 9.—Price Indexes of Food Marketing Costs

Tuble 4.—File indexes	Annual				1991		1	992	
	1990	1991	1992	tii	IV	I	II	Ш	IV P
					1967=100°				
Laborhourly earnings									
& benefits	393 2	409.7	419.3	408.8	414.3	417.7	418.1	419 2	422 1
Processing	404.4	420.4	435.1	418.8	425.2	430.5	436 5	435.1	438.4
Wholesaling	422.0	443.8	458.7	443.2	446.6	454.3	456.6	460.0	463.7
Retailing	369 5	383.9	386 .9	383.7	389.1	392.2	382.7	385 3	387.5
Packaging & containers	367.6	371.2	364.4	369.8	368.0	364.0	364.0	364.1	365.6
Paperboard boxes & containers	323.9	320 3	324.8	317.9	322.5	324.4	324.8	325.1	324,9
Metal cans	455.0	470.5	478.1	471.7	473.0	477.4	479.6	477.7	477.7
Paper bags & related products	413.0	410.9	351.5	411.4	389.6	351.0	350.2	348.5	356 2
Plastic films & bottles	307.1	310.7	309.9	306.9	306.3	308.6	307.4	310.2	313.2
Glass containers	427.3	446.0	444.4	446.2	446.3	446 1	444.3	444.0	443.1
Metal foil	258.4	251.8	241.0	245.0	240.9	241.4	240.0	241.5	240.9
Transportation services	411.3	422.6	426.1	422.7	423.7	425.4	427.9	426.9	424.0
Advertising	433.0	460 1	484.0	482.2	466.7	477.6	482.0	486.0	490.2
Fuel & power	671.4	655.7	654.6	656.8	649.6	620.4	645.6	678.3	873 9
Electric	477.7	508.3	514.0	530.6	506.9	497.1	511.1	536.2	511.8
Petroleum	744.8	649.8	639.9	626.4	634.4	564.2	628.7	685.6	681.1
Natural gas	1,071.0	1,065.0	1061.1	1.051.5	1.062.6	1,049.6	1,039.4	1053.5	1061.1
Communications, water & sewage	253.1	261.7	266 9	263.5	264.5	265.3	266.2	267.5	288.4
Rent	273.0	282.7	278.3	282 3	280.7	279.9	279.4	277.0	277.0
Maintenance & repair	426.7	442 7	454.8	445.4	448.5	451.8	453.5	455.2	458.6
Business services	405.6	425.4	440.9	428.4	432 2	436.6	440.9	442.5	443.7
Supplies	321.1	319.3	318.1	314.6	317.5	314.5	317.0	320.9	320.1
Property taxes & insurance	462.2	480.5	496.7	482.4	488.0	491.3	494 2	497.8	503.2
interest, short-term	155.5	114.5	74.5	114.1	96.2	82.0	78.9	66.7	70.3
Total marketing cost index	397.6	409 3	415.0	409.0	411.4	411 8	414.0	416.2	417.8

^{*} Indexes measure changes in employee earnings & benefits & in prices of supplies & services used in processing, wholesaling, & retailing U.S. farm foods purchased for at-home consumption. P = preliminary.

Information contact: Denis Dunham (202) 219-0870.

Livestock & Products

Table 10.-U.S. Meat Supply & Use .

							Cons	umption	Primary
	Beg. stocks	Produc- tion 1/	Imports	Total supply	Exports	Ending stocks	Total	Per capita 2/	market price 3/
			Mill	ion Pounds 4/				Pounds	
Beef 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	335 397 419 362	22,743 22,917 23,075 23,517	2,356 2,406 2,420 2,335	25,434 25,720 25,914 26,214	1,00 8 1,188 1,325 1,380	397 419 362 350	24,031 24,113 24,227 24,484	67.8 66.8 66.4 66.4	78.55 74.28 75.36 72–78
Pork 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	313 296 393 391	15,354 15,999 17,231 17,901	896 775 644 650	16,565 17,070 16,268 18,942	238 283 397 450	296 393 391 375	16.030 16,394 17,480 18.117	49 8 50.3 53 .1 54.5	55.32 49.69 43.05 39–45
Veal 5/ 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	4 6 7 5	327 306 309 307	0 0 0	331 312 316 312	0 0 0	6 7 5 4	325 305 311 308	1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0	96.51 99.94 89.59 85-91
Lamb & mutton 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	8 6 8	363 363 348 345	59 60 86 60	430 431 420 413	3 3 3 2	8 6 8 9	419 422 409 402	1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4	55 54 53.21 61.00 58-64
Total red meat 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	660 707 625 766	38,787 39,585 40,963 42,070	3,313 3.241 3,130 3,045	42,760 43,533 44,918 45,681	1.247 1,474 1,725 1,832	707 825 766 738	40,806 41,234 42,427 43,311	120.1 119.6 121.9 123,3	
Broilers 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	38 28 36 33	18,430 19,591 20,892 21,629	0 0 0	18,468 19,617 20,929 21, 8 62	1,143 1,261 1,485 1,555	28 36 33 35	17,299 18,320 19,411 20,072	61.1 63.9 67.0 68.6	54.8 52.0 52.6 50-56
Mature chicken 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	189 224 274 346	523 508 519 522	0 0 0	713 732 793 868	25 28 40 34	224 274 346 300	4 64 429 408 534	1.9 1.7 1.6 2.1	=
Turkeys 1990 1 991 1992 1993 F	236 306 264 277	4,514 4,603 4,776 4,858	0 0 0	4,750 4,909 5,040 5,134	54 103 165 175	306 264 277 275	4,390 4,541 4,599 4,684	17.6 16.0 16.0 16.2	63.2 61.3 59.9 58-64
Total poultry 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	463 557 575 655	23,468 24,701 26,188 27,009	0 0 0	23,931 25,258 26,762 27,664	1,222 1,392 1,689 1,7 6 4	557 575 655 610	22,152 23,291 24,418 25,290	80.5 63. 6 86.6 88.8	
Red meat & poultry 1990 1991 1992 1993 F	1,123 1,264 1,400 1,421	62,255 64,286 67,151 69,079	3,313 3,241 3,130 3,045	66,691 68,791 71,680 73,545	2,469 2,867 3,415 3,596	1,264 1,400 1,421 1,348	62,958 64,525 66, 844 68,601	200.6 203.2 206.6 212.1	

1/ Total including farm production for red meats & federally inspected plus nonfederally inspected for poultry. 2/ Retail weight basis. (The beef carcass-to-retail conversion factor was 70.5). 3/ Dottars per cwt for red meat: cents per pound for poultry. Beef. Medium # 1, Nebraska Direct 1,100–1,300 lb.; pork: barrows & gilts, lowa, Southern Minnesota; veal: farm price of calves; lamb & mutton. Choice slaughter lambs, San Angelo; broilers: wholesale 12-city average; turkeys; wholesale NY 8-16 lb. young hens. 4/ Carcass weight for red meats & certified ready-to-cook for poultry. 5/ Beginning 1989 veal trade no longer reported separately. F = forecast. — = not available.

Information contacts: Polly Cochran or Maxine Davis (202) 219-0767.

Table 11.-U.S. Egg Supply & Use

		Pro-				Hatch-		Consur		
	Beg. stocks	duc- tion	im- ports	Total supply	Ex- ports	ing	Ending stocks	Total	Per capita	Wholesale price
			M	illion dozen					No.	Cts./doz.
1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 F	10.4 14.4 15.2 10.7 11.6 13.0	5,868.2 5,784.2 5,598.2 5,865.6 5,779.3 5,898.8	5.6 5.3 25.2 9.1 2.3 4.1	5,884.2 5,803.9 5,638.5 5,685.3 5,793.3 5,893.3	111.2 141.8 91.6 100.5 154.3 157.1	599.1 605.9 643.9 678.5 708.1 726.6	14.4 15.2 10.7 11.6 13.0 13.5	5,159.5 5,041.0 4,892.4 4,894.7 4,917.9 5,001.5	254.9 246.9 237.3 235.0 233.5 235.0	61,6 62.1 81.9 82.2 77.5 65.4

^{*} Cartoned grade Allarge eggs, New York. F = forecast.

Information contact. Maxine Davis (202) 219-0767.

Table 12.—U.S. Milk Supply & Use 1/

			Comr	mercial		Total		Comm		611	ccc	net removals
	Produc- tion	Farm use	Farm market- inga	Beg. stock	lm- porte	commer- cial supply	novals	Ending stocks	Disap- pear- ance	All milk price 1/	Skim solida basis	Total eolids basis 2/
					Billon Pour	de (milkfat bas	(a)			\$/cwt	Billion	pounds
1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 F 1993 F	143.0 143.1 142.7 145.2 144.2 148.3 148.3 148.5 151.9	2.5 2.4 2.3 2.1 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	140.6 140.7 140.5 142.9 142.2 146.3 146.5 149.8	4.8 4.5 4.1 4.6 4.3 4.1 5.1 4.5	2.8 2.7 2.5 2.4 2.5 2.7 2.6 2.5 2.6	148.2 147.9 147.1 149.9 149.0 153.1 154.3 156.8 156.5	13.3 10.8 6.8 9.1 9.4 9.0 10.4 10.2 7.1	4.5 4.6 4.3 4.1 5.1 6.5 4.5	130.4 133.0 135.7 136.5 135.4 138.9 139.4 142.1 145.0	12.76 12.51 12.54 12.26 13.66 13.88 12.24 13.21	17.2 14.3 9.3 6.5 0.4 1.6 3.9 1.7	15.5 12.9 8.3 6.9 4.6 6.5 5.1

1/ Dalivered to plants & dealers; does not reflect deductions. 2/ Arbitrarily weighted average of milkfal basis (40 percent) & skim solids basis (60 percent). F = forecast. Information contact; Jim Miller (202) 219-0770.

Table 13.—Poultry & Eggs_

		Annual		1991				1992		
Broilers	1990	1991	1992	Dec	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Federally inspected slaughter, certified (mil. lb.) Wholesale price,	18,553.9	19,727.7	21,038.1	1,615.9	1.819.9	1,763.3	1,803.5	1,834.0	1.595.0	1.808.3
12-city (cts/lb.) Price of grower feed (\$/ton) Broiler-feed price ratio 1/ Stocks beginning of period (mil. lb.) Broiler-type chicks hatched (mil.) 2/	54.8 218 3.0 38.3 8,324.4	52.0 208 3.0 26.1 6. 613.3	52.6 208 3,1 38.1 6,813.3	49 5 207 2.8 38.8 571.5	66.0 211 3.2 33.7 584.1	56.1 210 3.3 35.1 573.0	51,3 212 3.0 38.0 554.5	53.7 208 3.2 31.1 546.2	65.0 201 3.3 28.8 524.5	51.2 202 3.1 29.0 587.1
Turkeys Federally inspected slaughter. certified (mil. ib.)	4,560.7	4,651.9	4.827.6	346.1	452.0	411.9	431.3	46 7.6	423.0	391.8
Wholesale price. Eastern U.S., 8-16 lb. young hens (cts./lb.) Price of turkey grower (saed (\$/ton) Turkey-feed price ratio 1/ Stocks baginning of period (mil. lb.) Poults placed in U.S. (mil.)	63.2 238 3.2 235.9 304.9	61.2 230 3.3 306.4 308.1	59.9 242 3.1 264.1 309.2	65.2 224 3.4 305.5 24.4	57.0 246 3.1 580.1 29.3	57.8 245 3.1 662.1 25.5	61.0 247 3 0 672.7 21.6	63.9 241 3.2 734 4 21.9	65.8 244 3.2 714.7 22:1	65.1 245 3.2 320.5 24.1
Eggs Farm production (mil.) Average number of layers (mil.)	67,987 270	69,352 275	70,581 278	6,007 280	5.905 275	5,914 274	6,748 276	8,010 279	5.904 281	6.088 281
Rate of lay (eggs per layer on farms) Cartoned price. New York, grade A	251. 7	252.4	253.9	21.6	21.5	21.8	20.8	21,5	21.0	21.7
large (cla./doz.) 3/ Price of laying feed (\$/ton) Egg=feed price ratio 1/	82.2 200 7.0	77.5 192 .6.8	65.4 199 5.7	80 199 7.2	58.6 201 6.2	64.6 202 5.3	70 5 202 5.9	65.3 196 5.8	75.3 197 6.6	73.6 195 6.6
Stocks, first of month Shell (mil. doz.) Frozen (mil. doz.)	0.38 10.3	0.45 11.2	0.63 12.3	0.36 11.5	0,90 16.1	0.87 14.8	0.69 15.3	0.66 15,2	0.51 16.5	0.45 14.2
Replacement chicks hatched (mil.)	398	417	385	32.7	32.0	28.2	27.9	31.9	26.5	29.5

^{1/} Pounds of feed equal in value to 1 dozen eggs or 1 lb. of broiler or turkey liveweight. 2/ Placement of broiler chicks is currently reported for 15 States only; henceforth, hatch of broiler-type chicks will be used as a substitute. 3/ Price of cartoned eggs to volume buyers for delivery to retailers.

Information contact: Maxine Davis (202) 219-0767.

Table 14.—Dairy

		Annual		1991				1992		
	1990	1991	1992	Dec	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Milk prices, Minnesota-Wisconsin, 3.5% fat (\$/cwt) 1/	12.21	11.05	11.88	12.10	12.59	12.54	12.28	12.05	11.84	11.34
Wholesale prices Butter, grade A Chi. (cts./lb.)	102.1	99 3	82.5	98.4	76.6	76.6	81,7	82.2	80.7	78.6
Am. cheese, Wie. assembly pt. (cts./lb.) Nonfat dry milk (cts./lb.) 2/	136.7 100.8	124.4 94.0	131.9 107.1	130.2 108.5	141.8 115.0	142.0 111.6	138.9 105.1	132.4 108 0	129.4 109.1	123.2 1 0 9.2
USDA net removale 3/ Total milk equiv. (mil. lb.) 4/ Butter (mil. lb.) Am. cheese (mil. lb.) Nonfat dry milk (mil. lb.)	9.017 2 400.3 21.5 117.8	10.433.9 442.8 77.6 269.5	10.092.8 444.4 13.2 174.6	752.7 33.8 1.5 14.4	408 4 16.7 0.1 15.4	405.8 17.5 0.8 11.3	364.2 13.9 0 3 14.7	249.2 9 6 0.9 21.2	337.8 13.9 0.9 32.6	515.2 26.9 0.9 39.4
Milk Milk prod. 21 States (mil. lb.) Milk per cow (lb.) Number of milk cows (1.000) U.S. milk production (mil. lb.)	125, 77 2 14,776 8,512 148,284	125.671 14.977 8.391 148.477	128,300 15,546 8,253 151,747	10.453 1,256 8,322 7/ 12.368	10,900 1,322 8,247 7/ 12,826	10,673 1,295 8,243 7/ 12,613	10.263 1,246 8,237 7/ 12.078	10,532 1,278 8,238 7/ 12,465	10,184 1,237 8,235 7/ 12,072	10,659 1,292 8,247 7/ 12,829
Stock, beginning Total (mil. lb.) Commercial (mil. lb.) Government (mil. lb.) Imports, total (mil. lb.) Commercial disappearance	9.036 4.120 4.916 2,690	13,359 5,146 8,213 2,624	15,841 4,461 11,379	16.886 4,257 11.629 287	21.469 5.104 16.364 220	22,026 5,675 16,350 170	20.832 5, 676 15.156 196	18.406 5.234 13,172 226	16,244 4,981 11,263 264	14.880 4.657 10.223
(mil. lb.)	138,922	1 39 ,37 6		11,505	11.958	12.265	12,244	12.673	12,204	
Butter Production (mil. lb.) Stocks, beginning (mil. lb.) Commercial disappearance (mil. lb.)	1,302.2 256.2 915.2	1,336.3 416.1 903.0	1,344.5 539.4	129,4 543,0 89,8	96.8 766.2 68.4	84.8 780.6 63.4	90.0 732.3 90.2	100.4 630.7 94.2	99.3 551.2 95.7	115.1 490.1
American cheese Production (mil. lb.) Stocke, beginning (mil. lb.) Commercial disappearance (mil. lb.)	2,894.2 236.2 2,784.4	2,804.9 347.4 2,791.9	2,938.7 318.7	247.7 320.3 248.9	259.3 345.1 233.2	242.4 370.1 245.7	222,9 364.8 233.5	240.2 350.5 259.3	233.1 328 9 244.0	251 2 324.8
Other cheese Production (mil. ib.) Stocks, beginning (mil. ib.) Commercial disappearance (mil. ib.)	3.167.0 93.2 3,426.4	3,285.9 110.6 3,575.2	3,518.8 97.5	28 6 .0 89.8 310.2	286.7 121.8 304.7	293.5 127.1 316.3	297.1 123.9 321.2	321.5 121.1 345.6	314.4 121.7 343.1	307.7 121.9
Nontat dry milk Production (mil. lb.) Stocks, beginning (mil. lb.) Commercial disappearance (mil. lb.)	879.2 49.5 697. 6	8 77 .5 161.9 662.7	873.0 214.8	86.0 225.9 47.6	76.0 149.5 46.6	59.2 148.7 46 .9	52.8 138.1 56.1	53. 6 112.0 46.1	66.6 90.8 26.0	80.9 87.6
Frozen dessert Production (mil. gal.) 5/	1,174.6	1,196.1	1,238.2	76.0	125.4	117.7	105.2	92.0	79.7	80.4
		Annual			1991				1992	
	1990	1991	1992		III	IV	- 1	II	H	IV
Milk production (mil. lb.) Milk per cow (lb.) No. of milk cows (1,000) Milk-feed price ratio 6/ Returns over concentrate costs (\$/owt milk) 6/	148,284 14,642 10,127 1.71 10 17	148,477 14,860 9,992 1 58 8,95	151,747 15,423 9,839 1,69 9,74	38,586 3,859 10,000 1,46 8,05	36,232 3,643 9,944 1,59 9,25	36,270 3,655 9,923 1,77 10,45	37,989 3,852 9,863 1,68 9,61	39.077 3,971 9,841 1,65 9,47	37,515 3,818 9,826 1,75 10,08	37,166 3,782 9,827 1,69 9,80

^{1/} Manufacturing grade milk. 2/ Prices paid flo.b. Central States production area. 3/ Includes products exported through the Dairy Export Incentive Program (DEIP). 4/ Milk equivalent, lat basis. 5/ Hard ice cream, ice milk, & hard sherbet. 6/ Based on average milk price after adjustment for price support deductions. 7/ Estimated. 8/ Entire period not available. Average of weeks reported. —— = not available.

Information contact: LaVerne T. Williams (202) 219-0770.

Table 15.—Wool

		Annual			1991				1992	
	1990	1991	1992	II	!!!	IV	ľ	II		(V
U.S. wool Price. (cts./lb.) 1/	256	199	204	200	8217	182	209	222	210	³176
Imported wool price, (cts./lb.) 2/ U.S. mill consumption, scoured	287	187	210	199	194	222	250	233	-203	189
Appare! wool (1,000 lb.) Carpet wool (1,000 lb.)	120, 8 22 12,124	137,187 14,352	139715 14,726	37.111 3.118	34,578 4,561	33,916 3,588	36, 92 9 4,580	36,045 3,623	34.4 62 3.145	32.27 9 3,378

^{1/} Wool price delivered at U.S. mills, clean basis, Graded Territory 64's (20.60-22.04 microns) staple 2-3/4" & up. 2/ Wool price, Charleston, SC warehouse, clean basis, Australian 60/62's, type 64A (24 micron). Duty since 1982 has been 10.0 cents. P = preliminary, --- = not available.

Information contact: John Lawler (202) 219-0840.

Table 16.—Meat Animals

										7
		Annual		1991				1992		
	1990	1991	1992	Dec	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Cattle on feed (7 States) Number on feed (1,000 head) 1/ Placed on feed (1,000 head) Marketings (1,000 head) Other disappearance (1,000 head)	8.378 21.030 19,198 1,218	8.992 19.704 19.066 1,233	8,397 20,498 18,623 1,199	8,894 1,456 1,443 93	7,337 1,432 1,684 85	7,000 1,641 1,692 81	6,968 2,179 1,586 66	7,495 2,658 1,493 76	8.584 1.843 1.442 91	8,894 1,694 1,414 101
Beef steer-corn price ratio.		,,								
Omaha 2/ Hog-com price ratio. Omaha 2/	32.8 2321	31,8 21,1	33.3 19.0	29.7 16.8	32.2 20.0	34.7 21.3	35 1 20.3	37.4 21.3	38.0 21.0	38.8 21.2
Market prices (\$/cwt) Slaughter cattle										
Choice steers, Omaha 1,000-1,100 lb. Choice steers, Neb. Direct,	77.40	73.83	74.65	58. 64	73.05	73.08	73.68	74.13	74 41	76,58
1,100–1,300 გ.	78.56	74.28	75.36	69.07	73.23	73.96	74.44	75.12	75.11	77.34
Boning utility cows, Sioux Falls Feeder cattle	53.60	50.31	44.84	47.21	44.28	46.13	46.43	45.69	42.09	44.71
Medium no. 1, Oktahoma City 600–700 lb.	92.15	92.74	85.57	83.08	87.46	88.18	87.48	85.23	85.90	86.67
Slaughter hogs	55.00	40.00								
Barrows & gilts, Iowa, S. Minn. Feeder pigs	55.32	49.69	43.05	39.54	45.22	45.27	42.58	42.69	42.03	42.73
S. Mg. 40-50 lb. (per head)	51.46	39.84	31.71	28.17	26.20	31.28	31.18	32.44	30.69	29.78
Slaughter sheep & lambs Lambs, Choice, San Angelo	55.54	53 21	61.00	54.92	58.17	52.38	53.81	52.81	56.93	67.25
Ewes, Good, San Angelö Feeder lambs	35.21	31.98	35.39	32.92	33.57	35.38	32.39	29.56	32.92	40.75
Choice, San Angelo	62.95	53.54	62.09	54. 75	56.43	53.69	55.43	52 94	58.75	71.13
Wholesale meat prices, Midwest Boxed beef cut-out value Canner & cutter cow bee!	123.21 99.96	118.31 99.42	116.73 93.85	111.18 93.02	112.79 94.29	114.38 96.74	114.40 93.23	115.51 90.85	115.26 88 13	119.95 95.31
Pork loins, 14–18 lb. 3/ Pork belifes, 12–14 lb. Hams, skinned, 17–20 lb.	117.52 53.80 84 87	108 39 47.79 75.68	101.41 30.39 67.42	90.19 26.79 73,89	108.22 32.77 67.18	111.18 35.13 68.34	102.98 29.09 73.7	96.98 29. 13 78,58	89.64 30.48 82.45	96.22 28.80 72.67
All fresh beef retail price 4/	254.99	262.12		261.70	257.09	258.21	258.72	261.50	287.14	266.95
Commercial staughter (1,000 head) 5/										
Cattle Steer#	33,241 16,587	32.690 16.728	32,857 0	2,562 1,299	2,860 1,571	2,782 1,494	2.809 1.458	2,863 1,433	2,558 1,270	2,701 1,382
Haifera	10.090	9,725	0	700	796	802	808	802	706	709
Cows Bulls & stage	5,920 644	5.623 51 4	0	519 44	435 58	427 59	482 61	564 64	531 51	560 50
Calves Shesp & lambs	1,789	1,436	1,371	134	109	110	110	115	113	124
Hogs	5.654 85.136	5.722 88,169	5.493 94.862	48 8 7,925	444 7.639	418 7. 68 2	489 8,414	470 8,791	4 2 8 7,983	477 8,359
Commercial production (mil. lb.)										
Vesi	22,634 316	22,800 296	22,958 300	1,782 27	2,015 24	1.980 24	1.995 23	2.014 24	1.783	1,853 22
Lamb & mutton	358	358	344	31	27	25	30	29	23 27	25 1, 52 5
Pork	15,300	15,948	17.180	1,409	1,374	1,378	1,510	1,588	1.4 54	1,525
		Annual			4004					
	1000	Annual	4000		1991	11.6	-74		992	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8.44	1990	1991	1992	II	(II	IV	1	11	111	IV
Cattle on feed (1.3 States) Number on feed (1.000 head) 1/	9,943	10.827	10.135	10,739	9,461	8.620	10,135	9.693	8,847	8.920
Placed on lead (1,000 head) Marketings (1,000 head)	24,803	10.827 23,208	24.246	5,008	5,414	7.086	5,403	5,273	6,107	7,463
Other disappearance (1,000 head)	22.526 1,393	22,383 1,517	22.061 1,436	5,820 464	5,973 282	5.2 62 309	5,441 404	5,675 444	5,766 268	5,179 320
Hoge & pigs (10 States) 6/	10.000								4=	
Inventory (1,000 head) 1/ Breeding (1,000 head) 1/	42,200 5,275	45,735 5,610	47.940 5,800	42.010 5.455	44.520 5.720	47.0 80 5,680	45,735 5,810	44,800 5,555	47,255 5,845	49.175 5,840
Market (1,000 head) 1/ Farrowings (1,000 head)	36,925 8,960	40.125	42.140	36.555	38,800	41.400	40,125	39.245	39,245	43,335
Pig crop (1,000 head)	70,589	9.516 75,330	9,938 80,490	2,588 20,648	2.441 19.278	2.348 1 8 ,551	2,296 18,532	2.663 21,570	2.663 21,570	2,458 1 9.82 9

^{1/} Beginning of period. 2/ Bushele of corn equal in value to 100 pounds live weight. 3/ Prior to 1984, 8-14 lb.: 1984 & 1985, 14-17 lb; beginning 1986, 14-18 lb. 4/ New series estimating the composite price of all beef grades & ground beef sold by retail storae. This new series is in addition to, but does not replace, the series for the retail price of Choice beef that appears in table 8. 5/ Classes estimated. 6/ Quarters are Dec. of preceding year-Feb. (I), Mar.-May (II), June-Aug. (III), & Sept-Nov. (IV). May not add to NASS totals due to rounding. — = not available. "intentions.

Information contact: Polly Cochran (202) 219-0767.

Crops & Products

Table 17.—Supply & Utilization^{1,2}

		Alea					Feed	0.5				
	Set aside 3/	Planted	Harves- ted	Yield	Produc- tion	Total supply	and resid- 1'3	Other domes- tic use	Ex- porte	Total use	Ending stocks	Farm price 5/
		Mil. acres		Bu./acre				Mil. bu.				\$/bu.
Wheat 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91" 1991/92" 1992/93"	23.9 22.4 9.6 7.5 15.9 7.0	65.8 65.5 76.6 77.2 69.9 72.3	55.9 53.2 82.2 69.3 57.7 82.4	37.7 34.1 32.7 39.5 34.3 39.4	2.108 1.812 2.037 2.736 1.981 2.459	3,945 3,096 2,762 3,309 2,888 2,996	290 150 144 499 257 225	806 829 849 875 879 915	1,588 1,415 1,232 1,068 1,281 1,350	2,584· 2,394 2,225 2,443 2,416 2,490	1,261 702 538 866 472 506	2.57 3.72 3.72 2.61 3.00 3.25-3.35
Rice		Mil. acres		Lb./acre			ħ	अंते. ewt (rough (equiv.)			\$/ewt
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91" 1991/92" 1992/93"	1.57 1.09 1.18 1.02 0.9 0.4	2,36 2,93 2,73 2,90 2,88 3,17	2.33 2.90 2.69 2.82 2.78 3.13	6,555 5,514 5,749 5,529 5,674 5,722	129 8 159.9 154.6 168.1 157.5 179.1	184.0 195.1 185.6 187.2 187.3 212.1	=	6/ 80.4 6/ 82.4 6/ 82.1 6/ 91.7 8/ 93.7 8/ 97.8	72.2 85.9 77.2 70.0 66.4 76.0	152 6 168.4 159.3 182.7 180.1 173 8	31.4 26.7 26.4 24.6 27.3 38.3	7.27 6.83 7.35 6.70 7.58 5.85~6.45
Corn		Mil. acres		Bu./acre				Mil. bu.				\$/bu.
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91* 1991/92* 1992/93*	23.1 20.5 10.8 10.7 7.4 5.3	66.2 67.7 72.2 74.2 76.0 79.3	59.5 58.3 64.7 67. 0 68 8 72.1	119.8 84.6 116.3 118.5 108.6 131.4	7.131 4.929 7.525 7.934 7.475 9.479	12.018 9,191 9,458 9,282 9,018 10,582	4,798 3,941 4,389 4,669 4,898 5,200	1,243 1,293 1,358 1,367 1,434 1,495	1,718 2,026 2,368 1,725 1,584 1,650	7.757 7.260 8,113 7,761 7.916 8.345	4.259 1,930 1.344 1.521 1.100 2.237	1.94 2.54 2.36 2.28 2.37 1.90~2.20
Sorohum		Mil. acres		8u./acre				Mil. bu.				\$/bu.
Sorghum 1887/88 1988/89 1988/90 1990/91* 1991/92* 1892/93*	4.1 3.9 3.3 3.3 2.5 1.9	11.8 10.3 12.6 10.5 11.1 13.3	10.5 9.0 11.1 9.1 9.9 12.2	69 4 63.8 55.4 83.1 59.3 72.8	731 577 815 573 585 884	1,474 1,239 1,055 793 727 937	555 468 517 410 373 500	25 22 15 9	232 312 303 232 292 300	812 800 835 651 674 810	683 440 220 143 53 127	1.70 2.27 2.08 2.12 2.25 1.75-2.05
Rariau		Mil. acres		Bu./acre				Mil. bu.				\$/bu.
Barley 1987/88 1988/89 1988/80 1990/81* 1991/92* 1992/93*	2.9 2.8 2.3 2.9 2.2 2.1	11.0 9.8 9.1 8.2 8.9 7.8	10.0 7.6 8.3 7.5 8.4 7.3	52 4 38.0 48.6 56.1 55.2. 62.4	521 290 404 422 464 458	869 622 814 596 624 600	263 171 193 205 230 195	174 175 175 176 171 165	121 79 84 81 94	548 425 453 461 496 450	321 196 181 135 129 150	1.81 2.80 2.42 2.14 2.10 2.00-2.05
Oats		Mil. acres		Bul/acre			^	Mil bu.				\$/bu.
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91* 1991/92* 1992/93*	0.8 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.5	17.9 13.9 12.1 10.4 8.7 B.0	6.9 5.5 8 9 5.9 4.8 4.5	54.3 39.3 54.3 60.1 50.7 65.8	374 218 374 358 243 295	552 392 538 578 489 472	368 194 266 288 235 230	81 100 115 120 125 125	1 1 1 1 2 5	440 294 381 407 362 360	112 98 157 171 128 112	1.56 2.61 1.49 1.14 1.20 1.30–1.35
Soybeans		Mil. acres		Bu./acre				Mil. bu.				\$/bu.
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91* 1991/92* 1992/93*	0 0 0	58.2 58.8 60.8 57.8 59.2 59.3	57.2 57.4 59.5 56.5 58.0 58.4	33 9 27.0 32 3 34 1 34.2 37.6	1,938 1,549 1,924 1,926 1,987 2,197	2,375 1,855 2,108 2,168 2,319 2,477	7/ 97 7/ 88 7/ 100 7/ 95 7/ 102 7/ 102	1,174 1,058 1,146 1,187 1,254 1,265	802 527 623 557 685 745	2,073 1,673 1,809 1,839 2,041 2,122	302 182 239 329 278 355	5.88 7.42 5.69 5.74 5.58 5.405.55
Soybean oil								Mil. (bs.				8/ Cls./lb.
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91" 1991/92* 1992/93*	=	=			12.974 11.737 13.004 13.408 14,345 13,684	14,895 13,967 14,741 14,730 18,132 16,925		10.930 10.591 12.083 12.154 12.245 12.675	1,873 1,861 1,353 780 1,648 1,700	12.803 12.252 13.436 12.944 13.893 14.376	2.092 1.715 1.305 1.786 2.239 1.550	22 67 21.10 22.30 21.00 19.10 20.0-22.0
Soybean meal								1.000 tons				9/ \$/ton
1967/86 1988/89 1989/80 1990/91* 1991/92* 1992/93*	-				28,080 24,943 27,719 28,325 29,831 30,045	28.300 25,100 27.900 28.866 30,183 30,325		21.293 19,857 22.263 22.912 23,103 23,950	5,854 5,270 5,319 5,469 6,850 6,075	28.147 24.927 27.582 28.381 29.953 30,025	153 173 318 285 230 300	239 252 186 181 189 170-190

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17.—Supply & Utilization, continued

		Area					Feed	Other				
	Set Aside 3/	Planted	Harves- led	Yield	Produc- tion	Total supply 4/	and resid- ual	domes- tic use	Ex ports	Total use	Ending Stocks	Farm price 5/
Cotton 10/		Mil. scres		Lb/acre				Mil. bales				
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91* 1991/92* 1992/93*	4.0 2.2 3.5 2.0 1.2 1.6	10.4 12.5 10.6 12.3 14.1 13.3	10.0 11.9 9.5 11.7 13.0 11.2	706 619 814 634 652 700	14.8 15.4 12.2 15.5 17.6 16.3	19 8 21 2 19 3 18 6 20.0 20.0	-	7.6 7.8 8.8 8.7 9.6 9.7	6.8 6.1 7.7 7.8 8.7 6.2	14.2 13.9 16.5 16.5 16.3 15.0	5.8 7.1 3.0 2.3 3.7 4.2	64.30 58.60 66.20 67.10 56.80 11/53.50

information contact: Commodity Economics Division, Crops Branch (202) 219~0840

Table 18.—Cash Prices, Selected U.S. Commodities

			ng year 1/		1991			1992		
	1988/89	1989/90	1990/91	1991/92	Dec	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Wheat, No. 1 HRW, Kansas City (\$/bu.) 2/ Wheat, DNS.	4.17	4,22	2.94	3.77	4.06	3.27	3.56	3.60	3.78	3.81
Minneappile (\$/bu.) 3/ Rice, S.W. La. (\$/cwt) 4/	4.36 14.85	4,1 8 15 55	3 06 15.25	3.82 16.48	4,11 17,35	3.65 15.00	3.79 14.75	3.85 14.70	3.94 14.45	3.88 14.25
Com, no. 2 yellow, 30 day.										
Chicago (\$/bu.) Sorghum, no. 2 yellow,	2.68	2.54	2.41	2.52	2.50	2.23	2.17	2.08	2.13	2.17
Kansas City (\$/cwt) Barley, feed,	4.17	4 21	4.08	4.36	4.35	3.77	3.76	3.60	3.61	3.70
Outuih (\$/bu.) 5/ Barley, melting,	2,32	2.20	2.13	2.17	2.18.	2.03	2.12	2.11	2.08	2.06
MinneaPolis (\$/bu.)	4.11	3.28	2.42	2.38	2.54	2.19	2,30	2 39	2.35	2 36
U.S. price, St.M, 1-1/16 in. (cts./ib.) 6/ Northern Europe prices	57.7	69.8	74.8	56.7	53.9	57.8	53.5	49.5	50.0	51.9
index (cts.//b.) 7/ U.S. M 1+3/32 In. (cts.//b.) 8/	68.4 69.2	82.3 83.6	82 9 88.2	62.9 66.3	61.8 64.3	59.2 62.9	66.3 60.3	52.9 58.0	52 6 60.6	64.3 B1.9
Soybeans, no. 1 yellow, 30 day, Chicago (\$/bu.) Soybean oil, grude,	7.41	5.86	6.78	5.76	5.88	5.40	5.42	5.33	5.58	5.86
Décatur (cts./lb.)	21.10	22.30	21.00	19.13	16.99	17.87	18.28	18 36	20.10	20.52
Soybean mail. 48% Protein. Decatur (\$/ton) 8/	252.40	186.50	181.40	181.38	183.10	188.00	187.00	180 60	181.90	187.60

^{1/} Beginning June 1 for wheat & barley; Aug. 1 for rice & cotton; Sept. 1 for corn, sorghum & soybeans; Oct. 1 for soymeal & oil. 2/ Ordinary protein. 3/ 14% protein.

4/ Long grain, milled basis. 5/ Beginning Mar. 1987 reporting point changed from Minnespolis to Duluth. 6/ Average spot market. 7/ Liverpool Cotlook *A* Index; average of five lowest prices of 13 selected growths. 8/ Memphis territory growths. 8/ Note change to 48% protein. NO = no quotation.

Information contacts: Wheat, rice, & leed grains, Joy Harwood (202) 219-0840; Colton, Lee Meyer (202) 219-0840; Soybeans, Brenda Toland, (202) 219-0840.

Table 19.—Farm Programs, Price Supports, Participation & Payment Rates

					Payment rates				
	Target price	Basic Ioan rate	Findley or announced loan rate 1/	Total deficiency	Paid land Mandatory	divarsion Optional	Effective base acres 2/	Program 3/	Partici- pation rate 4/
	p1100	1 4440		\$/bu.			Mil.	Percent of base	Percent of base
Wheat 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 5/ 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94	4.38 4.23 4.10 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	2.85 2.76 2.58 2.44 2.52 2.58 2.86	2.28 2.21 2.06 1.95 2.04 2.21 2.45	1.81 0.69 0.32 1.28 1.35 1.081	60 Apr 607 60 Apr 607 60 Apr 607		87.6 64.8 82.3 80.5 79.2 79.0	27.5/0/0 27.5/0/0 10/0/0 6/ 5/0/0 15/0/0 5/0/0 0/0/0	88 86 78 83 85 82
Rice				\$/cw1			0.00	441-1-	
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 5/ 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94	11.68 11.15 10.80 10.71 10.71 10.71	6.84 6.63 6.50 6.50 6.50 6.50	7/ 5.79 7/ 6.21 7/ 5.74 7/ 5.85 7/ 5.85	4.82 4.31 3.58 4.18 3.07 • 4.21			4.2 4.2 4.2 4.2 4.1	35/0/0 25/0/0 25/0/0 20/0/0 5/0/0 0/0/0 5/0/0	96 94 94 95 97
Corn				\$/bu.					
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 5/ 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94	3.03 2.93 2.84 2.75 2.76 2.75 2.75	2 28 2.21 2.06 1.96 1.89 2.01 1.99	1.82 1.77 1.85 1.57 1.62 1.72 1.72	1 09 0.36 0.58 0.51 0.41 1 0.48	and a	2.00 1.75	81.5 82.9 82.7 82.8 82.7 82.2	20/0/15 20/0/10 10/0/0 10/0/0 7.5/0/0 5/0/0 10/0/0	90 87 79 78 77 75
Corabum				\$/bu.					
Sorg hum 1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94	2 88 2.78 2.70 2.61 2.61 2.61 2.61	2.17 2.10 1.96 1.88 1.80 1.91	1.74 1.68 1.57 1.49 1.54 1.63	1.14 0.48 0.68 0.56 0.37 - 0.46	100-100-100 100-100-100 40-100-100 100-100-100 40-100-100 100-100-100	1.90	17.4 16.8 16.2 15.4 13.5	8/ 20/0/15 20/0/10 10/0/0 10/0/0 7.5/0/0 5/0/0	84 82 71 70 77
Barley				\$/bu.					
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/91 5/ 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94	2.80 2.51 2.44 2.36 2.36 2.36 2.36	1.86 1.80 1.68 1.60 1.54 1.84 1.62	41.49 1.44 1.34 1.28 1.32 1.40 1.40	0.79 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.62 10.56	Abrillands	1,80	12.5 12.5 12.3 11.9 11.5	8/ 20/0/15 20/0/10 10/0/0 10/0/0 7.5/0/0 5/0/0 0/0/0	85 79 67 68 76 74
Oats				\$/bu.					
1987/88 1988/69 1989/90 1990/91 5/ 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94	1,60 1,65 1,50 1,45 1,45 1,45	1.17 1.14 1.08 1.01 0.97 1.03 1.02	0.94 0.91 0.85 0.81 0.83 0.88	0.20 0.00 0.00 0.32 0.35 -*0.17	We have any and the second of	0.80	8.4 7.9 7.8 7.5 7.3 7.3	8/ 20/0/15 5/0/0 5/0/0 5/0/0 5/0/0 0/0/0 0/0/0 0/0/0	45 30 18 09 38 40
Soybeans 9/				\$/bu.					
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1990/81 5/ 1991/92 1992/83 1993/94			4.77 4.77 4.53 4.50 5.02 5.02 5.02			10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -		10/ 10/25 10/ 0/25 10/ 0/25 10/ 0/25 10/ 0/25	united bills and a second seco
Upland cotton				CIE.fb.					
1987/88 1988/89 1989/90 1890/91 5/ 1991/92 12/ 1992/93 1993/94	78.4 75.9 73.4 72.9 72.9 72.0 72.0	52.25 51.80 50.00 50.27 50.77 52.35 52.35	11/ 52.25 11/ 61 80 11/ 60.00 11/ 60.27 11/ 47.23 11/	17.3 18.4 13.1 7.3 10.1 17.20.3	The first data distribution data for the re- gion data for distribution data for the re- formands		14.5 14.5 14.6 14.4 14.9	25/0/0 12.5/0/0 25/0/0 12.5/0/0 5/0/0 10/0/0 7.5/0/0	93 89 89 86 84 87

^{1/} There are no Findley loan rates for rice or cotton. See footnotes 7/ & 11/. 2/ National effective crop acreage base as determined by ASCS. Net of CRP.
3/ Program requirements for participating producers (mandatory acreage reduction program/mandatory paid land diversion/optional paid land diversion). Acres idled must be devoted to a conserving use to receive program benefite. 4/ Percentage of effective base acres enrolled in acreage reduction grograms. 5/ Payments & loans were reduced by 1.4 percent in 1990/91 dus to Gramm-Rudman-Hollings. Budget Reconciliation Act reductions to deficiency payments rates were also in effect in that year. Data do not include these reductions. Wunder 1990 modified contracts, participating producers plant up to 105 percent of their wheat base ecras. For every acre planted above 95 percent of base, the acreage used to compute deficiency payments was cut by 1 ecrs. // A marksting loan has been in effect for rice since 1985/88. Loans may be repaid at the lower of: a) the loan rate or b) the adjusted world market price (announced weekly). However, loans cannot be repaid at less than a specified fraction of the loan rate or b) the adjusted world market price (announced received or cotton since 1986/87. In 1987/88. & after, loans may be repaid at the lower of: a) the loan rate or b) the adjusted world market price (announced weekly: Plan B). Starting in 1991/92, loans cannot be repaid at less than 70 percent of the loan rate. Data refer to annual average loan repayment rates. 12/ A marksting cartificate program was implemented on Aug. 1, 1891. — = not available.

^{*} For wheat, the 1991/92 rate is the total deficiency payment rate for the "regular" program. For the winter wheat option, the rate is \$1.25.

** For wheat, barley, and pate, regular deficiency payment rate based on the 5-month price. For rice and upland cotton, total deficiency payment rate.

** Estimated total deficiency payment rate.

** Estimated total deficiency payment rate. Minimum guaranteed payment rate for 0/92 (wheat & feed grains) & 50/92 (rice and upland cotton) programs. Sign-up for 1993 programs is March 1-April 30, 1993.

Table 20.-Fruit

	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991 P	1992 P
Citrus 1/ Production (1,000 ton) Per capita consumpt. (tbs.) 2/	10,832 22,6	10,525 2 1.6	11,058 24.3	11,993 24 .0	12,761 25.4	13,186 25 1	10,860 22.1	11,888 19.9	12,386
Noncitrus 3/ Production (1,000 tons) Per capita consumpt. (lbs.) 2/	14,301 68.3	14,191 65.3	13.874 68.8	16,011 73.5	16,693 72.0	16,365 73.6	15,656 70.5	15,801 70.7	16.939
					1992				
et a la stanta a natakantan	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
F.o.b. shipping point prices Apples (\$/carton) 4/ Pears (\$/box) 5/	15.00 13.68	15.13 18,13	15.50 15.10	16.58 14.30	25.70	16 73	15.38 13.05	14.46 13.54	13.60 13.86
Grower prices Oranges (\$/box) 6/ Grapefruit (\$/box) 6/	6.44 6.68	6. 50 4 23	4.75 4.45	2.06 4 00	1.65 3.32	1.37 3.73	1.79 7.09	3.80 4.11	2.90 4.66
Stocks, ending Fresh apples (mil. lbs.) Fresh pears (mil. lbs.) Frozen fruits (mil. lbs.)	1,073 3 57.0 582.0	672.9 16.7 613.7	327.1 4.7 668.1	108.5 49.4 803.1	33 5 139.1 881.0	3,479.5 523.1 935.3	5,580.0 380.4 1.073.5	4,988.3 276.7 1.008.2	4,114.1 223 3 927.1
Frozen orange juice (mil. lbs.)	1,269.3	1,306.2	1,133 4	978.0	874.9	742.0	666.2	638.0	899.3

^{1/ 1991} indicated 1990/91 season. 2/ Fresh per capita consumption. 3/ Calendar year. 4/ Red delicious, Washington, extra fancy, carton tray pack, 125's. 5/ D'Anjou, Washington, standard box wrapped, U.S. no. 1, 135's. 6/ U.S. equivalent on-tree returns. P = preliminary. --- = not available.

Information contact: Wynnice Napper (202) 219-0884.

Table 21.—Vegetables

					Cale	ndar ye ar				
Production	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992 P
Total vegetables (1,000 cwt) Fresh (1,000 cwt) 1/ 3/ Processed (tons) 2/ 3/ Mushrooms (1,000 lbs.) 4/ Potatoes (1,000 cwt) Sweetpotatoes (1,000 cwt) Ory edible baans (1,000 cwt)	403,509 185,782 10,888,350 561,531 333,728 12,083 15,520	456,334 201,817 12,725,880 595,881 362,039 12,902 21,070	453.030 203.549 12,474,040 587,956 406,609 14,573 22,298	448.629 203,165 12,273.200 614.393 361,743 12,358 22,960	478,381 220,539 12,892,100 837,819 389,320 11,611 26,931	468,779 228,397 12,019,110 667,759 356,438 10,945 19,253	542,437 239,281 15,157,790 714,992 370,444 11,358 23,729	581,704 239,104 18,130,020 749,151 402,110 12,594 32,379	564,582 229,506 16,753,820 738,832 417,622 11,203 33,766	534,951 236,140 14,940,550 411,636 11,760 22,047
						1992				
Shipmente	Маг	Apr	May	Јипе	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Fresh (1,000 cwt) 6/ Potatoes (1,000 cwt) Sweetpolatoes (1,000 cwt)	17.527 14,325 247	26,955 22,793 387	28,050 14,643 176	29,058 11,768 184	25,358 10,946 248	15.813 9,418 130	18.112 13.306 346	14.931 11,363 359	16,629 11,967 771	19.492 13.641 539

^{1/} Includes fresh production of asparagus, proceed, carrots, cauliflower, celery, sweet corn, lettuce, honeydews, onions, & tomatoss. 2/ Includes processing production of snap beans, sweet corn, green peas, fornatoes, ducumbers (for picklas), asparagus, procedi, carrots, & cauliflower. 3/ Asparagus & ducumber estimates were not available for 1982 & 1983, 4/ Frash & Processing agaircus muchyrooms only. Excludes specialty varieties. Crop year July 1 – June 30. 5/ Includes snap beans, broccoli, cabbage, carrots, cauliflower, celery, sweet corn, ducumbers, eggplant, lettuce, onlong, bell peppers, squash, tomatoes, cantaloupes, honeydews, & watermelons, pie preliminary.

information contacts: Gary Lucier or Cathy Greene (202) 219-0884.

Table 22.—Other Commodities

			Annual				1991		1992	
Pure	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	July-Sept	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mat	Apr-June	July-Sept
Sugar Production 1/ Daliveries 1/ Stocks, ending 1/ Coffee	7,309 8,157 3,195	7.087 8,188 3,132	5,841 8,340 2, 9 47	6,335 8,661 2,729	7,145 8,696 3,039	647 2,340 1,513	3, 667 2,234 3,039	2,138 2,007 3,625	733 2,218 2,761	741 2,433 1,358
Composite green price N.Y. (cts./lb.) Imports, green bean	109,14	119.59	95.17	76.93	70.09	68,18	64.84	59.19	51.72	48.36
equiv. (mit. lbs.) 2/	2,838	2.072	2.530	2.714	2,572	562	699	840	720	704
		Annual		1991				1992		
Tobacco Prices at auctions 3/	1969	1990	1991	Sept	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
Flue-cured (\$/ib.) Burley (\$/ib.) Domestic consumption 4/	167.4 167.2	1 67 .3 175.3	172,3 178.8	1.77	=	=	=	155.0	150.0	182.5
Cigarettes (bil.) Large cigare (mil.)	540.0 2.467.6	523.1 2,343.5	516.3 2.231.9	43.4 183.4	43.6 161.7	39. 0 185.1	51.7 217.2	38.3 187.7	43.7 185.7	43.0 194.3

^{1/ 1,000} short lons, raw value. Quarterly data shown at end of each quarter. 2/ Net imports of green & processed coffee. 3/ Crop year July-June for flue-cured, Oct.-Sept. for buriey. 4/ Taxable removate. — = not available.

Information contacts: sugar, Peter Buzzanell (202) 219-0888, coffee, Fred Gray (202) 219-0888, tobacco, Verner Grise (202) 219-0890.

World Agriculture

Table 23.—World Supply & Utilization of Major Crops, Livestock & Products

	1986/87	1987/88	1988/89	1989/90	1990/91	1991/ 92 P	1992/93 F
				Million units			
Wheat Area (hectares) Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) 1/ Consumption (metric tons) 2/ Ending stocks (metric tons) 3/	228.1 524.1 90.7 515.9 177.6	219.7 495.7 107.1 524.9 148.4.	217.4 495.0 97.9 525.4 118.0	225.8 532.9 97.0 529.9 120.9	231.4 588.1 94.4 565.2 143.9	221.2 542.9 108.2 557.3 129.5	220.9 558.3 101.4 550.1 137.8
Coarse grains Area (hectares) Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) 1/ Consumption (metric tons) 2/ Ending stocks (metric tons) 3/	335.3 822.2 83 8 795.8 235.7	323.1 783.9 83.7 808.5 213.1	323 2 721.1 96.4 787.0 147.2	320.8 792.5 101.8 815.6 124.1	313.6 819.5 86.7 807.2 136.4	317.9 798.4 93.4 803.0 131.9	319.7 847.9 91.3 822.7 157.2
Rice, milled Area (hectares) Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) 4/ Consumption (metric tons) 2/ Ending stocks (metric tons) 3/	145.1 316.7 12.9 320.7 51.4	141.7 314.5 11.9 320.0 45.9	145.4 330,0 15.0 327.6 48.3	146.7 342.6 12.2 335.8 55 1	147.2 350.8 12.8 345.8 60.1	146.3 348.3 15.1 353.2 55.3	147.2 351.9 14.8 355.8 51.5
Total grains Area (hectares) Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) 1/ Consumption (metric tons) 2/ Ending stocks (metric tons) 3/	708.5 1,663.0 187.2 1,632.4 464.7	684.5 1.594 1 202.7 1.651.4 407.4	686.0 1,546.1 209.3 1,640.0 313.5	893.3 1,668.0 211.0 1,681.3 300.1	692,2 1,758.2 193.9 1,718.0 340.4	685.4 1,689.6 216.7 1,713.5 316.7	687.8 1,758 1 207.3 1,728.4 346 5
Oilseeds Crush (metric tons) Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) Ending stocks (metric tons)	161.8 194.9 37.7 23.3	168.4 210.5 39.5 24.0	164.5 201.7 31.5 22.1	172.0 212.5 35.5 23.3	177.4 216.0 33.0 22.8	185.9 223.8 36.8 21.2	185.0 224.7 37.8 22.4
Meals Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons)	110.7 36.7	115.4 35.8	111.3 37.4	11 7 1 38 .5	120.0 39.5	125.5 42.2	125.5 40.1
Oils Production (metric tons) Exports (metric tons)	50.4 16.9	53.3 17.5	53.3 18.1	57.1 19. 8	58.2 20.2	60. 6 20.3	60.7 20.4
Cotton Area (hectares) Production (bales) Exports (bales) Consumption (bales) Ending stocks (bales)	29.2 70.6 25.9 82.8 35.7	30.8 81.1 23.1 84.1 32.8	33.7 84.4 25.8 85.3 (31.9	31.5 79.8 23.9 86.7 26.3	33.0 87.0 22.9 85.5 28.7	34 8 96.0 22.4 85 0 40.6	32.6 84.1 22.4 85.1 39.4
	1987	1986	1989	1990	1991	1992 P	1993 F
			•	Million			
Red meat Production (metric tons) Consumption (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) 1/	112.9 111.0 6.7	11 6.6 114.6 7.4	118,1 116.7 7. 6	120.3 118.1 7.6	121,3 119,3 8,0	121.3 119.8 7.6	123.5 121.8 8 1
Poultry 5/ Production (metric tons) Consumption (metric tons) Exports (metric tons) 1/	31.3 30.8 1,5	32.7 31.9 1.8	34 0 33.1 1.8	35.8 34.8 2.0	37.6 37.0 2.1	39.2 38.7 2.3	40.9
Dairy Milk production (metric tons)	425.7	428.9	434.7	442.0	429.4	415.1	408 2

^{1/} Excludes intra-EC trade. 2/ Where stocks data not available (excluding USSR), consumption includes stock changes. 3/ Stocks data are based on differing marketing years & do not represent levels at a given date. Data not available for all countries; includes estimated change in USSR grain stocks but not absolute level. 4/ Calendar year data 1987 data correspond with 1986/87, etc. 5/ Poultry excludes the Peoples Republic of China before 1986. P = preliminary. F = forecast. --= not available.

Information contacts: Crops, Carol Whitton (202) 219-0824; red meat & poultry, Linda Balley (202) 219-1265; dairy, Sara Short (202) 219-0770.

U.S. Agricultural Trade

Table 24.—Prices of Principal U.S. Agricultural Trade Products

		Annual		1991				1992		
	1990	1991	1992	Dec	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Export commodities Wheat, f.o.b. vessel, Gulf ports (\$/bu.) Com, f.o.b. vessel, Gulf ports (\$/bu.)	3:72	3.52	4.13	4.40	3.72	3 50	3. 79	3.85	4.03	4.03
	2.79	2.75	2.66	2.73	2.61	2 .49	2.50	2.42	2.44	2.42
Grain sorghum, f.o.b. vessel. Gulf ports (\$/bu.) Soybeans, f.e.b. vessel, Gulf ports (\$/bu.) Soybean oil, Decatur (cts./lb.) Soybean meal. Decatur (\$/ton)	2.65	2.69	2.63	2 76	2.42	2.41	2.41	2.33	2,39	2.45
	6.24	6.05	6.01	5.91	6.01	5.86	5.82	5.67	5,84	5.96
	22.75	20.14	19.16	18.67	18.73	17.76	18.10	18.31	19,98	20.58
	169.37	172.90	177.79	171 38	174.34	174.31	174.33	180.63	181,18	188.30
Cotton, 7-market avg. spot (cts./lb.)	71.25	69.69	53.90	53.89	60.93	57.56	53.49	49.47	49.98	51.85
Tobacco, avg. price at auction (cts./lb.)	170.57	179.23	173.58	180.55	155.02	165.49	182.51	181.93	182.97	182.61
Rice, f.o.b. mill, Houston (\$/cwt)	15.52	16.46	16.80	17.50	16.50	16.50	16.50	16.50	16.13	15.63
Inedible tallow, Chicago (cts./lb.)	13.54	13.26	14.37	12.50	14.75	15.42	15.25	15.73	16.75	16.00
Import commodities Coffee, N.Y. spot (\$/lb.) Rubber, N.Y. spot (cts./lb.) Cocoa beans, N.Y. (\$/lb.)	0.81	0.71	0.50	0.57	0.44	0.38	0.40	0.49	0.55	0.68
	46.28	45.73	46.25	44.15	46.78	47.05	46.86	47.83	48.00	48.03
	0.55	0.52	0.47	0.59	0.47	0.50	0.47	0.46	0.46	0.44

Information contact: Mary Teymourian (202) 219-0824-

Table 25.—Indexes of Real Trade-Weighted Dollar Exchange Rates 1/

			~									
						1992						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug P	Sept P	Oct P	Nov P	Dec P
						1985 = 10	00					
Total U.S. trade 2/	62.4	63.7	68.6	65 0	63.9	59.9	59 7	59.1	59.2	61.9	65.6	65 9
Agricultural trade U.S markets U.S. competitors Wheat	75.7	76.4	80.9	7 8.2	7 6.5	75.2	74.7	74.4	74.1	75.2	75.6	75.5
	76.4	76.8	81.1	76.6	76.4	75.0	74.7	74.3	76.2	74.6	76.3	80.6
U.S. markets U.S. competitors Soybeans	95.4	95.8	100.9	100.4s	96.8	96.1	95.3	94.5	93.5	94.2	91.7	90.7
	7 0.0	71.2	86.7	70.9	71.1	69.4	69.2	69.2	74.3	71.0	7 3.2	79.6
U.S. markets U.S. competitors Corn	63.1	63. 7	66.2	65.5	63.6	61.8	61.4	60.9	60 .7	62 2	64.5	64.7
	57.1	57.0	57.7	57.4	56.5	54.9	54.9	54.2	53.5	52.9	52.8	51.9
U.S. markets U.S. competitors Cotton	68.3	69.0	71.1	70.6	67.8	67. 7	67.3	67.4	66.8	67.5	68. 7	68.7
	60.2	60.8	61.4	6 0.6	60 .0	56.9	56 .4	55.8	55.6	55.8	57 2	56.9
U.S. markets	71.6	72.3 100. 7	75.8	74.0	72.7	71.4	71 2	71.2	70.6	71.7	70.3	69.7
U.S. competitors	100.6		100.5	99.9	100.3	110.7	109.9	109.3	111.6	108.9	109.6	112.8

^{1/} Real indexes adjust nominal exchange rates for differences in rates of inflation, to avoid the distortion caused by high-inflation countries. A higher value means the dollar has appreciated. See the October 1988 issue of Agricultural Outlook for a discussion of the calculations and the weights used. 2/ Federal Reserve Board Index of trade-weighted value of the U.S. dollar against 10 major currencies. Weights are based on relative importance in world financial markets. P = preliminary.

Information contact: Tim Baxter, (202) 219-0718.

Table 26.—Trade Balance

					Fiscal year 1	1			Nov
	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993 F	1992
Exports					\$ miltion				
Agricultural Nonagricultural Total 2/ Imports	28,312 179,291 205,603	27,876 202.911 230,787	35,316 258,656 29 3,9 72	39.590 301.269 340,859	40.220 326.059 366,279	37, 60 9 356, 682 394,291	42,417 377,223 419,640	41.500 —	3,885 31,752 35,637
Agricultural Nonagricultural Total 3/ Trade balance	20.884 342,848 363,730	20,650 367,374 388,024	21,014 409,138 430,152	21,4 76 441,075 462,551	22,560 458,101 480,661	22,588 463,720 486,308	24,32 3 487,554 511,877	24,000	1,941 43,647 45,588
Agricultural Nonagricultural Total	5,428 -163,555 -158,127	7.226 -164,463 -157.237	14.302 -150,482 -136,180	18,114 ~139,806 -121,692	17 860 -132,042 -114.382	15.021 -107,038 -92.017	18,094 -110,331 -92,237	17,500	1,944 -11,895 -9,951

^{1/} Fiscal years begin October 1 & end September 30. Fiscal year 1992 began Oct. 1, 1991 & ended Sept. 30, 1992. 2/ Domestic exports including Department of Defense shipments (F.A.S. value). 3/ Imports for consumption (customs value). F = forecast. — = not available.

Information contact: Stephen MacDonald (202) 219-0822.

Table 27.—U.S. Agricultural Exports & Imports

EXPORTS			Fiscal yea	r*	Nov		Fiscal year"		Nov
Aprimes I, Nijo (no.) 17 Meats A preps. axel. positry (mt) 936 1.108 2/1,000 99 2,773 3.236 — 285 281 282 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 688 680 72 282 682 688 680 72 282 682 688 680 72 282 682 688 680 72 282 682 688 680 72 282 682 688 680 72 282 682 688 680 72 282 682 680 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72		1991	1992	1993 F	1992	1991	1992	1993 F	1992
Activation 1	EXPORTS	1	,000 units				\$ million		
Cattle hides whole (no.) 1/1	Animals, live (no.) 1/ Meats & preps., excl. poultry (mt) Dairy products (mt) 1/ Poultry meate (mt)	936 43 628	1.108 172 795	800	99 19 86	2.773 293 7 37	3,236 538 915	600	295 72 92
Wheat find 28,792 33,267 33,300 2,946 2,867 4,318 4/4,500 334 4/1,500 346 4/1,500 346 4/1,500 346 34	Cattle hides, whole (no.) 1/	21,548		=	1,563	1,191	1,107	_	85
Fritt [Juleas Inc]. froz. (1,000 hectolliters) 1/ Vegetables & preps. (mt) 2,569 2,703 230 2,597 2,790 259 Tobacco. unmanufactured (mt) 1,565 1,464 1,300 88 2,605 2,183 1,800 124 Sands (mt) 514 701 38 617 659 700 62 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 26 219 154 8 Sugar, cane or best (mt) 589 492 288 642 3,1911 5,843 7,156 7,100 73 Olisseds (mt) 51,139 19,247 19,300 2,291 3,465 4,311 4,100 489 Profesia meal (mt) 56,25 7,022 689 1,113 1,313 1,32 137 Vegetable (sim) 592 91 7 2,441 2,733 275 Total 128,104 142,098 144,000 13,925 37,609 42,417 41,500 3,885 IMPORTS Animals, live (no.) 1/ Meats & preps., excl. poultry (mt) 581 22 29 3 28 62 2 318 1,131 1,275 1,300 1,42 Meats & preps., excl. poultry (mt) 581 3 1,334 14 Meats & preps., excl. poultry (mt) 582 20 3 280 20 885 825 800 53 Supar products (mt) 1/ 581 23 22 20 3 280 20 885 825 800 53 Supar products (mt) 1/ 581 3 1,480 3 1,281 2 1,291	Wheat (mt) Wheat flour (mt) Rice (mt) Feed grains, incl. products (mt) Feeds & fodders (mt)	26,792 987 2,395 52,353 10,943	34,287 816 2,279 50,646 11,267	33,500 900 2,100 51,500	2,946 89 192 5,515 825	2,867 191 747 5,790 1,882	4,318 165 757 5,793 2,019	700 5.200	394 17 60 563 162
froz. (1,000 hectoliters) 1/		2.849	3.505		287	3.038	3.514		288
1,565 1,494 1,300 88 2,605 2,183 1,800 124 2,803 2,605 2,183 1,800 124 2,005 2,0	froz. (1,000 hectoliters) 1/								
Oliseeds (m)	Cotton, excl. linters (mt) Seeds (mt)	1.565 514	1, 4 94 70 1		88 38	2.605 617	2,183 659	1.800	124 62
MPORTS	Oilseeds (mt) Soybeans (mt) Protein meal (mt) Vegetable oils (mt) Essential oils (mt)	15.615 15,139 5.628 1,051 13	19,970 19,247 7,022 1,650	19,300	2,385 2,291 689 117	3,807 3,465 1,113 723 183	4,743 4,311 1,431 982 184	4,100	526 489 137 71 14
Animals, live (no.) 1/ Masts & preps., excl. poultry (mt) Beef & veal (mt) Pork (mt) Dairy products (mt) 1/ Fats, oils, & greases (mt) Holde & Research Wool, unmanufactured (mt) Grains & feeds (mt) Fruits, nits, & preps., excl. products (mt) Grains & feeds (mt) Fruits, nits, & preps., excl. products (mt) Grains & feeds (mt) Fruits, nits, & preps., excl. products (mt) Sagar &	Total	128,104	142.098	144,000	13,925	37,609	42,417	41,500	3,885
Meets & preps. excl. poultry (mt)	IMPORTS								
Poultry & products // Fats, oils, & greases (mt) Fats, oils, & greases (mt) Fats, oils, & greases (mt) Fults, oils, & grease (mt)	Meats & preps., excl. poultry (mt) Beef & veal (mt)	1.191 811	1.1 34 813	900	75 51	3,016 2.025	2.684 1,933	2,100	183 120
Fruits, nuts, & preps., excl. julces (mt)	Poultry & products 1/ Fats, oils, & greases (mt) Hides & skins, incl. furskins 1/	33	46	_	4	119 19 153	132 26 185		13 2 14
Seeds (mt)		4,189	5.446	5,000	384	1,282	1.548	1,500	140
Tobacco, unmanufactured (mt) Tobacco, unmanufactured (mt) 18 11 — 1 18 10 — 1 Seeds (mt) Nursery stock & cut flowers 1/ Sugar, cane or beet (mt) 1.785 1,623 — 41 717 633 — 57 Sugar, cane or beet (mt) Cilseeds & products (mt) Cilseeds & products (mt) Cilseeds (mt) C	excl. juices (mt) Bananas & plantains (mt)	3.399	3,626	3,800	325	993	1.083	,	90
Oilseeds (mt) 445 429 28 151 135 10 Protein meal (mt) 412 629 48 57 84 7 Vegetable oils (mt) 1.220 1,273 150 750 904 104 Beverages excl. fruit juices (1,000 hectoliters) 1/ 12,987 13,739 1,152 1.858 2.044 205 Coffee, tea, cocca, spices 2,045 2,391 2,320 179 3,294 3,415 236 Coffee, incl. products (mt) 1,118 1,330 1,300 93 1,831 1,798 1,800 108 Cocca beans & products (mt) 700 773 750 64 1,019 1,122 1,200 89 Rubber & allied gums (mt) 792 920 950 69 664 756 800 59 Other	Tobacco, unmanufactured (mt) Cotton, unmanufactured (mt) Seeds (mt) Nursery stock & cut flowers 1/	215 18 169	364 11 174	180	26	698 16 173 538	1,299 10 214 578	200	53 1 14 57
juices (1,000 hectoliters) 1/ 12,987 13,739 1,152 1,858 2,044 205 Coffee, tea. cocoa, spices 2,045 2,391 2,320 179 3,294 3,415 236 Coffee, incl. products (mt) 1,118 1,330 1,300 93 1,831 1,798 1,800 108 Cocoa beans & products (mt) 700 773 750 64 1,019 1,122 1,200 89 Rubber & allied gums (mt) 792 920 950 69 664 756 800 59 Other 1,348 1,503 123	Oilseads (mt) Protein meal (mt)	445 412	429 629		28 48	151 57	135 84	_	10 7
Other	juices (1,000 hectoliters) 1/ Coffee, tea, cocca, spices Coffee, incl. products (mt)	2,045 1,118	2,391 1,330	2,320 1,300	179 93	3,294 1.831	3,415 1,798	1,800	236 108 89
Total 22.588 24,323 24.000 1.941								800	
	Total			_	_	22.588	24,323	24.000	1,941

^{*}Fiscal years begin Oct. 1 & end Sept. 30. Fiscal year 1992 began Oct. 1, 1991 & ended Sept. 30, 1992. 1/ Not included in total volume and also other dairy products for 1991 & 1992. 2/ Forecasts for footnoted items 2/-6/ are based on slightly different groups of commodities. Fiscal 1991 exports of categories used in the 1991 forecasts were 2/ 676,000 m. tons. 3/ 16,014 million. 4/ 4,426 million i.e. includes flour. 5/ 11.065 million m. tons. 6/ Less than \$500. F = forecast. — = not available.

Information contact: Stephen MacDonald (202) 219-0822,

Table 28.—U.S. Agricultural Exports by Region

		Fiscal year*		Nov	Change fro	om year" earl	ier	Νον
Region & country	1991	1992	1993 F	1992	1991	1992	1993 F	1992
		\$ million				Percent		
WESTERN EUROPE European Community (EC-12) Belgium-Luxembourg France Germany Italy	7.312 6.776 464 57 1 1,135 675	7,740 7,194 461 618 1,091 684	7,900 7,400 — —	762 727 62 61 124 51	-1 -1 9 22 2	6 6 -1 8 -4 1	3 3	-14 -13 14 -13 8 -31
Netherlands United Kingdom Portugal Spain, Incl. Canary Islands	1,561 883 251 855	1.813 882 240 951		187 83 5 103	-5 16 -26 -12	16 0 -4 11	2	-23 -8 -80 -2
Other Western Europe Switzerland	536 194	546 187	500	35 9	9 13	2 -4	.0.	-32 -53
EASTERN EUROPE Poland Yugoslavia Romania	306 46 74 82	222 49 88 76	300	63 33 6 15	-36 -54 -43 -61	-28 6 -41 -8	50 	432 1,650 57 311
Former USSR	1,758	2,691	2,100	207	-42	53	-22	-48
ASIA West Asia (Mideast) Turkey Iraq Israel, incl. Gaza & W. Bank Saudi Arabia	16.094 1.430 224 0 287 536	17.782 1,770 344 0 346 549	17,100 1,800 0 500	1,544 134 15 0 27 36	-11 -28 -14 -100 1	10 24 54 0 20 2	-4 0 0	-5 -31 -14 0 -8 -57
South Asia Bangladesh India Pakistan China Japan	375 67 94 144 668 7.736	536 123 117 226 691 8.383	100 400 8,100	65 8 14 35 5 674	-48 -44 -19 -63 -27 -5	43 83 24 57 3 8	-50 -43 -4	142 1.397 33 229 -93 -7
Southeast Asia Indonesia Philippines	1,239 279 3 7 3	1,470 353 443	400	145 17 61	5 1 6	19 27 19		14 -36 69
Other East Asia Taiwan Korea, Rep. Hong Kong	4.646 1,739 2,159 745	4.934 1,916 2.200 817	5,000 1,900 2,300 800	521 201 220 100	-11 -4 -20 9	6 10 2 10	2 0 5 0	9 -14 27 38
AFRICA North Africa Morocco Algeria Egypt Sub-Sahara Nigeria Rep. S. Africa	1,882 1,386 129 477 692 496 44 74	2,304 1,412 156 478 709 892 31 328	2.300 1.500 500 600 800	258 132 27 34 60 126 16 73	-6 -9 -21 -3 -9 2 38 -9	22 21 0 2 80 -30 345	7 0 -14 -11	57 30 158 -31 54 102 783 699
LATIN AMERICA & CARIBBEAN Brazil Caribbean Islands Central America Colombia Mexico Peru Venezuela	5,499 271 1,010 498 124 2,885 150 307	6.438 143 970 587 142 3,676 179 394	6,700 100 — — 4,100 300	596 63 87 55 36 249 18 48	7 158 0 8 -16 8 -20 -11	17 -47 -4 18 14 27 19 28	5 0 11 -26	17 16 -2 -7 862 25 -6 33
CANADA	4,409	4.812	4.700	41,5	19	ð	-2	6
OCEANIA	349	428	400	41	10	23	0	-22
TOTAL	37,609	42.417	41,500	3.885	-6	13	-2	-4
Developed countries	20,106	21,969	21.900	1.986	2	ŝ	0	-5
Developing countries	16,831	19,756		1.894	-14	17		111
Other countries	672	693	_	5	-26	3	<u> 5n</u>	-93

^{*}Fiscal years begin Oct. 1 & end Sept. 30, Fiscal year 1992 began Oct. 1, 1991 & ended Sept. 30, 1992. F = forecast, -- = not available. Note: Adjusted for transshipments through Canada.

Information contact: Stephen MacDonald (202) 219-0822.

Farm Income

Table 29.—Farm Income Statistics.

						Calendar y	169					
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992 F	1993	F
						\$ billion	1					
Farm receipts Crops (incl. net CCC loans) Livestock Farm related 1/	141.9 67.2 69.6 5.1	147.7 69 9 72.9 4.9	150.1 74.3 69.8 6.0	140.0 63.7 71.6 5.7	148.5 65.9 76.0 6.6	158.2 71.7 79.4 7.1	169.2 76.9 84.1 8.2	177.1 80.0 89.0 7.2	174.8 80.5 86.7 7.6	177 84 86 7	172 to 1 81 to 2 83 to 8	67
Direct Government payments Cash payments Value of PIK commodities	9.3 4.1 5.2	8.4 4.0 4.5	7.7 7.6 0.1	11.8 8.1 3.7	16.7 6.6 10.1	14.5 7.1 7.4	10.9 9.1 1.7	9.3 8.4 0.9	8.2 8.2 0.0	8 8 0	9 to 1 9 to 1 0 to 1	13
3. Gross cash income (1+2) 2/ 4. Nonmoney income 3/ 5. Value of inventory change 6. Total gross farm income (3+4+5)	151.1 13.6 -10.9 153.9	158.1 5.9 6.0 168.0	157 9 5.6 -2.3 161.2	152.8 5 .5 -2.2 156.1	165.1 6.6 -2.3 168.6	171.7 6.1 -3.4 175.4	180 2 6.2 4.8 191.1	186.4 6.1 3.5 196.0	183.2 5.9 0.4 189.5	185 6 4 195	183 to 1 5 to 2 -5 to - 186 to 1	7 -1
7. Cash expenses 4/ 8. Total expenses	112.8 139.6	11 8.7 141.9	110.7 132.4	105.0 125.1	109.4 128 8	114.6 134.3	121.2 141.2	125.2 145.1	125.2 144.9	124 144	123 to 1 143 to 1	
8. Net cash Income (3-7) 10. Net farm income (6-8) Deflated (1987\$)	38 4 14.2 18.3	37.4 25.1 28.7	47.1 28.8 30.5	47.8 31.0 32.0	55.8 39.7 39.7	58.1 41.1 39.5	58.9 49.9 48.0	81.3 51.0 45.1	58.0 44.8 37.9	60 51 42	58 to 6 42 to 4 33 to 3	48

If income from machine hire, custom work, sales of forest products. & other miscellaneous cash sources. 2/ Numbers in parenthases indicate the combination of items required to calculate a given item. 3/ Value of home consumption of self-produced food & imputed gross rental value of farm dwellings. 4/ Excludes capital consumption, perquisites to hired labor. & farm household expenses. Total may not add because of rounding. F = forecast.

information contact: Robert McElroy (202) 219-0800.

Table 30.—Balance Sheet of the U.S. Farming Sector —

					Calenda	ar year 1/						
	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992F		1 8 93 F
						\$ billion						
Assets Real estate Non-real estate Livestock & poultry Machinery & motor	753.4 189.8 49.5	661.8 195.2 49.5	586.2 186.5 46.3	542.3 182.1 47.8	578.9 193.7 58.0	595.5 205.4 62 2	615.5 213.4 66.2	627.5 219.0 70.9	623.4 218.5 68.4	623 223 72	620 218 71	
vehicles Crops stored 2/ Purchased inputs Financial assets Total farm assets	85.8 23.6 30.9 943.2	85.0 26.1 2.0 32.6 857.0	82.9 22.9 1.2 33.3 772.7	81.6 16.3 2.1 34.5 724.4	80.0 17.5 3.2 35.1 772.6	81,0 23 3 3.5 35.4 800.9	84 5 23.4 2.6 36.8 828.9	84.3 22.8 2.8 38.3 846.5	83.7 23.6 2.5 40.3 842.4	83 23 3 42 848	81 21 2 41 845	10 45
Liabilities Real estate debt 3/ Non-real estate debt 4/ Total farm debt Total farm equity	103.2 87.9 191.1 752.2	106.7 87.1 193.8 663.3	100.1 77.5 177.6 595.1	90.4 66.6 157.0 567.5	82.4 62.0 144.4 628.2	77.6 61.7 139.4 661.6	75.4 61.8 137.2 691.8	73.7 63.1 136.8 709.8	74.4 64.3 138.8 703.1	75 65 140 707	73 64 138 705	to 68 to 144
						Percent						
Selected ratios Debt-to-assets Debt-to-equity Debt-to-net cash Income	20.3 25.5 498	22.6 29.2 518	23.0 29.8 377	21.7 27.7 328	18.7 23.0 259	17.4 21.1 240	1 6 .6 19.8 233	16.2 19.3 223	16.5 19.7 2,395	17 20 2,300	16 19 2.200	to 21

^{1/} As of Dec. 31, 2/ Non-CCC crops held on farms plus value above loan rates for crops held under CCC, 3/ Excludes debt on operator dwellings, but includes CCC storage and drying facilities loans. 4/ Excludes debt for nonfarm purposes. F = lorecast.

Information contacts: Ken Erickson or Jim Ryan (202) 219-0798.

Table 31.—Cash Receipts From Farm Marketings, by State_

2		Livestock	& products				Crops 1/				Total 1/	
Region & State	1990	1991	Oct 1992	Nov 1992	1990	1991	Oct 1992	Nov 1992	1990	1991	Oct 1992	Nov 1992
NORTH ATLANTIC Maine New Hampshire Vermont Massachusetts	258 63 397 125	252 63 368 121	21 5 34 10	21 6 31 9	234 80 60 321	192 80 66 355	15 8 4 40	18 5 5 5	493 143 456 446	445 143 433 476	36 11 38 49	39 10 36 65
Rhode Island Connecticut New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	14 223 1,971 196 2,714	13 209 1,782 197 2,470	1 17 165 17 192	1 19 151 17 185	58 250 986 455 1,043	58 255 1,087 464 1,033	4 17 102 41 88	4 16 84 42 90	71 474 2,958 650 3,757	71 463 2,868 660 3,503	5 35 2 67 57 278	5 35 236 59 275
NORTH CENTRAL Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan	1,847 2,040 2,452 1,407	1,681 1,893 2.344 1,288	148 152 201 109	145 1 6 7 209 103	2.299 2.871 5,338 1,720	2,212 2,582 5,185 1,793	380 512 726 191	270 374 596 237	4,146 4,911 7,789 3,126	3,8 93 4,475 7,509 3,091	528 664 927 300	416 541 804 340
Wisconsin Minnesote Iowa Missouri	4,573 3,749 5,862 2,329	4,215 3,577 5,721 2,203	372 310 441 193	374 311 433 203	1,161 3,135 4,420 1,660	1, 23 4 3,359 4,458 1,658	128 365 633 312	173 38 6 498 229	6,734 6,885 10,282 3,989	5,449 6,936 10,179 3,861	501 675 1,073 505	547 697 931 432
North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas	801 2,294 6,076 4,996	69 9 2,176 5,934 4,802	100 256 531 382	96 208 437 319	1,730 965 2,632 2,024	1.857 1,088 2,888 2,133	288 225 319 322	283 99 302 189	2,532 3,259 8,708 7,020	2,556 3,264 8,821 6,935	388 490 851 705	380 308 738 508
SOUTHERN Delaware Maryland Virginia West Virginia	460 823 1,383 269	4 38 779 1,3 6 3 2 53	45 74 166 29	32 67 137 24	176 542 739 70	181 554 732 77	38 77 136 6	24 70 62 6	636 1,3 64 2,122 339	620 1,332 2,095 330	84 151 302 34	57 13 7 199 31
North Carolina South Carolina Georgia Florida Kentucky Tennessee	2,658 581 2,270 1,261 1,699	2,608 549 2,153 1,172 1,704 1,045	255 52 215 103 123 104	274 52 170 92 244 79	2,268 588 1,596 4,483 1,404 950	2,316 677 1,825 4,969 1,475 933	390 74 374 183 70 144	208 58 202 235 266 173	4.926 1,169 3.866 5,744 3,103 2,061	4,924 1,225 3,978 6,141 3,179 1,978	645 126 589 286 193 247	482 110 372 327 509 251
Alabama Mississippi Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	2.193 1,322 2,701 633 2,342 7,751	2,219 1,275 2,680 621 2,767 7,914	170 134 213 53 217 628	144 105 221 48 165 624	632 1,111 1,555 1,296 1,200 4,081	759 1,147 1,631 1,172 1,040 4,212	150 269 440 267 95 477	93 270 387 274 83 442	2,826 2,433 4,256 1,929 3,542 11,831	2,978 2,422 4,311 1,793 3,808 12,126	320 403 652 320 311 1,104	237 376 608 322 248 1,067
WESTERN Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado	888 1,137 595 3,073	790 1,073 843 2,664	116 98 131 221	158 88 84 247	766 1,748 159 1,144	741 1,543 170 1,097	97 289 12 110	107 251 45 145	1,654 2,885 754 4,216	1,531 2,816 813 3,761	213 387 144 332	266 339 130 392
New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	1,001 813 587 209	1,019 786 553 187	108 91 56 20	86 80 52 12	482 1,097 175 115	482 1,104 178 89	49 115 19 8	57 181 14 9	1,483 1,910 762 324	1,501 1,890 731 276	157 206 74 28	142 261 66 21
Washington Oregon California Alabka Hawaii	1,396 753 5,533 8 86	1.290 824 5,272 6 91	120 76 437 1 8	108 75 405 1	2,402 1, 62 0 13,624 19 514	2,657 1,631 12.615 20 506	351 240 1.721 2 43	261 189 1,670 2 41	3,798 2,374 19,158 27 600	3,947 2,454 17,887 27 597	471 316 2,158 3 50	368 263 2.075 3 49
UNITED STATES	89,923	88,746	7,730	7,327	79,998	80.550	10,960	9,781	169,921	167,292	18,590	17,108

^{1/} Sales of farm products include receipts from commodities placed under nonrecourse CCC loans, plus additional gains realized on redemptions during the period. 2/ Estimates as of end of current month. Totals may not add because of rounding.

Information contact: Roger Strickland (202) 219-0806.

Table 32.—Cash Receipts From Farming

				Annual			1991			1992		
	1986	1987	1968	1989	1990	1991	Nov	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
							\$ million					
Farm marketings & CCC loans*	135,361	141.844	151,102	161.027	169,920	187.292	17.571	12.783	13,298	14.974	18.890	17,108
Livestock & products Meat enimals Dairy products Poultry & eggs Other	71,553 39,681 17,724 12,701 2,048	75,993 44,478 17,727 \$1,515 2,274	79,438 46,492 17,841 12,868 2,437	84.148 46.857 19.396 15.372 2.524	89,921 51,911 20,210 15,243 2,557	86.745 51.093 18.114 15.063 2,476	7,447 4,143 1,649 1,346 309	6,723 3,356 1,762 1,229 376	7.147 3.878 1,724 1,358 187	7,223 4,141 1,845 1,217 220	7,730 4,530 1,666 1,360 174	7.327 4.037 1.591 1.389 311
Crops Food grains Feed Grops Cotton (Int & seed) Tobacdo	63.807 5.723 16,893 3,371 1.894	65.851 5,790 14,635 4,189 1,816	71.663 7.474 14,298 4,546 2,083	76.879 8,247 17,054 5,033 2,416	79,999 7,512 18,690 5,489 2,741	80.547 6.823 19.012 5.589 2,886	10,123 591 2,537 1,418 177	6.080 1,134 1,441 43 223	6,150 697 1,444 174 461	7.761 836 1.342 218 653	10,960 843 1,969 1,063 217	9,781 578 2,275 1,464 244
Oil-bearing crops Vegetables & melons Fruits & tree nuts Other	10,614 0. 859 7.252 9.101	11,2 63 9,898 8,065 10,176	13,500 9,788 9,202 10,772	11,666 11,534 9,296 11,435	12.294 11,455 9,634 12,284	12.547 11,293 9,882 12.514	1,658 563 1,388 1,791	657 868 966 72a	696 1,215 761 704	1,198 1,393 1,063 1,049	3,337 1,299 1,156 1,076	1,586 631 1,300 1,699
Government payments Total	11.813	16.747 158.591	14,480 185, 582	10.887 171,914	9.298 179,218	8.214 175,500	325 17.696	82 12.865	63 13,381	516 16.490	1,812 20.502	302 17,410

^{&#}x27;Sales of farm producte include receipts from commodities placed under nonrecourse GCC loans. Pive additional gains realized on redemptions during the period.

Information contact: Roger Strickland (202) 219-0806.

Table 33.—Farm Production Expenses _____

					Cal	endar year					
	1984	1985	198B	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992F		1993F
						\$ million					
Feed purchased Livestock & poultry purchased Seed purchased Farm-origin inputs	19.383 9,487 3.386 32.256	16.949 9,184 3.128 29,261	17,472 9,758 3,188 30,418	17.463 11.842 3.259 32,564	20.393 12,764 3,359 36,515	21.002 13,138 3, 558 37,698	20.706 14,832 3.576 39,114	19.800 14,358 3,975 38.133	20,000 14,000 4,000 38,000	18,000 12,000 3,000 35,000	to 5,000
Fertilizer & lime Fuels & olls Electricity Pesticides Manufactured inputs	8.361 7,296 2,060 4,688 22,404	7,513 6,436 1,878 4,334 20,160	8.820 5,310 1.795 4,324 18.249	6,453 4,957 2,156 4,512 18,077	6,947 4, 90 3 2,289 4,577 18,716	7,249 4,798 2,543 5,437 20,027	7.135 5,730 2,480 5,730 21.063	7,419 5,472 2,483 6,313 21,687	7,000 5,000 2,000 6,000 21,000	5,000 4,000 2,000 5,000 20,000	to 7.000 to 4,000 to 7.000
Short-term Interest Real estate interest 1/ Total interest charges	10,396 10,733 21,129	8,735 9,878 18,613	7.367 9,131 1 6 ,498	6.767 8.187 14,954	6.797 7.885 14,682	6.910 7.781 14.691	6,911 7.607 14.518	6,615 7,319 13,934	0,000 7,000 14,000	5,000 6,000 12,000	10 8,000
Repair & maintenance 1/ Contract & hired labor Machine hire & custom work Marketing, storage, &	6.416 9.427 2,566	6.370 10,008 2,354	6.426 9. 484 2.099	6.760 9,975 2,105	6.858 10,441 2,354	7,340 11,110 2,682	7.347 12.541 2,633	7,234 12,595 2,722	7.000 12,000 3,000	7,000 10,000 2,000	
transportation Misc. Operating expenses 1/2/ Other operating expenses	4.012 10.331 32.751	4.127 10.010 32,868	3.652 9,759 31,420	4.078 11,171 34,089	3.450 11.791 34.694	4.080 12.522 37.734	4,046 12,364 38,931	4,532 13,256 40,339	5,000 13,000 40,000	4,000 11,000 39,000	to 15.000
Capital consumption 1/ Taxes 1/ Natirent to nonoperator	20.847 4.337	19.29 9 4.542	17,788 4,612	17,092 4,8 5 3	17.344 4,848	17.780 5,127	17.494 5,623	17,352 5.980	18,000 6.000		to 20,000 to 7,000
Imndiord Other overhead expenses	*8,150 33,334	7.890 31,531	6.099 28,499	7,124 29,069	7.290 29,482	8.187 31.094	8,334 31,451	7,464 30,796	8.000 31,000		to 9,000 to 33,000
Total Production expenses	141.873	132,433	125.084	128 772	134.285	141,244	145,077	144.889	144.000	143,000	In 149,000

If includes operator dwellings, 2/ Beginning in 1982, miscellaneous operating expenses include other livestock purchases, dairy assessments & feeding fees paid by nonoperators. Totals may not add because of rounding. F ≡ forecast.

Information contacts: Chris McGath (202) 219-0804, Robert McElroy (202) 219-0800.

Table 34.—CCC Net Outlays by Commodity & Function

				Fi	iscal year					
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993 E	1994 E
						\$ million				
COMMODITY/PROGRAM Feed grains										
Corn Grain sorghum Barley Oats Corn & oat products	4,403 463 336 2	10,524 1,185 471 26 5	12,346 1,203 394 17	8,227 764 57 -2 7	2,863 467 45 1	2,450 361 -93 -5 8	2,387 243 71 12	2,105 190 174 32 9	5,250 423 185 17 8	3,180 274 103 6
Total feed grains	5.211	12,211	13.967	9,053	3,384	2,721	2,722	2.510	5.883	3.573
Wheat Rice Upland cotton	4. 691 990 1,553	3,440 947 2,142	2.836 906 1.786	678 128 666	53 631 1 .46 1	806 667 - 7 9	2.958 867 382	1. 719 715 1,443	2, 274 889 2,436	1,847 741 2,317
Tobacco Dairy Soybeans Peanuts	455 2,085 711 12	253 2,337 1,597 32	-346 1,100 -476 8	-453 1,295 -1,676 7	-367 679 -86 13	-307 505 5 1	-143 839 40 48	29 232 -29 41	-2 145 41 33	-13 230 -40 1
Sugar Honey Wool	184 81 109	214 89 123	-65 73 152	-248 100 1/ 5	-25 42 93	15 47 104	-20 19 172	-19 17 191	-28 17 183	-30 12 191
Operating expense 3/ Interest expenditure Export programs 4/ 1989/92 Disaster/Tree/	346 1,435 134	457 1.411 102	535 1,219 276	614 425 200	620 98 102	618 632 -34	525 745 733	6 532 1,455	7 194 2,698	6 154 1,853
livestock assistance Other	-314	0 486	0 371	0 1,665	3.919 110	2/ 161 609	121	1,054 -158	1,22 0 1,094	0 1,330
Total	17,683	25,841	22.408	12.461	10,523	6,471	10,110	9,738	17,090	12,255
FUNCTION Price-support loans (net) Direct payments 5/	6.272	13,628	12,199	4,579	-926	-399	418	584	2,183	785
Deficiency Diversion Dairy termination Loan Deficiency Other Disaster Total direct payments	6,302 1,525 0 0 0 0 7,827	8,166 64 489 27 0 0 6,746	4,833 382 587 60 0 0 5,862	3.971 8 260 0 6 4.245	5,798 -1 168 42 0 4 6,011	4,178 0 189 3 0 0 4,370	6,224 0 98 21 0 0 6,341	5.491 0 2 214 140 0 5.847	8,813 0 0 390 200 0 9,403	7,009 0 0 438 175 0 7,622
	0.027	0,740	0	0	3,386		8	-,		0
1988-92 crop disaster Emergancy livestock/tree/ forage assistance Purchases (net)	0 1,331	0 1.870	0 -479	31 -1,131	533 116	2/ 5 156 -48	115 646	960 94 321	1,137 89 485	0 298
Producer storage payments	329	485	832	658	174	185	1	14	19	67
Processing, storage, & transportation	857	1,013	1.659	1,113	659	317	394	185	135	128
Operating expense 3/ Interest expenditure Export programs 4/ Other	346 1.435 134 -6 48	457 1.411 102 329	535 1,219 276 305	614 425 200 1,727	620 98 -102 -46	618 632 34 669	625 745 733 86	532 1,455 -260	7 194 2.698 740	6 164 1,853 1,342
Total	17,683	25.841	22,408	12,461	10.523	6,471	10,110	9,738	17,090	12,255

If Fiscal 1988 wool & mohair program outlays were \$130,635,000 but include a one-time advance appropriation of \$126,108.000, which was recorded as a wool program receipt by Treasury. 2/ Approximately \$1.5 billion in benefits to farmers under the Disaster Assistance Act of 1989 were paid in generic certificates & were not recorded directly as disaster assistance outlays. 3/ Does not include CCC Transfers to General Sales Manager 4/ Includes Export Guarantee Program, Direct Export Credit Program, CCC Transfers to the General Sales Manager. Market Promotion Program, starting in fiscal 1991 & starting in fiscal 1992 Export Guarantee Program - Credit Reform, Export Enhancement Program, & Dairy Export Incentive Program. 5/ Includes cash payments only. Excludes payment-in-kind in fiscal 83-85 & generic certificates in fiscal 88-94. E = Estimated in the fiscal 1994 Budget Baseline based on November, 1992 supply & demand estimates. Minus (-) indicates einet receipt (excess of repayments or other receipts over gross outlays of funds).

Information contact: Richard Pazdalski (202) 720-5148.

Food Expenditures

Table 35.—Food Expenditures Estimates

	Annual			1992		1992 year-to-date		
	1990	1991	1992	Nov	Dec P	Nov	Dec P	Jan P
				\$ bi	llion			
Sales 1/								
Off-premise use 2/	296 7	309.0	315.1	25.0	28.6	286.4	315.1	25 5
Meals & snacks 3/	218.7	227.0	233.7	19.2	20.0	213.7	233.7	18.5
				199	1 \$ bitlion			
Sales 1/								
Off-premise use 2/	304.2	308.9	312.7	25.6	28.3	284 5	312.7	24.9
Meals & snacks 3/	228 0	226.9	229.0	18.7	19.4	209.5	229.0	18.0
			Pe	rcent char	ige from yea	r earlier (\$	bil.)	
Sales 1/								
Off-premise use 2/	8,2	4.1	2.0	-0.5	5.0	1.8	2.0"	1.1
Meals & snacks 3/	6.0	3.8	2.9	3.0	5.1	2.8	2.9	3.1
			Pe	rcent char	ige from yea	r earlier (19	991 \$ bit.)	
Sales 1/								
Off-premise use 2/	1.4	1,4	1.2	-1.9	4.1	1.0	1.2	-0.9
Meals & snacks 3/	1.2	0.4	0 9	2.0	3.0	0.7	0.9	1.4

^{1/} Food only (excludes alcoholic beverages). Not seasonally adjusted. 2/ Excludes donations & home production. 3/ Excludes donations, child nutrition subsidies. & meals furnished to employees, patients, & inmates. P ≈ preliminary.

NOTE: This table differs from Personal Consumption Expenditures (PCE), table 2, for several reasons: (1) this series includes only food not alcoholic beverages & pet food which are included in PCE; (2) this series is not seasonally adjusted, whereas PCE is seasonally adjusted at annual rates; (3) this series reports series only, but PCE includes food produced & consumed on farms & food furnished to employees; (4) this series includes all sales of meals & snacks. PCE includes only purchases using personal funds, excluding business travel & entertainment. For a more complete discussion of the differences, see "Developing an integrated information System for the Food Sector, "Agr.—Econ. Rpt. No. 575, Aug 1987.

Information contact. Alden Manchester (202) 219-0880.

Transportation

Table 36.—Rail Rates; Grain & Fruit-Vegetable Shipments

	Annual			1991		1992					
	1990	1991	1992	Dec	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Rail freight rate index 1/											
(Dec 1984=100)											
All products	107 5	109.3	110.0	109.3	109.8	109.9	109.9 P	110.1 P	110.2 P	110.3 P	
Farm products	110.4	111.4	111.1	111.0	110.2	110.2	1,10.2 P	112.1 P	112.4 ₽	113.7 P	
Grain	110.1	111.2	111.4	111.3	110.3	110.3	110.3 P	112.7 P	113.3 P	113.3 P	
Food products	105.4	108.1	108.7	108.3	108.1	108.1	108.1 P	108.1 P	108.1 ₽	109.0 P	
Grain shipments											
Rail carloadings (1,000 cars) 2/	27.6	28.8	27.6	29.7	25.8 P	28.2 P	25 8 P	30.8 P	31.5 P	27.6 P	
Barge shipments (mit. ton) 3/	3.8	3.3	3.4	2.9	4.8	4.6	3.2	2.6	3.3	2.9	
Fresh fruit & vegetable shipments 4/ 5/			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			,,,					
Piggy back (mil. cwt)	1.8	1,5	1,0	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.4	1,4	
Rail (mil. cwt)	2.3	2.1	2.6	2.0	2 1	0.1	1.8	2.0	2.4	3.0	
Truck (mil. cwt)	41.5	41.9	44 0	44.4	43 2	38 9	37.5	42.2	39 4	41.1	
	*1.0	*11.0	,,,	74.4			0,.0	46.5			
Cost of operating trucks hauling produce 4/											
Fleet operation (cts./mile)	130.5	128.5	120.5	124.0	124.8	124.7	125.1	125.0	124.8	125.1	

1/Oppartment of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2/ Weekly average: from Association of American Railroads, 3/ Shlpments on Illinois & Mississippi waterways, U.S. Corps of Engineers, 4/ Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA, 5/ Preliminary data for 1992, P = preliminary, — = not available.

Information contact: T.Q. Hutchinson (202) 219-0840.

Indicators of Farm Productivity

Table 37.—Indexes of Farm Production, Input Use & Productivity 1/

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990 2/	1991 2/
					1:	977=100				
Farm output	116	96	112	118	111	110	102	114	119	120
All livestock products 3/	107	109	107	110	110	113	116	116	118	119
Meat animals	101	104	101	102	100	102	105	105	104	104
Dairy products	110	114	110	117	116	116	118	117	120	121
Poultry & eggs	119	120	123	128	133	144	148	153	162	168
All crops 4/	117	88	111	118	109	108	92	107	114	111
Feed grains	122	67	116	134	123	106	73	108	112	106
Hay & forage	109	100	107	106	106	102	89	101	102	103
Food grains	138	117	129	121	107	107	98	107	136	104
Sugar Crops	96	93	95	97	106	111	105	105	107	112
Cotton	85	55	91	94	69	103	107	86	109	122
Tobacco	104	75	90	81	63	62	72	71	84	87
Oil crops	121	91	106	117	110	108	89	106	107	114
Cropland used for crops	101	88	99	98	94	88	87	90	90	
Crop production per acre	116	100	112	120	116	123	106	119	127	
Farm Input 5/	98	96	95	91	89	89	87	87	88	:
Farm real estate	102	101	99	97	96	95	94	93	93	_
Mechanical power & machinery	89	86	85	80	77	74	74	73	71	_
Agricultural chemicals Feed, seed, & livestock	118	102	120	115	109	111	112	119	122	_
purchases	107	103	103	102	109	116	111	113	113	-
Farm output per unit of input	119	100	118	129	124	124	116	130	1,35	
Output per hour of labor										
Farm 6/	125	99	121	139	139	142	135	147	142	.—
Nonfarm 7/	99	102	105	106	108	109	111	112	111	_

^{1/} For historical data & indexes, see Economic Indicators of the Farm Sector. Production & Efficiency Statistics, 1986, ECIFS 5–6. 2/ Preliminary indexes for 1991 based on Crop Production: 1991 Summary, released in January 1992, & unpublished data from the Agricultural Statistics Board, NASS. 3/ Gross livestock production includes minor livestock products not included in the separate groups shown. It cannot be added to gross crop production to compute farm output. 4/ Gross crop production includes some miscellaneous crops not in the separate groups shown. It cannot be added to gross livestock production to compute farm output. 5/ includes other items not included in the separate groups shown. 6/ Economic Research Service. 7/ Bureau of Labor Statistics. — = not available.

Information contact: Eldon Ball (202) 219-0432.

Food Supply & Use

Table 38.—Per Capita Consumption of Major Food Commodities 1/

Commodity	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991 2/
				F	Pounds			
Red meats 3/4/5/ Beef Veal Lamb & mutton Pork Poultry 3/4/5/ Chicken Turkey	123.7 73.9 1.5 1.1 47.2 43.7 35.0 8.7	124.9 74.6 1.5 1.1 47.7 46.2 36.1 9.1	122.2 74.4 1.6 1.0 45.2 47.1 37.0	117.4 69.6 1.3 1.0 45.6 50.7 39.1 11.6	119.5 68.6 1.1 1.0 48.8 51.7 39.3 12.4	115.9 65.4 1.0 1.1 48.4 53.6 40.5	112.4 63.9 0.9 1.1 46.4 55.9 42.1 13.8	111.9 63.1 0.8 1.1 46.9 58.0 43.9
Fish & shellfish 4/ Eggs 5/	14.1 33.0	15.0 32.4	15.4 32.2	16.1 32.2	15.1 31.2	15.6 29.9	15.0 29.6	14.8 29.4
Dairy products Cheese (excluding cottage) 3/6/ American Italian Other cheese 7/ Cottage cheese Beverage milks 3/ Fluid whole milk 8/ Fluid low/at milk 9/ Fluid skim milk Fluid cream products 10/ Yogurt (excluding frozen) Ice cream Ice milk Frozen yogurt	21.5 11.9 5 8 3.9 4 1 227.3 126.9 88.9 11.6 6.3 3.7 16.2 7.0	22.5 12.2 6.5 3.9 4.1 229.7 123.4 93.7 12.6 6.7 4.1 18.1 6.9	23.1 12.1 7.0 4.0 4.1 226.6 116.5 96.6 13.5 7.0 4.4 18.4 7.2	24.1 12.4 7.6 4.1 3.9 226.5 111.9 100.6 14.0 7.1 4.4 18.4 7.4	23.7 11.5 8.1 4.1 3.8 222.4 105.7 100.5 16.1 7.1 4.7.3 8.0	23.8 11.0 8.5 4.3 3.6 224.3 97.6 106.5 20.2 7.3 4.3 16.1 8.4 2.0	24.7 11.2 9.0 4.6 3.4 221.7 90.4 106.4 22.9 7.1 4.1 15.8 7.7 2.8	25 2 11.2 9.4 4.8 3.2 221.5 87.5 110.1 23.6 7.0 4.3 16.4 7.3 3.5
All dairy products, milk equivalent, milkfat basis 11/ Fats & oils — Total fat content Butter & margarine (product weight) Shortening Lard & edible tallow (direct use) Salad & cooking oils Fresh fruits 12/ Canned fruit Frozen fruit Frozen fruit Frozen citrus juices 14/	582.0 58.9 15.3 21.3 3.8 19.9 88.9 12.3 2.6 3.0 35.7	593.8 64.3 15.7 22.9 3.7 23.5 86.8 12.7 2.9 3.3 40.5	591.5 64.4 16.0 22.1 3.5 24.2 93.1 12.9 3.6 43.2	601.3 62.9 15.2 21.4 2.7 25.4 97.5 13.6 2.7 3.9 40.2	582.9 63.0 14.8 21.5 2.6 25.8 97.4 13.2 3.0 3.8 40.1	565.2 61.1 14.6 21.5 2.7 24.0 98.8 13.3 3.3 4.8 34.3	570.8 62.7 15.3 22.2 3.0 24.2 92.6 13.4 3.2 4.3 27.2	564.7 63.6 14.8 22.1 3.1 25.2 90.6 12.3 3.6 3.9
Vegetables 12/ Fresh Canning Freezing Potatoes, all 12/ Sweetpotatoes 12/ Peanuts (shelled) Tree nuts (shelled) Flour & cereal products 15/ Wheat flour Rice (milled basis) Caloric sweeteners 16/ Coffee (green bean equiv.) Cocoa (chocolate liquor equiv.)	100.6 90.9 17.5 0.0 5.4 6.0 2.3 150.4 119.2 8.5 127.0 10.2 3.4	100.7 87.8 17.1 122.4 6.3 2.3 157.5 124.7 9.0 131.3 10.5 3.7	99.3 87.9 15.8 125.8 4.8 6.4 2.3 163.7 125.7 11.6 129.6 10.5 3.8	105 7 87.6 16.8 125.8 6.4 2.2 172.5 129.9 14.0 133.7 10.2 3.8	109.7 83.5 18.3 122.2 4.5 8.9 2.3 174.3 130.0 14.3 135.1 9.8 3.8	112.9 90.7 17.8 127.4 4.5 7.0 2 3 174.9 129.2 156.4 10.1 4.0	110.9 96.4 18.3 127.8 5.0 6.0 2.6 183.0 135.7 16.2 139.1 10.3 4.3	106.0 94.3 19.3 130.5 4.4 6.4 2.5 184.3 135.9 17.0 140.2 10.3 4.4


1/ In pounds, retail weight unless otherwise stated. Consumption normally represents total supply minus exports, nonfood use, & ending stocks. Calendar-year data except fresh citrus fruits, peanuts, tree nuts, & rice, which are on crop-year basis. 2/ Preliminary.

3/ Total may not add due to rounding. 4/ Boneiess, trimmed weight. Chicken series revised to exclude amount of ready-to-cook chicken going to pet food as well as some water leakage that occures when chicken is cut up before packaging. 5/ Exicudes shipments to the U.S. territories. 6/ Natural equivalent of cheese & cheese & other dairy products. Includes miscellaneous cheese not shown separately. 7/ Includes Swiss, Brick, Munster, cream, Neutchatel, Blue, Gorgonzola, Edam, & Gouda. 8/ Plain & flavored. 9/ Plain & flavored. 9/ Plain & flavored. 9/ Plain & flavored. 9/ Plain & flavored & buttermitk. 10/ Heavy cream, light cream, half & half. & sour cream & dip. 11/ Includes condensed & evaporated mitk & dry milk products. 12/ Farm weight. 13/ Excludes pineapple & berries. 14/ Single strength equivalent. 15/ Includes rye, corn, oat, & barriey products. Excludes quantities used in alcoholic beverages, corn sweeteners, & fuel. 16/ Dry weight equivalent. — not available.

Information contact: Judy Jones Putnam (202) 219-0870.

Stay Current On

- Agricultural and economics statistics and analysis.
 - Commodity analysis.
 - Food consumption.
 - Foreign trade.
 - Biotechnology.
 - Rural development.
 - Banking.
 - Land use.



Reports catalog lists monographs, periodicals, videos, and data products available from USDA's economics agencies. For a **free** subscription to this quarterly catalog, write to:

ERS-NASS 341 Victory Drive Herndon, VA 22070

Or call toll free, 1-800-999-6779 (in the U.S. and Canada; other areas, please call 703-834-0125)

A





History of





American Agriculture,

1776-1990



For an entertaining, informative summary of the highlights of agriculture in America, order your copy of this wall chart today. This 50" x 45" wall chart explodes with photographs, drawings, maps, and charts that depict significant events in the history of American agriculture. The time-line wall chart provides a decade-by-decade account of the economic and social trends, technologies, legislation, movements, and efforts that have shaped U.S. agriculture from pre-Colonial times to the present.

To order, call 1-800-999-6779 or send \$16.00 by check or money order to ERS-NASS, 341 Victory Drive, Herndon, VA 22070. Ask for POST11. For foreign orders, call 703/834-0125, or if ordering by mail, add 25% for shipping and handling.

United States Department of Agriculture 1301 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005-4789

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, \$300

MOVING? To change your address, send this sheet with label intact, showing new address to: EMS Information, Rm 228, 1301 New York Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20005-4789

FIRST CLASS POSTAGE & FEES PAID USDA PERMIT NO. G-145



Want to Subscribe? Time to Renew?



Month/Year

Subscribe to Agricultural Outlook today! If you already subscribe to this timely periodical, note that expiration information about your subscription appears on the top line of your mailing label. The expiration information will appear in one of three formats: 1-AGO-2 (this means you have TWO issues remaining in your subscription) or 940430 (expiration date is April 30, 1994) or APR94 (expiration date is April 1994). Disregard this notice if no renewal information appears. Call toll free, 1-800-999-6779, and tell us whether you want to subscribe or renew, or return this form to: ERS-NASS, 341 Victory Drive, Herndon, VA 22070.

Agricultural Outlook							
Yes! I want to start my subscription. Yes! I want to renew my subscription.	Domestic: Foreign:	0	1 Year \$35.00 \$43. 75	0	2 Years \$68.00 \$85.00		3 Years \$101.00 \$126.25
New subscribers:		Re	newals!				
Name:							
Address:							
City, State, Zip:			ATTAC)H M	AILING LAB	EL H	ERE
Daytime phone: ()					_		
Payment method: Use purchase	o ordom labo	oko.	drawn on U	S ha	nke (and in I	10 6	unde)
Bill me. cashier's che Enclosed is \$	cks, or intern	natio	nal money o				
Credit card orders: ☐ MasterCard ☐ Visa	Total charge	es \$ _					
Credit card number:				Can	d expiration		

For fastest service, call our toll-free order desk 1-800-999-6779, in the U.S. and Canada; other areas please call 703-834-0125, or FAX this page to 703-834-0110.